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#### Abstract

The Laplace and Heat Operators on Quantum Graphs By Kenny Jones


This thesis analyzes the Laplace and heat operators on quantum graphs. The thesis is separated into four chapters,

- Chapter 1: Introduction to quantum graphs, the Laplace operator, and summary of the main results.
- Chapter 2: Strategies for bounding the spectral gap of a quantum graph, including a sharp upper bound for the spectral gap using the diameter and total number of vertices as parameters.
- Chapter 3: Bounds for the heat kernel of a quantum graph. The main results include a bound for small time and identifying a class of edges that can be bound by a Neumann interval.
- Chapter 4: Finds two mean value formulas for the heat equation on a quantum graph. Proves an additional bound for the mean value formula using the one dimensional free heat kernel.
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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

## 1. Motivation

Quantum graphs where first used in the 1930's to study free electrons in molecules. Since then quantum graphs have been used to understand a plethora of physical objects including crystal lattices, carbon-nanotubes, waveguides, and much more. They are also used to better understand other areas of mathematics and physics. Quantum graphs have been used to model quantum chaos, Anderson localization, and many dynamic systems.

Quantum graphs, or metric graphs as they are often called, can serve as a mathematical approximation for networks where there is a well defined distance function between nodes in the network. The implications of this are endless from understanding the dynamics of traffic on roads, to the spread of viruses, to electricity in robotics. This paper will mostly involve understanding the Laplace and heat operators on quantum graphs. The Laplace operator is often associated with the energy of a system. The eigenvalues of the laplace operator give the allowable energy states for a domain with no potential energy. The heat operator is used to understand diffusion of a system. Understanding these two operators on quantum graphs has many implications in nano-technology and quantum circuitry.

Although quantum graphs are often used to better understand physical systems the author would argue that they are interesting objects in and of themselves. They are a thought-provoking marriage of graphs and partial differential equations with many surprising and fascinating characteristics.

## 2. Introduction to Quantum Graphs

Let $G$ be an arbitrary quantum graph, $G$ is defined by a finite or countably infinite set of vertices $V(G)$ and edges $E(G), G=\{V(G), E(G)\}$. We will use the simpler notation $V$ and $E$, when there can be no confusion about $G$. Each edge $e \in E(G)$ is a one dimensional line segment connected to points $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V(G)$, alternatively we can think of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ as being the end points of $e$. The structure of $G$ is determined by how edges are connected to vertices. Multiple edges can be connected to the same vertex and both end points of an edge can be connected to the same vertex, known as a loop. Below is an example of a quantum graph.


Figure 1. A quantum graph with edges in black and vertices in blue.

The cardinality of the sets $V$ and $E$ will be denoted $|V|$ and $|E|$, respectively. For $e \in E$ and $v \in V, v$ and $e$ are said to be adjacent if $v$ is connected to $e$, expressed as $e \sim v$. Two edges $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E$ are adjacent if $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are connected to the same vertex, we use the same notation $e_{1} \sim e_{2}$. All edges are adjacent to themselves.

Definition 2.1. We call $G$ a metric graph if for all $e \in E$, $e$ can be assigned a positive length, $\ell(e)$, where $\ell(e) \in(0, \infty]$.

All quantum graphs in this paper are assumed to be metric graphs and $\ell(e)$ will always be the one dimensional lebesgue measure of $e$. Each edge $e$ can be identified with the line segment $[0, \ell(e)]$, which introduces a coordinate system along $e$. When using a coordinate system, a point along $e$ will be denoted $x_{e}$ or simply $x$ if e is clear. Edges have no direction, so the orientation of any coordinate system is arbitrary. Occasionally, it is useful to split an edge into two directed bonds. Let $e$ be connected to $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Then $e$ can be split into two bonds $\vec{b}_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$ and $\vec{b}_{v_{2}, v_{1}}$, where $\vec{b}_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$ has the direction from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ and $\vec{b}_{v_{2}, v_{1}}$ has the direction from $v_{2}$ to $v_{1}$. Two bonds $\vec{b}_{v, w}$ and $\vec{b}_{u, x}$ are consecutive if $w=u$, meaning $\vec{b}_{v, w}$ ends at the vertex $\vec{b}_{u, x}$ begins. This technique is fully explained in Chapter 3 section 2 ,

The total length of the graph $G$, or $L(G)$, can be found by summing all edge lengths for edges contained in $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(G)=\sum_{e \in G} \ell(e) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all vertices $v \in V$, the number of edges connected to $v$ is called the degree of $v$ denoted $d_{v}$. If both endpoints of $e$ are connected to $v$, i.e. $e$ is a loop, then we count this edge twice in the degree. If $d_{v}=2$, then $v$ is called an artificial vertex. Artificial vertices do not affect the underlying topology of $G$ and are often added or removed for convenience or as part of a technique to better understand the graph $G$. However, one must be careful when adding or deleting artificial vertices because $G$ is defined by its set of edges and vertices, changing these sets technically changes the graph $G$ to some new graph $G^{\prime}$.

We will now introduce the metric used for all quantum graphs in this paper, first we must define a path along $G$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A path along a quantum graph is an ordered sequence of consecutive bonds, $\left\{\vec{b}_{1,2}, \vec{b}_{2,3}, \ldots, \vec{b}_{N-2, N-1}, \vec{b}_{N-1, N}\right\}$.

A bond can be repeated any multiple of times along a path. Let $p$ be a path consisting of the bonds $\left\{\vec{b}_{1,2}, \vec{b}_{2,3}, \ldots, \vec{b}_{N-2, N-1}, \vec{b}_{N-1, N}\right\}$. The length of $p$, expressed $\ell(p)$, can be found by summing the lengths of all bonds along $p$.

Definition 2.3. The length of a path can be calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(p)=\sum_{\vec{b} \in p} \ell(\vec{b}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be two points contained on edges of $G$, not necessarily the same edge. If $q_{1}, q_{2} \notin V(G)$, then we can insert artificial vertices at $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, this creates a new graph $G^{\prime}$. Note that $q_{1}, q_{2} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Using definition 2.2 we can define a path from $q_{1}$ to $q_{2}$ along $G^{\prime}$.

We will define the distance function $d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ to be the length of the shortest path between $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$,

Definition 2.4. Let $P\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ be the set of all paths between $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, then the distance between $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\min _{p \in P\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)} \ell(p) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distance $d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ on the new graph $G^{\prime}$ defines the distance between points $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ on the original graph $G$. This distance function defines a topology on the graph $G$, making $G$ a topological space. Thus, we can define a function $f(q)$ for a point $q \in G$. For $q \notin V(G)$, $f(q)$ acts locally as if it is on a one dimension line segment. At vertices we impose vertex conditions, in this paper the standard Kirchoff-Neumann vertex conditions will be used,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f \text { is continuous on } G  \tag{2.4}\\
\text { and } \\
\sum_{e \sim v} d f(v) / d x_{e}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $d f(v) / d x_{e}$ refers to the outward derivative of $f$ away from the vertex $v$ along the edge $e$. If $d_{v}=1$, the second vertex condition becomes a Neumann boundary condition, $d f(v) / d x_{e}=0$. If $d_{v}=2, v$ is an artificial vertex, the second condition implies that the function is differentiable at $v$. We will sometimes refer to the first condition as the "continuity vertex condition" and the second condition as the "net flow vertex condition."

In order to be considered a quantum graph we equip $G$ with a differential operator. This paper will focus on the Laplace operator $-\Delta$, or $-d^{2} / d x^{2}$, and the heat operator $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-\partial_{t}$.

## 3. The Laplacian and Its Spectrum

A function $f$ on a quantum graph can be thought of as existing on each edge $e$, $f_{e}:=[0, \ell(e)] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Using this we can define the following functional spaces for the set $E(G)$

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{2}(E) & =\bigoplus_{e \in E(G)} L^{2}([0, \ell(e)])  \tag{3.1}\\
H^{k}(E) & =\bigoplus_{e \in E(G)} H^{k}([0, \ell(e)]) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{gather*}
L^{2}([0, \ell(e)])=\left\{\left.f_{e}\left|\int_{0}^{\ell(e)}\right| f(x)\right|^{2} d x<\infty\right\}  \tag{3.3}\\
H^{k}([0, \ell(e)])=\left\{f_{e} \in L^{2}([0, \ell(e)]) \left\lvert\, \int_{0}^{\ell(e)}\left(|f(x)|^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left|\frac{d^{n} f(x)}{d x^{n}}\right|^{2}\right) d x<\infty\right.\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Along each edge, the Laplacian can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta f_{e}:=f_{e} \rightarrow-\frac{d^{2} f_{e}}{d x^{2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On each edge the domain of the Laplacian is $H^{2}([0, \ell(e)])$. In order to make $-\Delta$ selfadjoint on $G$, we further restrict the domain to functions which satisfy the vertex conditions (2.4).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}(-\Delta(G))=\left\{f \in H^{2}(E) \mid \text { f satisfies the vertex conditions }\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a proof that $-\Delta(G)$ is self-adjoint acting on $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta(G))$ we refer the reader to Theorem 1.4.4 of [5]. We can associate $-\Delta(G)$ with its quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h[f, f]:=\sum_{e \in E} \int_{e}\left|\frac{d f_{e}}{d x}\right|^{2} d x \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the domain for $h$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}(h)=H^{1}(G)=\left\{f \in H^{1}(E) \mid \mathrm{f} \text { is continuous on } G\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through out this paper we will assume all quantum graphs are compact and contain a finite number of edges and vertices. The spectrum of $-\Delta(G)$ will be referred to as $\sigma(-\Delta(G))$, or $\sigma(-\Delta)$ when $G$ is clear. The following comes from [5], Theorem 3.1.1.

THEOREM 3.1. If $G$ is a compact quantum graph, then $\sigma(-\Delta)$ only contains isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicity and as $j \rightarrow \infty, \lambda_{j} \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Restricting ourselves to $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta(G)),-\Delta(G)$ is self adjoint. This implies the resolvent $(-\Delta-i \mathbb{I})^{-1}$ continuously maps $L^{2}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(-\Delta(G)) \subset H^{2}(E)$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem the embedding of $H^{2}(E) \rightarrow L^{2}(E)$ is compact, which implies the resolvent is compact.

The above theorem implies that for all $\lambda_{j} \in \sigma(-\Delta(G))$, there exists a function $\psi_{j} \in$ $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta(G))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta-\lambda_{j}\right) \psi_{j}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will refer to $\lambda_{j}$ and $\psi_{j}$ as eigenvalue, eigenfunction pairs. Because $-\Delta(G)$ is selfadjoint on $D(-\Delta(G))$ all eigenvalues must be real. We order the eigenvalues such that $\lambda_{j} \leq \lambda_{j+1}$ for all $j \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. It is well known that all eigenfunctions are contained in $C^{\infty}(G)$ and for eigenvalues such that $\lambda_{i} \neq \lambda_{j}$, the eigenfunctions $\psi_{i}$ and $\psi_{j}$ are orthogonal, meaning

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} \psi_{j} \psi_{i}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can ensure that all eigenfunctions are orthogonal. Let $n$ be the dimension of the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$, call this space $S_{j}$. By the Gram-Schmidt process we can choose $n$ functions $\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right\}$ in $S_{j}$ such that each function $\phi_{j}$ is orthogonal
to $\phi_{i}, i \neq j$. We then choose $\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right\}$ to be the eigenfunctions associated with the eigenspace $S_{j}$.

Finding the values for eigenvalues, and bounding eigenvalues, frequently makes use of the Rayleigh quotient. The Rayleigh quotient on $G$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(f):=\frac{\sum_{e \epsilon E} \int_{e}\left|\frac{d f_{e}}{d x}\right|^{2} d x}{\sum_{e \in E} \int_{e}\left|f_{e}\right|^{2} d x} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because all of the eigenfunctions can be made to be orthogonal and the set $\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a basis for $L^{2}(E)$, we can use the min-max formula to express all eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j-1}=\min _{\substack{X \subset \mathcal{D}(-\Delta(G)) \\ \operatorname{dim}(X)=j}}\left\{\max _{f \in X}\{R(f)\}\right\} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first non-trivial eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ is known as the spectral gap. In physics, the spectral gap has the interpretation as the smallest non-zero energy for a domain, or the first excited state. In the next chapter, we will further examine the spectral gap and provide common techniques and strategies for bounding the spectral gap.

## 4. Main Results

The topics in this paper can be separated into three main categories:

- Bounding the spectral gap
- Bounding the heat kernel
- Mean value formula for the heat equation

The main result for bounding the spectral gap is a sharp upper bound on the spectral gap using the diameter and $|V|$ for a graph. The diameter of a graph is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(G)=\max _{q, q_{0} \in G}\left\{d\left(q_{0}, q\right)\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d\left(q_{0}, q\right)$ is the distance between points $q_{0}, q \in G$. We also provide an algorithm for finding graphs with spectral gap arbitrarily close to our upper bound.

Theorem 4.1. Let $G$ be a quantum graph with $|V|$ vertices and diameter $D$. Then the spectral gap is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq\left(\frac{\pi(|V|+2)}{2 D}\right)^{2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the diameter can be realized at two vertices then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq\left(\frac{\pi(|V|)}{2 D}\right)^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for all $\epsilon>0$, there exists a quantum graph $G^{*}$ such that $D\left(G^{*}\right)=D$ and $\left|V\left(G^{*}\right)\right|=|V|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(G^{*}\right) \geq\left(\frac{\pi(|V|)}{2 D}\right)^{2}-\epsilon \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next chapter, we focus on bounding the heat kernel. Let $K_{G}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)$ be the heat kernel for $G$. There are two main results for bounding the heat kernel. The first is a short time estimate that utilizes the bond scattering matrix for $G$. The bound presented below is along the diagonal of the heat kernel, i.e. $q_{0}=q$, however the theorem is extended to off
diagonal results.

Proposition 4.2. Let $G$ be an arbitrary quantum graph with minimum edge length $a_{0}$. For $q \in G$, let $v_{0}$ be the closest non-artificial vertex with degree $d_{0}$. For $t<a_{0}^{2} / 2 \log (m)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(t, q, q)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left[1+\left(\frac{2}{d_{0}}-1\right) e^{-d\left(v_{0}, q\right)^{2} / t}+O\left(m e^{-a_{0}^{2} / t}\right)\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next bound involves identifing a class of edges called direct path bounded edges. For any edge in this class the heat kernel can be bounded by a Neumann interval with length $\ell(e)$, where $q$ and $q_{0}$ have the same relative spacing on the Neumann interval as on $e$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $q, q_{0} \in e$, where $e$ is direct path bounded. Let $e$ have vertices $v_{l}$ and $v_{r}$ with $\ell_{1}:=\left|v_{l}-q_{0}\right|$ and $\ell_{2}:=\left|v_{r}-q_{0}\right|$. If every path from $q_{0}$ to $q_{0}$ containing both $v_{r}$ and $v_{l}$ has length equal to or greater than $\max \left\{2 \ell_{1}, 2 \ell_{2}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right) \leq K_{I}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right), \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$. Where $K_{I}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)$ is the heat kernel for a Neumann interval of length $\ell$, and $q, q_{0}$ have the same relative positions as on $e$. If $G$ is not the Neumann interval then the inequality is strict.

The last section finds two mean value formulas for the heat equation on a quantum graph and a bound for the mean value formula. The main result from the chapter is given below. Let $Q\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right):=\left\{(x, t) \in G \times\left(0, t_{0}\right) \mid K\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, q\right) \geq C\right\}$ for some $C>0, q_{0} \in G$, and $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$. We refer to $Q\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right)$ as the heat ball.

Theorem 4.4. Let $G$ be a compact quantum graph and let $u(q, t)$ satisfy the heat equation, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\int_{\partial Q}-u K_{x} n_{1} d s \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\partial Q$ is the boundary of the heat ball $Q\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right)$ for some $C>0$.

## CHAPTER 2

## The Spectral Gap

## 1. Introduction to the Spectral Gap

Let $-\Delta:=-d^{2} / d x^{2}$ be the Laplace operator which acts on the $L^{2}$ space of functions on the edges of $G .-\Delta$ has the quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h[f, f]:=\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|^{2} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The domain for $h$ are functions which are $H^{1}$ along each edge of $G$ and are continuous on $G$, we will refer to this space of functions as $H^{1}(G)$.

Definition 1.1. The spectral gap is the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the Laplace operator, $-d^{2} / d x^{2}$, which we will call $\lambda_{1}$.

Using the Rayleigh quotient we can find an explicit expression for $\lambda_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\inf \left\{\frac{\int_{G}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\int_{G}|f|^{2}}: f \in \mathcal{D}(-\Delta(G)), \int_{G} f=0\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For many simple graphs we can exploit symmetries of the graph to find the first eigenvalue. All quantum graphs can be thought of as being constructed by connecting simpler sub-graphs. For example, a star graph is a graph with a single central vertex of degree $d_{v}$ and $d_{v}$ edges connected to the central vertex; all graphs are the union of connected star graphs. Understanding simple graphs builds an understanding of how size and shape affect the spectral gap. It is also a common strategy to utilize simple graphs to bound the spectral gap.

Below is a list of several common graphs and there exact spectral gap.

1) Path Graph $I(L)$ : Consists of a single edge of length $L$ and two degree 1 vertices. Because both vertices are degree one the second vertex condition forces the path graph to coincide with a Neumann interval of length L, as pointed out in 2.4. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(I(L))=\frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) Symmetric Star Graph $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{E})$ : The symmetric star graph has a single central vertex and $|E|$ edges of equal length $\frac{L}{|E|}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(S(L, E))=\frac{\pi^{2} E^{2}}{4 L^{2}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. A symmetric star graph with 6 edges.
3) Symmetric Flower Graph $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{E})$ : A symmetric flower graph also has a single central vertex and $|E|$ edges of length $\frac{L}{|E|}$. However, all edges of a flower graph are loops, meaning both end points are attached to the central vertex.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(F(L, E))=\frac{\pi^{2} E^{2}}{L^{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. A symmetric flower graph with 5 edges.
3) Symmetric Pumpkin Graph $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{E})$ : A symmetric pumpkin graph has two vertices and $|E|$ edges. The vertices are the end points for each edge in $K(L, E)$, all edges have length $\frac{L}{|E|}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(F(L, E))=\frac{\pi^{2} E^{2}}{L^{2}} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. A symmetric pumpkin graph with 4 edges.
Our goal is to bound the spectral gap, $\lambda_{1}$. However, it is easy to show that for an arbitrary quantum graph one can not bound the spectral gap from above or below. Consider trying to bound the spectral gap from below. Let $\{I(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of path graphs with length $n \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(I(n))=\frac{\pi^{2}}{n^{2}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the spectral gap of this sequence converges to zero.
Bounding the spectral gap from above is also impossible. Consider the sequence of symmetric star graphs $\{S(L, n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, each graph in the sequence has the same total length $L$, and the number of edges increases for each graph in the sequence. We can compute the spectral gap for the $n^{t h}$ graph in the sequence as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(S(L, n))=\frac{\pi^{2} n^{2}}{L^{2}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectral gap for this sequence diverges.
These examples show that it is easy to find graphs with arbitrarily large spectral gaps or spectral gaps arbitrarily close to zero. However, if we fix a characteristic of the graph, i.e. $L$ or $|E|$, it may be possible to create a bound. In the path graph sequence $\{I(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, fixing $L$ would have excluded this sequence. In the second example, $\{S(L, n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, fixing $|E|$ would have excluded the sequence. The most natural parameters to use to bound the spectral gap are:
(1) $L:=$ total length of $G$.
(2) $|V|:=$ total number of vertices of $G$.
(3) $|E|:=$ total number of edges of $G$.
(4) $D:=$ the diameter of $G$.

Definition 1.2. The diameter of a graph $G$, denoted $D(G)$ or simply $D$ if $G$ is understood, is defined as the largest distance between two points in $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(G):=\sup \left\{d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right): q_{1}, q_{2} \in G\right\} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Many results have been proven using one or several of the parameters above. Using only the length as a parameter the following lower bound for the spectral gap was first proven by Serge Nicaise [17,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This makes intuitive sense as you would expect diffusion to be slowest on an interval. This result was extended by Leonid Friedlander [9] to be a lower bound for all eigenvalues of G,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}(G) \geq\left(\frac{\pi(n+1)}{2 L}\right)^{2} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 2$. No upper bound can be found fixing the total length of a graph, this follows from the sequence of symmetric star graphs, $\{S(L, n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Other bounds rely on the procedure of graph surgeries, changes to the original graph $G$ that monotonically affect the spectral gap. These surgeries are used in sequence to transform an arbitrary graph into a graph where the spectral gap is able to be calculated. If each surgery in the sequence monotonically increases the spectral gap, then the resulting graph must have a larger first eigenvalue and you can bound the spectral gap from above. If each surgery in the sequence decreases the spectral gap, then the first eigenvalue of the resulting graph is a lower bound.

In order to introduce these surgeries we need the following definitions:
Definition 1.3. A pendant sub-graph is a sub-graph of $G$ that is connected to the larger graph at a single vertex.

Definition 1.4. We identify two vertices by joining them to make a single vertex. If $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are identified to make a new vertex $v_{0}$, then any edge with either $v_{1}$ or $v_{2}$ as an endpoint now has $v_{0}$ as an endpoint. Any edge connecting $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ becomes a loop at $v_{0}$.

Let $G$ be the original graph and $G^{\prime}$ the graph after performing a surgery. We will state each surgery such that $\lambda\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \lambda(G)$. We point out that the reverse action must increase the spectral gap. The following Lemma largely follows work found in [13], for a more in depth look at graph surgeries we point the reader to [15].

Lemma 1.5. Assume $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are compact, connected, and finite quantum graphs. Then for the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}(G) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) If $G^{\prime}$ is the result of connecting a pendant sub-graph to $G$.
(2) If $G$ is the result of identifying two vertices of $G^{\prime}$.
(3) If $G^{\prime}$ is the result of lengthening an edge of $G$
(4) If $G^{\prime}$ is the result of scaling $G$ by $C \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-2} \lambda_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Results (1) and (3) rely on finding a test function on the new graph $G^{\prime}$ that is orthogonal to the constants and has a Rayleigh quotient smaller than $\lambda_{1}(G)$.

1) Attaching a pendant: Assume the pendant is attached at some vertex $v$. Let $\psi_{1}$ be the eigenfunction of $G$ associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(G)$. We can extend $\psi_{1}$ to a function $\widetilde{\psi} \in H^{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ by setting $\widetilde{\psi}=\psi_{1}(v)$ on the pendant, which we label $G^{\prime} \backslash G$. Let $\phi=\widetilde{\psi}-\int_{G^{\prime} \backslash G} \psi_{1}(v)$, clearly, $\phi \in H^{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and it is easy to calculate that $\int_{G^{\prime}} \phi=0$. Using $\int_{G} \psi=0$ and setting $\alpha=\int_{G^{\prime} \backslash G} \psi_{1}(v)$, we calculate the Rayleigh quotient of $\phi$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\int_{G^{\prime}}\left\|\phi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{\int_{G^{\prime}}\|\phi\|^{2}} & =\frac{\int_{G}\left\|\psi_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{\int_{G}\|\widetilde{\psi}-\alpha\|^{2}+\int_{G^{\prime} \backslash G}\|\widetilde{\psi}(v)-\alpha\|^{2}}  \tag{1.14}\\
& =\frac{\int_{G}\left\|\psi_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{\int_{G} \psi_{1}^{2}-2 \psi_{1} \alpha+\alpha^{2}+\int_{G^{\prime} \backslash G}\|\widetilde{\psi}(v)-\alpha\|^{2}}  \tag{1.15}\\
& =\frac{\int_{G}\left\|\psi_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{\int_{G} \psi_{1}^{2}+\alpha^{2}+\int_{G^{\prime} \backslash G}\|\widetilde{\psi}(v)-\alpha\|^{2}}  \tag{1.16}\\
& \leq \frac{\int_{G}\left\|\psi_{1}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{\int_{G}\left\|\psi_{1}\right\|^{2}}  \tag{1.17}\\
& =\lambda_{1}(G) \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

2) Identifying two vertices: Let $G$ be the result of identifying two vertices of $G^{\prime}$. If $f \in H^{1}(G)$ then there exists some function $\tilde{f} \in H^{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ such that for any edge $e \in G$ and its natural counterpart $\widetilde{e} \in G^{\prime}$ we have $f\left(x_{e}\right)=\widetilde{f}\left(x_{\widetilde{e}}\right)$. Where $f$ and $\widetilde{f}$ have the same Rayleigh quotient. Thus, there is a natural identification between the functions in $H^{1}(G)$ and a subset of functions in $H^{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. The result follows.
3)Lengthening an edge: This is solved in the same way as attaching a pendant, with the exception that $G^{\prime} \backslash G$ represents the new added length of the edge.
3) Scaling the graph: Let $G$ be an arbitrary quantum graph and construct $G^{\prime}$ by scaling $G$ by $C \geq 1$. Let $f \in H^{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $e \in G^{\prime}$. Let $\widetilde{e} \in G$ be the natural counterpart of $e$. Then we can identify $f$ with a function $\widetilde{f} \in H^{1}(G)$ by setting $\widetilde{f}=f\left(C^{-1} x_{e}\right)$ along $\widetilde{e}$. We can make the reverse identification by scaling by $C$. It is easy to calculate the Rayleigh quotient for the function $\widetilde{f} \in H^{1}(G)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{G}\left\|\widetilde{f}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{\int_{G}\left\|\widetilde{f}^{2}\right\|}=\frac{\int_{G}\left\|f\left(C^{-1} x_{e}\right)^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{\int_{G}\left\|f\left(C^{-1} x_{e}\right)\right\|}=C^{-2} \frac{\int_{G^{\prime}}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{\int_{G^{\prime}}\left\|f^{2}\right\|} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these graph surgeries we transform an arbitrary quantum graph into an extremal graph, a graph where the first eigenvalue is either maximized or minimized given a set of parameters. The next theorem is an example of this technique. We use the parameters $|L|$ and $|E|$ to derive an upper bound on the spectral gap, the theorem can be found in $[\mathbf{1 3}$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $G$ be a quantum graph with $L>0$ and $|E|>1$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq \frac{\pi^{2}|E|^{2}}{L^{2}} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|E|=1$, then $G$ is either a loop or path graph, thus

$$
\lambda_{1}(G)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}}, G \text { is a path graph }  \tag{1.21}\\
\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{L^{2}}, G \text { is a loop }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be a quantum graph and let $G_{1}$ be the graph created by identifying all vertices of $G$, i.e. $G_{1}$ is a flower graph. Then by Lemma 1.5

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F$ be a symmetric flower graph with total length $L$ and $|E|$ edges. If $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}(F)$, then the proof is complete. Let $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ be the two longest edges of $G_{1}$ (if the two longest edges are not unique choose any two longest edges). Then $\ell\left(e_{1}\right)+\ell\left(e_{2}\right) \geq 2 L /|E|$. Each petal of $G_{1}$ is a pendant, consider a new graph $G_{2}$ constructed from $G_{1}$ by removing all petals except for $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$, then Lemma 1.5 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G_{3}$ be a graph constructed from $G_{2}$ by un-identifying the central vertex, i.e. changing the two petals into a single loop of length $\ell\left(e_{1}\right)+\ell\left(e_{2}\right)$. Again, by Lemma 1.5 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\left(\ell\left(e_{1}\right)+\ell\left(e_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}=\lambda_{1}\left(G_{3}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, since any eigenfunction on the circle $G_{3}$ can serve as a test function on the two petal graph $G_{2}$, as long as we insure that the eigenfunction is rotated in such a way as to satisfy the central vertex condition this proves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{3}\right) \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(G_{3}\right)=\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\left(\ell\left(e_{1}\right)+\ell\left(e_{2}\right)\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{\pi^{2}|E|^{2}}{L^{2}} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following section introduces pumpkin chain graphs and a more involved sequence of surgeries that reduces any graph to a pumpkin chain while monotonically increasing the spectral gap, thus giving us an upper bound on the spectral gap.

## 2. Diameter Bounds and Pumpkin Chains

In this section we will discuss diameter bounds for quantum graphs. Pumpkin chain graphs play an important role in bounding the spectral gap using the diameter.

Definition 2.1. A pumpkin chain is a quantum graph constructed by placing symmetric pumpkin graphs end to end. Each vertex is either an end point of the chain or connects adjacent pumpkins.


Figure 4. Pumpkin chain with 4 pumpkins and 5 vertices
Pumpkin chains are used when finding diameter bounds on the spectral gap for two reasons. First, given a graph $G$ with diameter $D(G)$ there exists a sequence of surgeries from Lemma 1.5 that converts $G$ into a pumpkin chain with diameter $D(G)$ such that each step in the sequence either increases the spectral gap or leaves it unchanged. This makes pumpkin chains a natural choice for creating upper bounds using the diameter as a parameter. The second reason is that finding the first eigenfunction on a pumpkin chain can be reduced to a Sturm-Liouville problem, making it possible to calculate the first eigenvalue.

The following pumpkin chain algorithm was first introduced by Kennedy et al, 2016 13.

LEMMA 2.2 (Lemma 5.4 of $\mathbf{1 3}$ ). Given a compact, connected, non-empty metric graph $G$, there exists a pumpkin chain $K$ such that
(1) $D(G)=D(K), \ell(G) \geq \ell(K)$, and $|V(G)| \geq|V(K)|-2$.
(2) $\lambda_{1}(G) \leq \lambda_{1}(K)$.

If the combinatorial diameter is used, (1) is replaced by
$\left(1^{\prime}\right) D(G)=D(K), \ell(G) \geq \ell(K)$, and $|V(G)| \geq|V(K)|$.
Proof. The pumpkin chain $K$ can be constructed following the algorithm below, all steps in the algorithm either increase $\lambda_{1}$ or leave it unchanged by Lemma 1.5 .
Step 1. Choose two points $q, q_{0}, \in G$ such that $d\left(q, q_{0}\right)=D(G)$. If $q$ and $q_{0}$ are not vertices, insert artificial vertices at $q$ and $q_{0}$ (these extra vertices produce the shift by -2 in (1)). If $d\left(q, q_{0}\right)=D$ can be achieved for $q, q_{0} \in V$, then (1) is modified to ( $1^{\prime}$ ). Let $v_{0}=q$ and $v_{D}=q_{0}$.
Step 2. Choose the shortest path between $v_{0}$ and $v_{D}$, if this path is not unique, choose any shortest path. Call this path $\Gamma_{1}$. Note, $\ell\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=D$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ does not contain loops or any point twice. In the example below, $\Gamma_{1}$ is the central path.


Figure 5. The initial graph G.
Step 3. Find the second shortest path, $\Gamma_{2}$, such that $\Gamma_{2}$ does not contain any point twice (edge or vertex) and $\Gamma_{2} \nsubseteq \Gamma_{1}$. If two or more paths have the same length and satisfy the above conditions, choose one arbitrarily. If such a path does not exist, skip to Step 5.
Step 4. Continue to find the next shortest path connecting $v_{0}$ to $v_{D}$ such that the path does not contain a point twice and

$$
\Gamma_{k} \nsubseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma_{i},
$$

for each $k$. Because $G$ is compact, this process must terminate after a finite number of steps. Let $\Gamma_{n}$ be the last path, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\ell\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \leq \ell\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \leq \cdots \leq \ell\left(\Gamma_{n-1}\right) \leq \ell\left(\Gamma_{n}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5. Let $G_{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$. Any connected component of $G \backslash G_{1}$ must be attached to $G_{1}$ by a single vertex (i.e., is a pendant of $G$ ). If this was not true, we could find a non-self-intersecting path $\Gamma^{*}$ such that $\Gamma^{*} \nsubseteq G_{1}$, which would contradict step 4 terminating.


Figure 6. The graph $G_{1}$, created by removing pendants of $G$.
Step 6. We now construct a new graph $G_{2}$ by shortening edges of $G_{1}$ so that all paths connecting $v_{0}$ to $v_{D}$ have length $D$. Starting with $\Gamma_{1}$, if $\ell\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)=D$ we do not change the path and rename the path $\Gamma_{j}^{*}$. If $\ell\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)>D$ then we shorten edges of $\Gamma_{j}$ not contained in $\Gamma_{j} \cup_{i=1}^{j-1} \Gamma_{i}^{*}$ until $\ell\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)=D$, and rename the new path $\Gamma_{j}^{*}$. Note that some paths may have become subsets. The new graph $G_{2}=\cup_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{*}$.
Step 7. Let $S=\left\{d\left(v_{0}, q\right) \mid q \in V\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$. For each point $q$ along $\Gamma_{j}^{*}, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, if $d\left(v_{0}, q\right) \in$ $S$ we ensure there is a vertex at the point by adding an artificial vertex if needed.


Figure 7. The graph $G_{2}$, created from $G_{1}$ by adding the artificial vertex $v_{1}$ and shortening edges such that $\ell\left(e_{1}\right)=\ell\left(e_{2}\right)=\ell\left(e_{3}\right)$ and $\ell\left(e_{4}\right)=\ell\left(e_{5}\right)=$ $\ell\left(e_{6}\right)$.

Step 8. The final step is to identify all vertices with the same distance from $v_{0}$ i.e. if $d\left(v_{0}, v_{i}\right)=d\left(v_{0}, v_{j}\right)$ then we identify $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$.


Figure 8. The pumpkin chain K created from $G_{2}$ by identifying vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$, and $v_{3}$.

The construction of $K$ involves cutting pendants, shortening edges, and identifying vertices. From Lemma 1.5, these operations can only increase the spectral gap. Therefore, $\lambda_{1}(G) \leq \lambda_{1}(K)$.

Note that the algorithm used in Lemma 2.2 does not yield a unique result. For a pumpkin chain, finding the first eigenvalue reduces to a Sturm-Liouville problem. Let $K$ be a pumpkin chain with diameter $D$ and total number of vertices $|V|$. Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{|V|}$ be the end vertices of the pumpkin chain. Starting with the pumpkin with end point $v_{1}$, we label the $k^{t h}$ pumpkin in the chain $G_{k}$ and its edge multiplicity $m_{k}$. We construct a function, $r(q): G \rightarrow[0, D]$ such that for $q \in G, r(q)=d\left(v_{1}, q\right)$. And the function $w(x):[0, D] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, such that if $q \in G_{k}$ then $w(r(q))=m_{k}$, the multiplicity of pumpkin $G_{k}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $K$ be a pumpkin chain, then there exists an eigenfunction, $\psi_{1}$, of $K$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(K)$ such that for $q \in G, \psi_{1}(q)=\phi(r(q))$, where $\phi(x):=[0, D] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $\psi$ be an eigenfunction of $K$ associated with eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$. We construct a new eigenfunction $\psi_{1}$ by averaging the values of $\psi$ along each pumpkin. Let $S(x)=\{q \in G \mid$ $\left.d\left(v_{1}, q\right)=x ; x \in[0, D]\right\}$. The set $S(x)$ is a single point if $d\left(v_{1}, q\right)=x$ and $q$ is a vertex. $S(x)$ has $m_{k}$ points when $d\left(v_{1}, q\right)=x$ describes points belonging to the pumpkin $G_{k}$. Let $\psi_{1}(x)=\sum_{q \in S(x)} \psi(q) /|S(x)|$. It is easy to verify that $\psi_{1}$ is orthogonal to the constants with Rayleigh quotient $\lambda_{1}(K)$.

This proves that for a pumpkin chain $K$, there exists an eigenfunction $\psi_{1}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(K)$ such that $\psi_{1}(q)$ is only dependent on $d\left(v_{1}, q\right)$. We can use the coordinate system $x \in[0, D]$ to indicate the longitudinal position along the graph $K$, and use the function $w(x)$ to indicate the multiplicity of the pumpkin at position $x$. This reduces the problem to a one dimensional Sturm-Liouville problem of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\inf \left\{\frac{\int_{0}^{D}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} w(x)}{\int_{0}^{D}|\phi(x)|^{2} w(x)}: \phi \in H^{1}([0, D]), \int_{0}^{D} \phi(x) w(x)=0\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Sharp Diameter Bound for Quantum Graphs

Kennedy et al. 13 proved that by fixing the diameter and total number of vertices the spectral gap was bounded above by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq\left(\frac{\pi(|V|+1)}{D(G)}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the diameter can be realized at two vertices then the estimate improves to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq\left(\frac{\pi(|V|-1)}{D(G)}\right)^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate is sharp if $|V|=2$. The following theorem extends their work, creating a smaller upper bound that is shown to be sharp for all $|V|$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be a quantum graph with $|V|$ vertices and diameter $D$. Then the first non-zero eigenvalue satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq\left(\frac{\pi(|V|+2)}{2 D}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the diameter can be realized at two vertices then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq\left(\frac{\pi(|V|)}{2 D}\right)^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

And for all $\epsilon>0$, there exists a quantum graph $G^{*}$ such that $D\left(G^{*}\right)=D$ and $\left|V\left(G^{*}\right)\right|=|V|$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(G^{*}\right) \geq\left(\frac{\pi(|V|)}{2 D}\right)^{2}-\epsilon \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ have $|V(G)|$ vertices and diameter $D(G)$. Using the algorithm from Lemma 2.2 we can construct a pumpkin chain $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(G) \leq \lambda_{1}(K) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $K$ has diameter $D$ and at most $|V(G)|+2$ vertices. We label the pumpkins $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{|V(K)|-1}$, where pumpkin $K_{j}$ has length $\ell_{j}$ and multiplicity $m_{j}$.

As in Lemma 2.3, we construct a coordinate system along $K$. Let $v_{0}$ be an endpoint of $K$, then $d\left(v_{0}, q\right)=x, x \in[0, D]$ defines the coordinate system along each edge. As before in equation 2.3$), w(x)$ is a weight function that returns the multiplicity of the pumpkin at $d\left(v_{0}, q\right)=x \in[0, D]$. Then for any function $\psi \in H^{1}(G)$, where $\psi(q)$ is only dependent on $d\left(v_{0}, q\right)$ the Rayleigh quotient for $\psi$ can be expressed

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\psi)=\frac{\int_{0}^{D}\left|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} w(x) d x}{\int_{0}^{D}|\psi(x)|^{2} w(x) d x} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K_{r}$ be the longest pumpkin in $K$, i.e. $\ell_{r} \geq \ell_{j}$ for all $j \in\{1,2, \ldots,|V(K)|-1\}$, with endpoint $v_{1}$ where $d\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=x_{1}$, and $v_{1}$ is the closest vertex of $K_{r}$ to $v_{0}$. We construct the following test function along $K$,

$$
\psi_{1}(x):= \begin{cases}b_{1}, & x \leq x_{1} \\ b_{1} \cos \left[\pi\left(x-x_{1}\right) / \ell_{r}\right], & x_{1} \leq x \leq x_{1}+\ell_{r} / 2 \\ b_{2} \cos \left[\pi\left(x-x_{1}\right) / \ell_{r}\right], & x_{1}+\ell_{r} / 2 \leq x \leq x_{1}+\ell_{1} \\ -b_{2}, & x \geq x_{1}+\ell_{r}\end{cases}
$$

Choosing $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{D} \psi_{1}(x) w(x)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerator of the Rayleigh quotient for $\psi_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}}\left|\psi_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} m_{r}=\frac{\pi^{2} m_{r}}{2 l_{r}}\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the denominator is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}}\left|\psi_{1}(x)\right| m_{r} \geq \frac{\ell_{r} m_{r}}{2}\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(K) \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{\ell_{r}^{2}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We construct a second test function $\psi_{2}(x)$, where $\psi_{2}$ is a quarter period cosine on the two longest pumpkins in $K$ and constant on all other pumpkins. Let $K_{t}$ be a pumpkin in $K$ such that $\ell_{t} \geq \ell_{j}$ for all $j \in\{1,2, \ldots,|V(K)|-1\}, j \neq r$. Let $K_{t}$ have endpoint $v_{2}$ where $d\left(v_{0}, v_{2}\right)=x_{2}$, and $v_{2}$ is the closest vertex of $K_{t}$ to $v_{0}$. Without loss of generality let $x_{1}<x_{2}$, and

$$
\psi_{2}(x):= \begin{cases}b_{3}, & x \leq x_{1} \\ b_{3} \cos \left[\pi\left(x-x_{1}\right) / 2 \ell_{2}\right], & x_{1} \leq x \leq x_{1}+\ell_{2} \\ 0, & x_{1}+\ell_{2} \leq x \leq x_{2} \\ -b_{4} \sin \left[\pi\left(x-x_{2}\right) / 2 \ell_{2}\right], & x_{2} \leq x \leq x_{2}+\ell_{2} \\ -b_{4}, & x \geq x_{2}+\ell_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Again, choosing $b_{3}$ and $b_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{D} \psi_{2}(x) w(x)=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\psi_{2}$ the numerator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{D}\left|\psi_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{2} w(x) d x=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8 \ell_{t}}\left(m_{r} b_{3}^{2}+m_{t} b_{4}^{2}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominator is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{D}\left|\psi_{2}\right|^{2} w(x) d x \geq \frac{\ell_{t}}{2}\left(m_{r} b_{3}^{2}+m_{t} b_{4}^{2}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(K) \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{4 \ell_{t}^{2}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given the constraints 3.11 and 3.15, we can find the maximum value for $\lambda_{1}(K)$. Since $\ell_{t} \geq \ell_{j}$ for $j \in\{1,2,, \ldots,|V(K)|-1\}, j \neq r$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(|V(K)|-2) \ell_{t} \geq D-\ell_{r} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with 3.15 gives the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(K) \leq \frac{\pi^{2}(|V(K)|-2)^{2}}{4\left(D-\ell_{r}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(K) \leq \pi^{2}\left(\min \left\{1 / \ell_{r}, \frac{(|V(K)|-2)}{2\left(D-\ell_{r}\right)}\right\}\right)^{2} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum is achieved when $\ell_{r}=2 D /(|V(K)|-1)$ which proves 3.3, and 3.4 if no vertices were added in constructing $K$ from the original graph $G$.

To prove the above estimate is sharp, given $\epsilon>0, D$, and $|V|$ we must find a graph $G^{*}$ such that $D\left(G^{*}\right)=D, V\left(G^{*}\right)=|V|$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)>\left(\frac{\pi|V|}{2 D}\right)^{2}-\epsilon . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based off the calculations in Theorem 3.1, $G^{*}$ will be a pumpkin chain with $|V|-1$ pumpkins. One of the pumpkins should have length $2 D /(|V|-1)$, and all other pumpkins will have length $D /(|V|-1)$.

For arbitrarily small values of $\delta>0$, to be specified later, we will choose edge multiplicities that produce the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)=\sigma_{1}^{2}$ where

$$
\sigma_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2(a+\delta)}
$$

If $\delta$ is small, an interval of length $a$ will contain slightly less than a quarter period of $\cos \left(\sigma_{1} x\right)$. Choosing an orientation and initial vertex $v_{0}$, let $d\left(v_{0}, v_{j}\right)=x_{j}$ where $v_{j}$ is the first vertex of pumpkin $K_{j}$, for $j=1, \ldots,(|V|-1)$. One of the segments has length $2 a$, which we label by $j_{0}$. (We do not assume the lengths are arranged in order.) The strategy is to splice together functions of the form

$$
h_{j}(x):= \begin{cases}\cos \left(\sigma_{1}\left(x-x_{j}\right)+\eta_{j}\right), & 1 \leq j \leq j_{0},  \tag{3.20}\\ \sin \left(\sigma_{1}\left(x-x_{j}\right)+\eta_{j}\right), & j_{0}<j \leq|V|-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Each phase shift $\eta_{j}$ will be an integer multiple of $\sigma_{1} \delta / 2$. First, we determine the phase shift for given $\delta$. Then multiply each $h_{j}$ by an amplitude term $b_{j}$ to satisfy the continuity condition. In order to satisfy the net flow vertex condition, our edge multiplicities $m_{j}$ will be determined.
We start with specifying $\eta_{j}$ If $j \neq j_{0}$, then

$$
\eta_{j}:= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } j=1 \\ \sigma_{1} \delta / 2, & \text { if } 1<j<m \\ \sigma_{1} \delta, & \text { if } j=m\end{cases}
$$

For the segment of length $2 a, j=j_{0}$, the phase shifts,

$$
\eta_{j_{0}}:= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } j_{0}=1 \\ \sigma_{1} \delta, & \text { if } 1<j_{0}<m \\ 2 \sigma_{1} \delta, & \text { if } j_{0}=m\end{cases}
$$

Let the edges of sub-pumpkin $K_{j}$ have length $\ell_{j}$. The full eigenfunction $\phi$, is defined by setting

$$
\phi(x):=b_{j} h_{j}(x), \quad \text { for } x \in\left[x_{j}, x_{j}+\ell_{j}\right]
$$

with $b_{j}$ defined by the continuity condition. The matching conditions at vertex $x_{j}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{j-1} h_{j-1}\left(x_{j}\right) & =b_{j} h_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \\
b_{j-1} m_{j-1} h_{j-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right) & =b_{j} m_{j} h_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right), \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=2, \ldots,(|V|-1)$. Hence the edge multiplicities satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j-1} h_{j-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)=m_{j} h_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right), \quad 2 \leq j \leq m \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\delta$ so that $\sin \left(\sigma_{1} \delta / 2\right)$ and $\cos \left(\sigma_{1} \delta / 2\right)$ are both rational, then by basic trigonometric identities all of the values of $h$ and $h^{\prime} / \sigma$ appearing in 3.22 will be rational. Hence, we can find a set of integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{|V|-1}$ satisfying 3.22 .

We can find arbitrarily small values of $\delta$ satisfying the rational condition by choosing a large integer $n$ and setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{1} \delta}{2}=\frac{\pi \delta}{4(a+\delta)}=\arctan \left(\frac{2 n}{n^{2}-1}\right) . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta$ be small enough such that $\sigma_{1} \delta<\pi / 8$, After choosing the corresponding integers $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{|V|-1}$ satisfying $(\sqrt[3.22]{ })$, we can then solve the coefficient equations ( $(3.21)$ ) to construct an eigenfunction $\phi$ with eigenvalue $\lambda=\sigma_{1}^{2}$. If $\sigma_{1} \delta<\pi / 8, \phi$ will be strictly decreasing, with a single zero at the midpoint of $K_{j_{0}}$.

To show that $\phi$ corresponds to eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(K)$, assume there exists an eigenfunction $\psi$ associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda<\sigma_{1}^{2}$. On $K_{1}, \psi=b_{1} \cos \left(\sqrt{\lambda} x+\alpha_{1}\right)$ and since $\sqrt{\lambda}<\sigma_{1}$ on each $K_{j}, j \leq j_{0}, \psi$ must take the form $b_{j} \cos \left(\sqrt{\lambda} x+\alpha_{j}\right)$. If we re-scale such that $\psi(0)=\phi(0)$, for all $x \leq x_{j_{0}}+a$ then $\psi(x)>\phi(x)$ i.e. $\psi$ does not contain a zero on the interval [0, $\left.x_{j}+a\right]$. We can apply the same logic in reverse starting from $\psi(D)$, and deduce $\psi$ does not have a zero on the interval $\left[x_{j}+a, D\right]$. Clearly, this is a contradiction as $\psi$ is continuous and orthogonal to the constants. Thus, $\phi$ must correspond to $\lambda_{1}(K)$.

Given a delta, the multiplicities can be calculated using (3.23). For $|V|=5, D=1$ and choosing $K_{2}$ to be the pumpkin with double length we find the multiplicities $m_{1}=1$, $m_{2}=10^{10}, m_{3}=10^{20}$, and $m_{4}=2 \times 10^{10}$ correspond to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(K)=(2.49998 \pi)^{2}$ which is very close to our bound of $\lambda_{1}(K) \leq(2.5 \pi)^{2}$.

## CHAPTER 3

## The Heat Kernel

## 1. Introduction to the Heat Kernel

Let $G$ be a compact connected quantum graph. Consider the initial value problem on $G$ for $f(x) \in H^{1}(G)$, where along each edge $e \in G$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u(x, t)=\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0)=f(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $u(x, t)$ must satisfy the vertex conditions,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(x, t) \text { is continuous on } G  \tag{1.2}\\
\text { and } \\
\sum_{e \sim v} \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x_{e}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $t>0$.
We can find a solution for $u(x, t)$ using the integral kernel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=e^{t \Delta} f \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ form a basis for $L^{2}(G)$, Let $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be this basis of eigenfunctions where $\psi_{i}$ is the eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$. In this basis, $f$ can be expressed

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} \psi_{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=\int_{G} f(y) \psi_{n}(y) d y \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $e^{t \Delta} f$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{t \Delta} f & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} e^{-t \lambda_{k}} \psi_{n}(x)  \tag{1.6}\\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{G} f(y) \psi_{n}(y) d y\right] e^{-t \lambda_{k}} \psi_{n}(x)  \tag{1.7}\\
& =\int_{G}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t \lambda_{k}} \psi_{n}(x) \psi_{n}(y)\right] f(y) d y \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The bracketed summation inside the integral is the heat kernel on $G$ and is the fundamental solution to the initial-boundary problem stated in 1.1 and 1.2 . We will use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t \lambda_{k}} \psi_{n}(q) \psi_{n}\left(q_{0}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $q$ and $q_{0}$ are points along edges of $G$. If the eigenfunctions for $-\Delta$ are known then the heat kernel can be represented explicitly. The eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ on each edge of $G$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}=B_{n} \cos \left(\sqrt{\lambda_{n}} x_{e}+C_{n}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, in general the constants in the above formula are unknown. In the next section we will introduce another representation of the heat kernel, which we will use to prove the main results for this chapter. For a more in depth introduction to heat kernels on Riemannian manifolds we point the reader to [10].

## 2. Bond Scattering Matrix and Heat Kernel Formula

Let $G$ be a compact connected quantum graph. For any pair of vertices $v, w$ (possibly coinciding) connected by an edge $e$, we call a directed bond either of the ordered sets $\vec{b}_{v w}:=$ $\{v, w\}$ and $\overleftarrow{b}_{v w}:=\{w, v\}=\vec{b}_{w v}$. We say that a bond $\vec{b}_{v w}$ is incoming at $w$ and outgoing from $v$. Two bonds $\vec{b}_{v w}$ and $\vec{b}_{u z}$ are consecutive if $w=u$, i.e. if $\vec{b}_{v w}$ is an incoming bond and $\vec{b}_{w z}$ is an outgoing bond for the same vertex $w$.

As in definition 2.2 from Chapter 1, we define a path along $G$ as an ordered sequence of consecutive bonds $\left\{\vec{b}_{v_{1} v_{2}}, \vec{b}_{v_{2} v_{3}} \ldots, \vec{b}_{v_{n-1} v_{n}}\right\}$. For any path $p$, its length is denoted $\ell(p)$ which is calculated by summing the lengths of all bonds in p .

Fix two points $q$ and $q_{0}$ along edges of $G$ and insert artificial vertices $v_{q}$ at $q$ and $v_{q_{0}}$ at $q_{0}$. Call $P\left(q, q_{0}\right)$ the collection of paths beginning at $v_{q}$ and ending at $v_{q_{0}}$, this is clearly a countable set. If $q=q_{0}$ we include in $P(q, q)$ the trivial path i.e. the empty sequence $\}$, and we say that the trivial path has length zero.

Assume the graph $G$, including the artificial vertices $v_{q}$ and $v_{q_{0}}$, has $m$ edges (hence $2 m$ bonds). We fix an ordering on the set of bonds in $G$ so that given any $2 m \times 2 m$ matrix $A=\left\{a_{i k}\right\}$, we can uniquely associate each row and each column of $A$ with a bond. According to the ordering on the bonds in $G$ we can also identify any path $p$ with an ordered sequence of integers $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\} \in\{1, \ldots, 2 m\}^{q}$, so that we can set

$$
\alpha_{A}(p):=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1 & \text { if } q=0,1  \tag{2.1}\\
\prod_{\ell=1}^{q-1} a_{i_{\ell} i_{\ell+1}} & \text { if } q \geq 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular the bond scattering matrix $B$ is a $2 m \times 2 m$ orthogonal matrix with coefficients

$$
\beta_{i k}:= \begin{cases}2 / d_{v}-1 & \text { if } k=\vec{b}_{w v} \text { and } i=\overleftarrow{b}_{w v} \quad(\text { bounce })  \tag{2.2}\\ 2 / d_{v} & \text { if } k=\vec{b}_{w v} \text { and } i=\vec{b}_{v u} \text { with } \vec{b}_{w v} \neq \vec{b}_{v u} \quad \text { (transfer) } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We will refer to $\beta_{i k}$ as a scattering component of $B$.
REmark 2.1. Note that if a graph $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ by adding an artificial vertex, then any path $p$ in $G$ corresponds to a path $p^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$ and one has

$$
\alpha_{B}(p)=\alpha_{B^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)
$$

When the matrix $B$ is understood, we will use the simpler notation $\alpha(p)$.
Definition 2.2. We will call $K_{G}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)$ a rational heat kernel if after inserting the artificial vertices $v_{q}$ and $v_{q_{0}}$ all edges have rational length.

From [14], we quote the following
Proposition 2.3. For a compact quantum graph $G$, if $\alpha_{B}(p)$ is defined as in 2.1 with $B$ as in (2.2), then the heat kernel for $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \sum_{p \in P\left(q, q_{0}\right)} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In light of Proposition 2.3 , we call the coefficients $\alpha_{B}(p)$ the heat path coefficients when $B$ is the bond scattering matrix.

Example 2.4. For a single interval $I$ of length $\ell$, with Neumann boundary conditions, the eigenfunction expansion of the heat kernel is

$$
K_{I}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\ell}+\frac{2}{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{j \pi}{\ell}\right)^{2} t} \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{\ell} q\right) \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{\ell} q_{0}\right) .
$$

The alternative formula given by Proposition 2.3 is actually the result obtained by the method of images:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}\left[e^{-\left(q-q_{0}+2 k \ell\right)^{2} / 4 t}+e^{-\left(q+q_{0}+2 k \ell\right)^{2} / 4 t}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Heat Kernel for Small Time

From (2.3), it is clear that for small enough time the contributions from the shortest paths dominate the sum. The following proposition bounds the heat kernel for small time.

Proposition 3.1. Let $G$ be an arbitrary quantum graph with minimum edge length $a_{0}$ and $m$ edges. For a point $q \in G$, let $v_{0}$ be the closest non-artificial vertex with degree $d_{0}$. For $t<a_{0}^{2} / 2 \log (m)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(t, q, q)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left[1+\left(\frac{2}{d_{v_{0}}}-1\right) e^{-d\left(v_{0}, q\right)^{2} / t}+O\left(m e^{-a_{0}^{2} / t}\right)\right] . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $e$ have vertices $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ and $q \in e$, with $d\left(v_{0}, q\right) \leq d\left(v_{1}, q\right)$. Let $|E(G)|=m$. The set $P(q, q)$ contains the trivial path, the path with a single reflection off of $v_{0}$, and the path with a single reflection off of $v_{1}$. All other paths in $P(q, q)$ contain a complete edge. Let $R(t, q)$ be the contribution to 2.3 from all paths containing a complete edge. We can re-write 2.3 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(t, q, q)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left[1+\left(\frac{2}{d_{v_{0}}}-1\right) e^{-d\left(v_{0}, q\right)^{2} / t}+\left(\frac{2}{d_{v_{1}}}-1\right) e^{-d\left(v_{1}, q\right)^{2} / t}+R(t, q)\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $p$ is a path in $P(q, q)$ containing $k$ complete edges, $k \geq 1$. Then, $\ell(p) \geq$ $k a_{0}+2 d\left(v_{0}, q\right)$, where $a_{0}$ is the shortest edge of $G$. The number of paths containing exactly $k$ edges is bounded by $m^{k}$. Thus we can bound $R(t, q)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|R(t, q)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{k} e^{-\left(2 d\left(v_{0}, q\right)+k a_{0}\right)^{2} / 4 t} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t<a_{0}^{2} / 2 \log (m)$ and $k \geq 1$, we can bound each term in the sum above by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(k a_{0}\right)^{2}}{4 t}+k \log (m) \geq-\frac{a_{0}^{2}}{4 t}+\frac{k a_{0}^{2}}{2 t}+k \log (m) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Creating a new bound for $R(t, q)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
|R(t, q)| & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{k} e^{a_{0}^{2} / 4 t-k a_{0}^{2} / 2 t}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{a_{0}^{2} / 4 t}\left(m e^{-a_{0}^{2} / 2 t}\right)^{k}  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\frac{m e^{-a_{0}^{2} / 4 t}}{1-m e^{-a_{0}^{2} / 2 t}} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using a similar strategy, for points $q_{1} \neq q_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(t, q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \sim \frac{c}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)^{2} / 4 t} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $c$ is the sum of $\alpha(p)$ coefficients for all minimum distance paths between $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\sum_{\substack{p \in P\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \\ \ell(p)=d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}} \alpha(p) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that minimal paths can't contain reflections, so for all $\alpha(p)$ in the above sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(p)=\prod_{v \in p} \frac{2}{d_{v}}>0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Construction of the Graph $G_{n}^{*}$

In the following sections our goal is to show that for particular edges we can bound the heat kernel coefficients associated with paths in $P\left(q, q_{0}\right)$. For these special edges, we can use the bound on the heat path coefficients to bound the heat kernel.

We start by partitioning the paths in $P(q, q)$ by
(1) The initial direction of the path (leaves $q$ to the left or right).
(2) How many times the path returns to the point $q$.

We will need to control the sum of heat path coefficients for paths with less than $M$ bonds. Every heat path coefficient $\alpha(p)$, can be associated with a path $p$ via (2.1). To control the sum of coefficients in each partition we will construct directed bond graphs (DBG) $G_{n}^{*}$ for $n \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$. These DBG will allow us to control the sum of coefficients for the two partitions that return to $q n$-times, and are defined as follows.

Definition 4.1. A graph is a set of vertices connected by edges. With each edge we can associate two directed bonds. A directed bond graph is a set of vertices and bonds. Given a graph $G$, we define the directed bond graph (DBG) $G^{*}$ associated to $G$ as the set of vertices and bonds of $G$ (i.e. $G$ where the edges are all replaced by directed bonds).

We start by constructing a new graph $G^{\prime}$ by inserting two artificial vertices on either side of $v_{q}$ along the same edge $e$, call these vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. We also introduce the set
$\mathbb{P}(q)$, which is all paths along $G^{\prime}$ from $v_{q}$ to $v_{q}$ that do not bounce at artificial vertices (namely $v_{q}, v_{1}$, and $v_{2}$ ).

Note a subtle but important distinctions between the sets $\mathbb{P}(q)$ and $P(q, q)$. Every path in $\mathbb{P}(q)$ corresponds to a path in $P(q, q)$, but because of the inserted vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ the path in $\mathbb{P}(q)$ will contain more bonds and hence more bond transfers.

We number the bonds of $G^{\prime}$ according to the following picture where only the edge $e$ is included:


Figure 1. Outgoing bonds of $v_{q}$ are labeled 1 and 2, incoming bonds of $v_{q}$ are 3 and 4 , and outgoing bonds of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ oriented away from $v_{q}$ are bonds 5 and 6 respectively

Note that we choose this numbering only for computational convenience, any alternate numbering would not change our result. Fix some numbering for all other bonds of $G^{\prime}$. Let $B^{\prime}$ be the bond scattering matrix for the graph $G^{\prime}$. By construction $v_{q}, v_{1}$, and $v_{2}$ are all artificial vertices. This implies the entries of columns 1 through 4 of $B^{\prime}$ are zeros except for a single entry equal to 1 . Since the scattering component for bond transfers at artificial vertices is equal to 1 , while it is zero for bounces. Moreover, if a path $p$ bounces at a vertex of degree two, then by (2.1) we have $\alpha(p)=0$, hence such a path does not contribute to the heat kernel formula. This means

$$
K_{G}(t, q, q)=K_{G^{\prime}}(t, q, q)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \sum_{p \in P(q, q)} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \sum_{p^{\prime} \in \mathbb{P}(q)} \alpha_{B^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}\right) e^{-\ell\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 4 t}
$$

We insert the artificial vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ because we will need to change the out-going bonds of $v_{q}$ to loops. Inserting these vertices allows us to construct paths from $v_{1}$ or $v_{2}$ to $v_{q}$ with the same heat path coefficients as paths in $\mathbb{P}(q)$.

Now consider the DBG $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ associated with $G^{\prime}$ and construct the DBG $G_{1}^{*}$ by changing the outgoing bonds of $v_{q}$ (bond 1 and bond 2) to loops from $v_{q}$ to $v_{q}$. We construct the matrix $\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{1}$ from $B^{\prime}$ by changing columns 1 through 4 of $B^{\prime}$ to have a one in the first row and zeros elsewhere, keeping all other columns the same. Note that a path $p$ containing bond 3 (respectively bond 4) has $\alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{1}}(p)=0$ if the bond directly following bond 3 (respectively bond 4 ) is not bond 1 (respectively bond 2 ).

In Figure 2, we show the DBG $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ and $G_{1}^{*}$ for a 3 edged star graph.


Figure 2. (a) The Graph $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ for a 3 edged star graph and (b) the DBG $G_{1}^{*}$ for the same 3 edged star graph

To construct the DBG $G_{n}^{*}$, we start with $n$ copies of the DBG $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. Assume $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ has $2 m$ bonds. Each copy of $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is ordered and relabeled such that bond $i$ on copy $k$ of $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is renumbered to be $i+(k-1) 2 m$. Each copy of $v_{q}$ is relabeled such that $v_{q}$ on copy $k$ becomes $v_{q_{k}}$. Then we connect each copy of $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ by having bond $4+(k-1) 2 m$ transfer to bond $6+2 m k$ for $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, i.e bond 4 is connected to bond 6 of the next copy of $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ and bond $3+(k-1) 2 m$ is connected to bond $5+2 m k$, i.e. bond 3 transfers to bond 5 of the next copy of $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. On the last copy of $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$, copy number $n,\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is converted to $G_{1}^{*}$, i.e. bond $1+(n-1) 2 m$ and bond $2+(n-1) 2 m$ are changed to loops from $v_{q_{n}}$ to $v_{q_{n}}$. We force the length of each bond in $G_{n}^{*}$ to be the same length as the corresponding bond in $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$.

We now introduce a $2 m n \times 2 m n$ matrix $B_{n}^{*}$ obtained from $B^{\prime}$ as follows. We start with a $2 m n \times 2 m n$ block diagonal matrix, containing $n$ blocks of size $2 m \times 2 m$, where each block is the matrix $B^{\prime}$. The matrix entries equal to one in the 3 rd and 4 th columns are moved down $2 m+4$ rows for each block, excluding the last block where this is not possible. So, we change $b_{24}=1$ to $b_{24}=0$ and $b_{(6+2 m)(4)}=0$ to $b_{(6+2 m)(4)}=1$. Similarly, $b_{13}=1$ is changed to $b_{13}=0$ and $b_{(5+2 m)(3)}=0$ to $b_{(5+2 m)(3)}=1$. The last block is converted to the matrix $\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{1}$.

Note that for each block, only entries associated with either incoming or outgoing bonds of $v_{q}$ are changed. The DBG $G_{2}^{*}$ for a three edged star graph is given in Figure 4 .


Figure 3. (a) Two copies of the DBG $\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ with renumbered bonds, and (b) $G_{2}^{*}$, bond 3 connects to bond $5+12=17$ and bond 4 connects to bond $6+12=18$. Bonds $1+12$ and $2+12$ are changed to loops

In the next section we will show there exists a bijection $\Psi$ between non-trivial paths $p$ along $G^{\prime}$ that return to $v_{q} n$-times and paths $p^{*}$ along $G_{n}^{*}$ from $v_{q_{1}}$ to $v_{q_{n}}$. Furthermore, the bijection preserves the heat path coefficient for each path in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{B^{\prime}}(p)=\alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{n}}(\Psi(p)) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By investigating the heat path coefficients for paths from $v_{q_{1}}$ to $v_{q_{n}}$ along $G_{n}^{*}$, we can deduce information about the heat path coefficients for paths in $P(q, q)$ that return to $v_{q}$ $n$-times. Investigating the non-zero heat path coefficients for the DBG $G_{n}^{*}$ will be easier because the loops at $v_{q_{n}}$ "trap" the heat path coefficients at the bond $1+2(n-1) m$ (first loop of $v_{q_{n}}$ ).

## 5. Identifying Paths along $G^{\prime}$ and $G_{n}^{*}$

We will show there is a bijection $\Psi$ between non-trivial paths in $\mathbb{P}(q)$ that return to $v_{q}$ $n$-times and paths $p$ along $G_{n}^{*}$ from bond 5 or bond 6 to $v_{q_{n}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{B^{\prime}}(p)=\alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}}{ }_{n}(\Psi(p)) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We partition the paths of $\mathbb{P}(q)$ according to 2 criteria:
(1) Whether the first bond is bond 1 or bond 2 (initial direction).
(2) Number of outgoing bonds of $v_{q}$ the path contains (number of returns to $v_{q}$ ).

It will also be convenient to work with paths of finite length. In order to do so we introduce the following sets:

Definition 5.1. For $n \geq 1$ we denote by $P_{n, M}^{i}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ the subset of $\mathbb{P}(q)$ of paths starting with bond $i \in\{1,2\}$, containing $n$ outgoing bonds of $v_{q}$, and containing less than $M$ bonds.

Definition 5.2. For $n \geq 1$ we denote by $\widehat{P_{n, M}^{i}}\left(G_{n}^{*}\right)$ the set of paths along $G_{n}^{*}$ that start with bond $i \in\{5,6\}$ and end at bond $1+2 m(n-1)$, i.e. the first loop bond of $v_{q_{n}}$, with exactly $M-n$ bonds.

REMARK 5.3. In Definition 5.1 (respectively 5.2) we wrote explicitly the dependence on $G^{\prime}$ (respectively 5.2 of the set $P_{n, M}^{i}\left(\right.$ respectively $\left.\widehat{P_{n, M}^{i}}\left(G_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$. We shall omit such dependence every time it is clear which graph (respectively DBG) we are referring to.

Lemma 5.4. For $n \geq 1$ there exists a bijective map $\Psi: P_{n, M}^{i} \rightarrow \widetilde{P_{n, M}^{i+4}}$ for all $i \in\{1,2\}$, and all $M$ and $n$.

Proof. We will show the proof for $i=1$, the proof for $i=2$ follows the same strategy. First, we note that any path $p \in P_{n, M}^{1}$ is the concatenation of $n$ sub-paths from $v_{q}$ to $v_{q}$ containing only one out-going bond of $v_{q}$, hence each sub-path starts and ends at $v_{q}$ but never crosses-over $v_{q}$. Let $P_{1} \subset \mathbb{P}(q)$, be the set of paths from $v_{q}$ to $v_{q}$ containing one out-going bond of $v_{q}$, and introduce the map

$$
\phi_{1}: P_{n, M}^{1} \longrightarrow \underbrace{P_{1} \times \ldots \times P_{1}}_{n \text {-times }},
$$

where we think of each $P_{1}$ to be on a separate copy of $G^{\prime}$. We maintain the order of subpaths with copies of $G^{\prime}$, i.e. the $i^{t h}$ sub-path is sent to the $i^{t h}$ copy of $G^{\prime}$.
Assume $p$ has $m<M$ bonds, $m \neq 1$ since any path in $\mathbb{P}(q)$ must contain at least two bonds, with the exception of the trivial path. Assume $m \geq 2$ and let $p=\left\{\vec{b}_{w_{1}, w_{2}}, \vec{b}_{w_{2}, w_{3}}, \ldots, \vec{b}_{w_{q}, w_{q+1}}\right\}$. From the definition of $P_{n, M}^{1}, w_{1}=w_{q+1}=v_{q}$ and $w_{2}=v_{1}$. Moreover $p$ contains $n$ outgoing bonds of $v_{q}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\{\text { bond } 1 \text { in } p\}+\#\{\text { bond } 2 \text { in } p\}=n \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using each appearance of bond 1 or bond 2 as delimiters, we separate $p$ into $n$ shorter sub-paths. Where bond 1 or bond 2 mark the start of the next sub-path. By continuity of the path $p$, all sub-paths must end with either bond 3 or bond 4, i.e. if sub-path $k+1$ starts with bond 1 , sub-path $k$ must end with bond 3 and if sub-path $k+1$ starts with bond 2 , sub-path $k$ must end with bond 4 . This implies each sub-path is contained in $P_{1}$. Thus, $\phi_{1}$ is injective. We define the set $P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime}$ to be the image of $P_{n, M}^{1}$ under $\phi_{1}$, so that $\phi_{1}: P_{n, M}^{1} \rightarrow P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime}$, is bijective. The set $P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime}$ can be viewed as an ordered $n$-tuple of sub-paths. In Figure 4 is an example of a path in $P_{2 M}^{1}$ and its image under $\phi_{1}$.


Figure 4. (a) Graph $G^{\prime}$ with path starting at $v_{q}$ and containing two outgoing bonds of $v_{q}$, and (b) two copies of $G^{\prime}$ containing the ordered $P_{1}$ sub-paths

Let $P_{1}^{\prime}$ be the set of paths on $G^{\prime}$ that start either at $v_{1}$ or $v_{2}$, end at $v_{q}$ and have no out-going bond of $v_{q}$. We now introduce a map

$$
\phi_{2}: P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime} \longrightarrow \underbrace{P_{1}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times P_{1}^{\prime}}_{n \text {-times }},
$$

which acts on each $P_{1}$ sub-path by removing the first bond. Note that for all paths in $P_{1}$, the first bond is uniquely specified by the second bond, since $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are artificial vertices with a trivial bond transfer. For instance if the second bond for a path in $P_{1}$ is bond 6 this implies the first bond must be bond 2. Therefore $\phi_{2}$ is injective, an example is in Figure 5

We define $P_{n M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ to be the image of $P_{n M}^{1}{ }^{\prime}$ under $\phi_{2}$, so that $\phi_{2}: P_{n M}^{1}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow P_{n M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is bijective.


Figure 5. (a) An element of $P_{2 M}{ }^{\prime}$, and (b) the same elements image under $\phi_{2}$
As done when constructing $G_{n}^{*}$, we relabel the bond numbering for the $n$ ordered copies of $G^{\prime}$ such that bond $i$ along copy $k$ of $G^{\prime}$ is relabeled bond $i+(k-1) 2 m, k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Thus, each bond on the $n$ copies of $G^{\prime}$ can be naturally associated with a bond on $G_{n}^{*}$. Let
$\phi_{3}$ represent this renumbering of bonds. Then, for $p \in P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ clearly $\phi_{3}(p)$ is a continuous path on $G_{n}^{*}$, as any sub-path ending with bond $3+2 m(k-1)$ the next sub-path must start with $5+2 m k$.

Since, every path on $G_{n}^{*}$ is uniquely determined by its order bond sequence we have for $p_{a}, p_{b} \in P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, if $\phi_{3}\left(p_{a}\right)=\phi_{3}\left(p_{b}\right)$, then $p_{b}=p_{a}$. We let $P_{n M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ be the image of $P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ under $\phi_{3}$. Thus, $\phi_{3}: P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is bijective, see Figure 6,


Figure 6. (a) An element in $P_{2 M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, and (b) its image under $\phi_{3}$
Finally, note that if $p^{\prime \prime} \in P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, and $\phi_{3}\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)=p^{\prime \prime \prime} \in P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ then $p^{\prime \prime \prime}$ has less than or equal to $M-(n-1)$ bonds, because we deleted a bond from each copy of $G^{\prime}$. Since $p$ has $m$ bonds, then $p^{\prime \prime \prime}$ must have $m-n$ bonds.

We introduce the last map $\phi_{4}$,

$$
\phi_{4}: P_{n, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime} \longrightarrow \widetilde{P_{n, M}^{5}}
$$

that adds $M-m$ loops, on bond $1+(n-1) 2 m$, to the path $p^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Thus, the path $\phi_{4}\left(p^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ contains at least one loop and exactly $(m-n)+M-m=M-n$ bonds, an example is in Figure 7


Figure 7. (a) Graph $G_{2}^{*}$ with path in $P_{2, M}^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$, and (b) image under $\phi_{4}$ containing $M-10+1$ loops

Clearly, $\phi_{4}$ is injective, and hence $\Psi:=\phi_{4} \circ \phi_{3} \circ \phi_{2} \circ \phi_{1}$ is injective. To complete the proof me must show that $\Psi$ is onto $\widetilde{P_{n, M}^{5}}$. Let $\widetilde{p} \in \widetilde{P_{n, M}^{5}}$ and say it is given by the bond sequence $\left\{b_{1}^{*}, b_{2}^{*}, \ldots, b_{t}^{*}\right\}$. In particular $\widetilde{p}$ must start at bond 5 and end with bond $1+2(n-1) M$, containing exactly $M-n$ bonds by the definition of $\widetilde{P_{n, M}^{5}}$.

Let $p \in P_{n, M}^{1}$ obtained from $\widetilde{p}$ as follows:
(1) Delete the loops of $\widetilde{p}$.
(2) On the $n$ copies of $G^{\prime}$ construct a sequence of paths having bond numbers equal to the bond numbers of $\widetilde{p}$ without the loops.
(3) To each path on each copy of $G^{\prime}$ add a bond starting at $v_{q}$ as the first bond.
(4) Concatenate the paths on the copies of $G^{\prime}$ in a single path $p \in P_{n, M}^{1}$.

Then by construction $\Psi(p)=\widetilde{p}$, hence $\Psi$ is onto.

Lemma 5.5. Let $\Psi$ be the bijective map of Lemma 5.4. Let $\alpha_{G^{\prime}}(p)$ be the heat path coefficient associated with path $p \in P_{n, M}^{i}$ and $\alpha_{G_{n}^{*}}(\phi(p))$ be the heat path coefficient for $\phi(p) \in \widetilde{P_{n, M}^{i+4}}, i \in\{1,2\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{B^{\prime}}(p)=\alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}}{ }_{n}(\Psi(p)), \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $M$ and $n$.
Proof. We prove the result for $i=1$; of course one can reason the same way for $i=2$. The proof relies on the fact that $\Psi: P_{n, M}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{P_{n, M}^{5}}$ is bijective, and for each step in the mappings $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$, and $\phi_{4}$ we add or delete bond transfers with bond scattering matrix entries equal to one, thus the product of bond scattering matrix entries remains unchanged under the mappings.

Consider a path $p=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\} \in P_{n, M}^{i}$, note that if $q=0$ or $q=1, \alpha_{B^{\prime}}(p)=\alpha_{B^{*}}{ }_{n}(\Psi(p))=$ 1 and the result is trivial. Assume $q \geq 2$, then we can identify $p$ with a sequence of elements of $B^{\prime}$, namely the sequence $\left\{\beta_{i_{1}, i_{2}}^{\prime}, \beta_{i_{2}, i_{3}}^{\prime}, \ldots, \beta_{i_{q-1}, i_{q}}^{\prime}\right\}$.

The map $\phi_{1}$ acts on $p$ by separating $p$ into $n$ sub-paths in $G^{\prime} \times \ldots \times G^{\prime}=:\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{n}$. Each sub-path of $p$ as a path in $G^{\prime}$ is connected by either the bond transfer bond 3 to bond 1 or bond 4 to bond 2. Thus, applying $\phi_{1}$ is equivalent to deleting all bond transfers from bond 3 to bond 1 and all bond transfers from bond 4 to bond 2 . Note that $\beta_{24}^{\prime}=\beta_{13}^{\prime}=1$, since $v_{q}$ is an artificial vertex. If we denote the $k$-th sub-path of $p$ as $p_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{B^{\prime}}(p)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{B^{\prime}}\left(p_{k}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, $\phi_{2}$ acts on each copy of $G^{\prime}$ by deleting the first bond in each sub-path, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)=\left(\phi_{2}\left(p_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi_{2}\left(p_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\phi_{2}$ acts on $p_{k}$ by deleting either bond 1 or bond 2 and thus deletes either the bond transfer from bond 1 to bond $5, \beta_{51}^{\prime}$, or bond 2 to bond $6, \beta_{62}^{\prime}$. Because $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are artificial vertices, $\beta_{51}^{\prime}=\beta_{62}^{\prime}=1$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{B^{\prime}}\left(p_{k}\right)=\alpha_{B^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{2}\left(p_{k}\right)\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combined with (5.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{B^{\prime}}(p)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{B^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{2}\left(p_{k}\right)\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\operatorname{map} \phi_{3}$ concatenates all the sub-paths $p_{k}$ onto a single path on $G_{n}^{*}$. The concatenation introduces bond transfers from bonds $4+(k-1) 2 m$ to $6+2 k m$ and from bonds $3+(k-1) 2 m$ to $5+2 k m, k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Moreover we note that $b_{6+2 k m, 4+(k-1) 2 m}^{*}=b_{5+2 k m, 3+(k-1) 2 m}^{*}=1$ where $b_{i, k}^{*}$ are the elements of the matrix $\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{n}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{B^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{2}\left(p_{k}\right)\right)=\alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}}{ }^{*}\left(\phi_{3}\left(\phi_{2}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)\right)\right)=\alpha_{B^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{3}\left(\phi_{2}\left(\phi_{1}(p)\right)\right)\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $\phi_{4}$ adds $M-m$ bond transfers to bond $1+2 m(n-1)$, the loop bond of $v_{q_{n}}$. These bond transfers all correspond to an element of $\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{n}$ equal to 1 . Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}}{ }_{n}\left(\phi_{3}\left(\phi_{2}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)\right)\right)=\alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{n}}\left(\phi_{4}\left(\phi_{3}\left(\phi_{2}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)\right)\right)\right. \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that combining (5.9) with (5.8), 5.7) and the definition of $\Psi$ the assertion follows.

## 6. Bounding Coefficients in the Heat Kernel Formula

Lemma 6.1 below is a general fact about quantum graphs and their bond scattering matrices. Let $e_{s}$ be the vector with components $\delta_{i s}$ the Kronecker-delta.

Lemma 6.1. Let $G$ be an arbitrary quantum graph with $2 m$ directed bonds. Let $B$ be the bond scattering matrix for $G$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{s, k}^{m}$ be the set of all paths starting with bond $s$ and ending with bond $k$ and having exactly $m+1$ bonds (hence $m$ bond transfers). then

$$
\left(B^{m} e_{s}\right)_{k}=\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{s, k}^{m}} \alpha_{B}(p)
$$

Proof. Let $\beta_{i j}$ be the entry in row $i$, column $j$ of $B$, defined as in 2.2 . We know that $\left(B^{m} e_{s}\right)_{k}$ is a sum of products, we will show that all non-zero terms in the sum can be uniquely identified with a path along $G$ from bond $s$ to bond $k$ with $m$ bond transfers. Simple matrix multiplication shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B^{m} e_{s}\right)_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 m} \sum_{j=1}^{2 m} \sum_{h=1}^{2 m} \ldots \sum_{\ell=1}^{2 m}\left(\beta_{i s}\right) \beta_{j i} \beta_{h j} \cdot \ldots \cdot \beta_{k \ell} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a$ be an arbitrary element in the above sum, and note that it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\beta_{i_{1}, i_{0}} \beta_{i_{2}, i_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \beta_{i_{m}, i_{m-1}}, \quad i_{0}=s, i_{m}=k \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to show that if the ordered sequence $\left\{i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\}$ is not a path $p \in \mathcal{P}_{s, k}^{m}$ then $a=0$. In other words we need to show that $i_{d}$ and $i_{d+1}$ are consecutive bonds for $d \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$.

For $a$ to be non-zero, each $\beta_{i_{d} j_{d}}$ must be non-zero. By the definition of $B$ each non-zero $\beta_{i j}$ is non-zero if $i$ and $j$ are consecutive bonds. Thus the assertion follows.

Corollary 6.2. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{M-n-1} e_{k}\right)_{1+2 m(n-1)}=\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{i}} \alpha(p) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}, k=i+4$, and all $M$ and $n$.

Proof. From Lemma 5.5 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{i}} \alpha_{B^{\prime}}(p)=\sum_{\widetilde{p} \in \underset{n, M}{i+4}} \alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{n}}(\widetilde{p}) . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n, M}^{i}$ and $\widetilde{P_{n, M}^{i+4}}$ are defined in Definitions 5.1 and 5.2 respectively. Using Lemma 6.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{\widetilde{p_{\ell}} \in \xlongequal[P_{n, M}^{i+4}]{ }} \alpha_{\mathrm{B}^{*}}^{n} \text { ( } \widetilde{p}\right)=\left(\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{M-n-1} e_{5}\right)_{1+2 m(n-1)}, \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the assertion follows.
Definition 6.3. We say an edge is direct path bounded if for $i \in\{1,2\}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { 1) } \lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in P_{1, M}^{i}} \alpha(p)=1  \tag{6.6}\\
\text { 2) } \sum_{p \in P_{1, M_{1}}^{i}} \alpha(p) \leq \sum_{p \in P_{1, M_{2}}^{i}} \alpha(p), \tag{6.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $M_{0} \leq M_{1} \leq M_{2}$, and $M_{0}=\min _{p \in P_{1}^{i}}\{\#$ bonds $(p)\}$.
Note, conditions 1) and 2) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{p \in P_{1 M}^{i}} \alpha(p)\right| \leq 1 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 6.4. Let $v_{0}, v_{1}$ be the vertices for $e \in G$. We will say that $e$ has no loop paths if for all $p \in P\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right), p$ contains the edge $e$. In other words, the only way to get from $v_{0}$ to $v_{1}$ is to travel across $e$.

We will use the notation that $B e_{i}(j)$ is entry $j$ in the vector $B e_{i}$.
Lemma 6.5. Let $e \in G$ be a direct path bounded edge with $m$ edges, then for all $i \in\{1,2\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{M} e_{i+4}(2 m(n-1)+1)\right| \leq N \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{i}} \alpha(p)\right]\right| \leq N \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will start with the assumption that $e$ has no loop paths, defined in 6.4. For convenience, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}^{i}=\sum_{\substack{p \in P_{1, M}^{i} \\ \# b o n d s(p)=j}} \alpha(p) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that many $\alpha_{j}^{i}$ will be zero, these terms can be ignored. With the above notation we can rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p_{1, M}^{i}} \alpha(p)=\sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha_{j}^{i} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption there are no loop paths, this implies any path $p \in P_{n, M}^{1}$ is the concatenation of $n$ paths alternating between $P_{1, M}^{1}$ and $P_{1, M}^{2}$. Let $\#(p)$ represent the number of bonds along $p$. Since all paths in $P_{n, M}^{i}$ have less than or equal to $M$ bonds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{1}} \alpha(p)=\sum_{p \in P_{(n-1), M}^{i}}\left[\alpha(p) \sum_{j=1}^{M-\#(p)} \alpha_{j}^{k}\right] . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $k=1$ if $n$ is odd and $k=2$ if $n$ is even. Without loss of generality assume $n$ is even. The above formula gives a recursive relationship for finding the sum of heat kernel coefficients for paths in $P_{n, M}^{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{1}} \alpha(p)=\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{M} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{M-j_{1}} \ldots \sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-1}\right)} \alpha_{j_{1}}^{1} \alpha_{j_{2}}^{2} \ldots \alpha_{j_{n-1}}^{1} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{2} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above equation, we can find the sum of heat kernel coefficients in both $P_{n, M}^{1}$ and $P_{(n-1), M}^{1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{1}} \alpha(p)+\sum_{p \in P_{(n-1), M}^{1}} \alpha(p)=\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{M} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{M-j_{1}} \ldots \sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}\right)} \alpha_{j_{1}}^{1} \alpha_{j_{2}}^{2} \ldots \alpha_{j_{n-1}}^{1}\left(1+\sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-1}\right.} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{2}\right) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extending this new recursive relation, we have
$\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{1}} \alpha(p)\right)=\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{M} \alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}\left(1+\sum_{j_{2}=1}^{M-j_{1}} \alpha_{j_{2}}^{2}\left(1+\ldots\left(1+\sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n-1}}^{1}\left(1+\sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-1}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{2}\right) \ldots\right)\right.\right.$.
The assumption that $e$ is direct path bounded implies for all $Q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{Q} \alpha_{j}^{i}\right| \leq 1 \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P_{1, M}^{i}$ contains at least one path than for all $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha_{j}^{i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{(M+1)} \alpha_{j}^{i} . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which implies if $P_{1,\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-1}\right)}^{i}$ contains at least one path then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-1}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{i} \leq \sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-1\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{i} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $P_{1,\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-1}\right)}^{i}$ does not contain a path then all $\alpha_{j_{n}}=0$ and we can drop this term. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-1}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{i}\right) \leq\left(1+\sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-1\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{i}\right) \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left(1+\sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-1\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{i}\right) \leq 2 \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sum is no longer dependent on $j_{n-1}$. Recall from the definition of direct path bounded that for $p \in P_{1, M}^{i}$, only the path with the least number of bonds can have a negative coefficient. We will call this coefficient $\alpha_{n e g}^{i}<0$. If $\alpha_{n e g}^{i}$ is not less than zero, than the edge $e$ must have a degree one vertex and $\alpha_{n e g}^{i}=1$ is the only non-zero coefficient. We can then replace $\sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha_{j}^{i}$ with 1 and the result follows the steps below. Assume $\alpha_{\text {neg }}^{i}<0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \leq 2 \alpha_{n e g}^{1} \leq \sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n-1}}^{1}\left(1+\sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}-1\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{2}\right) \leq 2 \sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n-1}}^{1} \leq 2 . \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the process we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3 \leq 3 \alpha_{n e g}^{k_{2}} \leq \sum_{j_{n-2}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-3}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n-2}}^{k_{1}}\left(1+\sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}-1\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{k}\right) \leq 3 \sum_{j_{n-2}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-3}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n-2}}^{k_{1}} \leq 3 . \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We continue the recursive process for the full sum,
$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{1}} \alpha(p)\right]\right|=\mid \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{M} \alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}\left(1+\sum_{j_{2}=1}^{M-j_{1}} \alpha_{j_{2}}^{2}\left(1+\ldots\left(1+\sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n-1}}^{1}\left(1+\sum_{j_{n}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-1}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n}}^{k}\right) \ldots\right) \mid\right.\right.$

$$
\vdots
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \mid \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{M} \alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}\left(1+\sum_{j_{2}=1}^{M-j_{1}} \alpha_{j_{2}}^{2}\left(1+\ldots\left(1+2 \sum_{j_{n-1}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n-1}}^{1}\right) \ldots\right) \mid\right. \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \mid \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{M} \alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}\left(1+\sum_{j_{2}=1}^{M-j_{1}} \alpha_{j_{2}}^{2}\left(1+\ldots\left(1+3 \sum_{j_{n-3}=1}^{\left(M-j_{1} \ldots-j_{n-2}\right)} \alpha_{j_{n-1}}^{1}\right) \ldots\right) \mid\right. \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \mid \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{M} \alpha_{j_{1}}^{1}\left(1+(N-1) \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{M-j_{1}} \alpha_{j_{2}}^{2} \mid\right. \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq N \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will show that dropping the assumption that $e$ has no loop paths the above bound remains true. Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be the vertices of $e$. Consider the sets $P_{1, M}^{1}$ and $P_{1, M}^{2}$. If there exists a path $p \in P_{1, M}^{1}$ where $p$ is a loop path, i.e. the first vertex along $p$ is $v_{1}$ and the last vertex is $v_{2}$, then by reversing the bond ordering of $p$, there exists some path $p_{\text {rev }}$ such that $\ell(p)=\ell\left(p_{\text {rev }}\right)$, \#bonds $(p)=\#$ bonds $\left(p_{\text {rev }}\right), \alpha(p)=\alpha\left(p_{\text {rev }}\right)$, and $p_{\text {rev }} \in P_{1 M}^{2}$. We can separate $P_{1, M}^{i}$ into two sets, loop paths $P_{\text {loops }}^{i}$ and paths that do not loop $P_{\text {noloops }}^{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1, M}^{i}=P_{\text {loops }}^{i} \cup P_{\text {noloops }}^{i} . \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{p \in P_{1, M}^{i}} \alpha(p)\right|=\left|\sum_{p \in P_{\text {loops }}^{i}} \alpha(p)+\sum_{p \in P_{\text {noloops }}^{i}} \alpha(p)\right| \leq 1 . \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing the indexing of the loop paths from $i \in\{1,2\}$ to $j \in\{1,2\}, j \neq i$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{p \in P_{1, M}^{i}} \alpha(p)\right|=\left|\sum_{p \in P_{\text {loops }}^{j}} \alpha(p)+\sum_{p \in P_{\text {noloops }}^{i}} \alpha(p)\right| \leq 1 . \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, changing the indexing set for loop paths did not change the sum and all indexing paths return to $q$ from the same direction. Thus, by changing the indexing set for loop paths we can repeat the above process.

For the definition of rational heat kernels, we refer the reader to definition 2.2 Rational heat kernels play a crucial role in bounding the heat kernel of a quantum graph. Recall the diagonal of the heat kernel on $G$ can be expressed with the heat sum formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}(t, q, q)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left(\sum_{p \in P(q, q)} \alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}\right) \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to this point, paths in $P_{n, M}^{i}$ are bounded by the number of bonds along the path, paths with less than $M+1$ bonds. For rational heat kernels, by inserting a finite number of artificial vertices all bonds can be made to have the same length. Hence, we can bound paths in $P_{n, M}^{i}$ by length. For rational heat kernels the matrix $\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{M}$ can be used to bound the $\alpha(p)$ coefficients and $\ell(p)$ in the exponential.

Lemma 6.6. Let $G$ be a quantum graph with rational heat kernel $K_{G}(t, q, q)$. Then a graph $G^{\prime}$ can be constructed from $G$ by inserting a finite number of artificial vertices such that for all $e \in G^{\prime}, \ell(e)=C$. Let $P_{n}^{i, L}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be the set of all paths in $P_{n}^{i}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with length less than or equal to $L, i \in\{1,2\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in P_{n}^{i, L}\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \alpha(p)=\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{i}\left(G^{\prime}\right)} \alpha(p) \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$ and $M$, where $L=C(M+1)$.
Proof. Let $K_{G}(t, q, q)$ be a rational heat kernel, by definition after inserting the vertex $v_{q}$ all bonds have rational length. Thus, there exists a $C$ such that for all bonds $b_{i} \in G$, $\ell\left(b_{i}\right) / C=q_{i}$, where $q_{i}$ is a positive integer. For all bonds $b_{i}$ of $G$ we insert $q_{i}-1$ artificial vertices on $b_{i}$ such that the distance between all adjacent vertices is exactly $C$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by adding all such artificial vertices. Then, any path along $G^{\prime}$ containing $(M+1)$ bonds has length $(M+1) C$ and any path with less than $(M+1)$ bonds has length less than $(M+1) C$.

Lemma 6.7. Let $e \in G$ and $q$ be a point contained in $e$. For all $\epsilon, t>0$, there exists a quantum graph $R$ with edge $e_{r}$ and point $q_{r} \in e_{r}$ such that $K_{R}\left(t, q_{r}, q_{r}\right)$ is a rational heat kernel satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{G}(t, q, q)-K_{R}\left(t, q_{r}, q_{r}\right)\right|<\epsilon \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that after adding the artificial vertex $v_{q}, G$ has $m$ edges, where each edge is labeled $e_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. We construct the graph $R$, by adding length $\delta_{i}>0$ to $e_{i}$ in such a way that $\ell\left(e_{i}\right)+\delta_{i}$ is rational.

Let $e=e_{1} \cup e_{2}$ where $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are the two edges created after inserting the artificial vertex at $q \in e$. Let $e_{1}^{\prime}$ and $e_{2}^{\prime}$ be the edges in $R$ obtained by adding $\delta_{1}$ to $e_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ to $e_{2}$, respectively. Call $v_{r}$ the artificial vertex separating $e_{1}^{\prime}$ and $e_{2}^{\prime}$.

Note that $G$ and $R$ have the same bond scattering matrix $B$. Let the $N$ shortest paths in $P_{G}(q, q)$ and the corresponding paths in $P_{R}\left(q_{r}, q_{r}\right)$ as $P_{N}$ and $P_{r N}$, respectively.

Then for all $t, \epsilon$ fixed, there exists $N=N(t, \epsilon)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left|\sum_{p \in P_{G}(q, q)} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}-\sum_{p \in P_{N}} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}\right|<\epsilon / 3 \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left|\sum_{p \in P_{R}\left(q_{r}, q_{r}\right)} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}-\sum_{p \in P_{r N}} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}\right|<\epsilon / 3 \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each path $p \in P_{N}$ and its corresponding path $p_{r} \in P_{r N}$ must have finite length and hence contain a finite number of edges. Moreover $\alpha(p)=\alpha\left(p_{r}\right)$. Let
(1) $Q^{*}:=$ maximum number of edges for any path in $P_{N}$.
(2) $\ell^{*}:=\min _{p_{r} \in P_{r N}}\left\{\ell\left(p_{r}\right)\right\}$.
(3) $K^{*}:=\max _{p_{r} \in P_{r N}}\left\{\left|\alpha\left(p_{r}\right) e^{-\left(\ell(p)+\delta_{i}\right)^{2} / 4 t}\right|\right\}$.
(4) $\delta^{*}=\max _{i \in\{1,2, \ldots, M\}}\left\{\delta_{i}\right\}$.

Then for all $p \in P_{N}$ and the corresponding $p_{r} \in P_{r N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}-\alpha\left(p_{r}\right) e^{-\ell\left(p_{r}\right)^{2} / 4 t}\right| & \leq\left|\alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}-\alpha(p) e^{-\left(\ell(p)+\delta^{*} Q^{*}\right)^{2} / 4 t}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}\left(1-e^{\left.-\left(2\left(\ell^{*} \delta^{*} Q^{*}\right)+\left(\delta^{*} Q^{*}\right)^{2}\right)\right) / 4 t}\right)\right| . \tag{6.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing the above bound for all $p \in P_{N}$ and $p_{r} \in P_{r N}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{p \in P_{N}} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}-\sum_{p \in P_{r N}} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}\right| & \leq \sum_{p \in P_{N}}\left|\alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}\left(1-e^{\left.-\left(2\left(\ell^{*} \delta^{*} Q^{*}\right)+\left(\delta^{*} Q^{*}\right)^{2}\right)\right) / 4 t}\right)\right|,  \tag{6.38}\\
& \leq K^{*} N\left(1-e^{-\left(2\left(\ell^{*} \delta^{*} Q^{*}\right) / 4 t+\left(\delta^{*} Q^{*}\right)^{2} / 4 t\right) .}\right. \tag{6.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $\delta^{*}=\delta^{*}(t, \epsilon)$ small enough implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left|\sum_{p \in P_{N}} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}-\sum_{p \in P_{r N}} \alpha_{B}(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t}\right|<\epsilon / 3 \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (6.35) and 6.36 implies 6.34).

## 7. Direct Path Bounded Edges

From definition 6.3, $e$ is a direct path bounded edge if for all $i \in\{1,2\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 1) } \lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in P_{1, M}^{i}} \alpha(p)=1 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 2) } \sum_{p_{\epsilon} P_{1, M_{1}}^{i}} \alpha(p) \leq \sum_{p \in P_{1, M_{2}}^{i}} \alpha(p) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $M_{0} \leq M_{1} \leq M_{2}$, where $M_{0}=\min _{p \in P_{1}^{i}}\{\# \operatorname{bonds}(p)\}$.
Let $e \in G$ with endpoints $\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$, and $q \in e$. As in section 4. Let $P_{1}(q, q)$ be all paths along $G$ from $q$ to $q$ that contain one out-going bond of $q$, i.e. return to $q$ a single time. Then, $P_{1}(q, q)=P_{1}^{1} \cup P_{1}^{2}$, where $P_{1}^{1}$ is all paths in $P_{1}(q, q)$ that first touch $v_{0}$ and $P_{1}^{2}$ is all paths that first touch $v_{1}$. If both $P_{1}^{1}$ and $P_{1}^{2}$ are bounded by 7.1 and 7.2 , then $e$ is direct path bounded. We restrict our attention to paths that first touch $v_{0}$. The strategy
is to find sub-graphs connected to $v_{0}$ where $P_{1}^{1}$ is bounded by 7.1 and 7.2 . We can then construct a class of edges, where if the sub-graphs connected to $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ satisfy (7.1) and (7.2) then $e$ is direct path bounded.

The first sub-graph that bounds $P_{1}^{1}$ by 7.1 and 7.2 is a symmetric star sub-graph connected at $v_{0}$. Where all edges $e_{i} \sim v_{0}, e_{i} \neq e$, have the same length and contain a degree one vertex.


Figure 8. The above sub-graph shows endpoint $v_{0}$ connected to a symmetric star

LEmma 7.1. Let $v_{0}$ be a vertex of e, and $v_{0}$ is connected to a symmetric star sub-graph, for all $e_{i} \sim v_{0}, e_{i} \neq e, \ell\left(e_{i}\right)=L>0$ and for $v_{i} \sim e_{i}, v_{i} \neq v_{0}, d_{v_{i}}=1$. If $P_{1}^{1}$ is all paths that first touch $v_{0}$ and contain a single outgoing bond of $q$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 1) } \lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in P_{1, M}^{1}} \alpha(p)=1 \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 2) } \sum_{p \in P_{1, M_{1}}^{1}} \alpha(p) \leq \sum_{p \in P_{1, M_{2}}^{1}} \alpha(p) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $M_{0} \leq M_{1} \leq M_{2}$, where $M_{0}=\min _{p \in P_{1}^{1}}\{\# \operatorname{bonds}(p)\}$.
Proof. Let $v_{0}$ have degree $d$ and $P_{1}^{1}$ be all paths that start toward $v_{0}$ and return to $q$ a single time. We partition the paths in $P_{1}^{1}$ by the number of times they touch $v_{0}$. Let the paths that touch $v_{0} N$ times be $R_{N}$. Since all edges connected to $v_{0}$, with the exception of $e$, have the same length all paths in each partition have the same length. If $p_{i} \in R_{N}$ and $p_{j} \in R_{N+1}$ then \#bonds $\left(p_{i}\right)=\#$ bonds $\left(p_{j}\right)-2$. We can examine the $\alpha(p)$ coefficients for each $R_{N}$.
$R_{1}$ has a single path with heat path coefficient $\left(\frac{2}{d}-1\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in R_{1}} \alpha(p)=\left(\frac{2}{d}-1\right) \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{2}$ has $d-1$ paths with two transmissions through $v_{0}$, with heat path coefficients $\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in R_{2}} \alpha(p)=(d-1)\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{2} . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{3}$ has $(d-1)^{2}$ paths, $(d-1)(d-2)$ containing 3 transmissions through $v_{0}$ with heat path coefficient $\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{3}$ and $(d-1)$ paths with 2 transmissions and one reflection off $v_{0}$ with heat path coefficients $\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2}{d}-1\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in R_{3}} \alpha(p)=(d-1)(d-2)\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{3}+(d-1)\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2}{d}-1\right) . \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing each time a path returns to $v_{0}$ it has $(d-1)$ choices to transmit and one choice to reflect, the heat path coefficients for $R_{N}$ follow a binomial distribution, $N>2$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{p \in R_{N}} \alpha(p) & =(2 / d)^{2}(d-1) \sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\binom{N-2}{k}(2 / d)^{N-k-2}(d-2)^{N-2-k}(2 / d-1)^{k}, \\
& =(2 / d)^{2}(d-1)(2 / d(d-2)+(2 / d-1))^{N-2},  \tag{7.8}\\
& =(2 / d)^{2}(d-1)(2-4 / d+2 / d-1)^{N-2}, \\
& =(2 / d)^{2}(d-1)(1-2 / d)^{N-2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Adding heat path coefficients from paths in $\cup_{i=1}^{N} R_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in \cup_{i=1}^{N} R_{i}} \alpha(p)=(2 / d-1)+(2 / d)^{2}(d-1) \sum_{k=0}^{N-2}(1-2 / d)^{k} . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which implies if $N_{1} \leq N_{2}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_{1}^{1}} R_{i}} \alpha(p) \leq \sum_{p \in \cup_{i=1}^{N_{2}} R_{i}} \alpha(p) . \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, a symmetric star shaped sub-graph satisfies (7.2). To show (7.1) is satisfied, take the sum of heat path coefficients from all paths in $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_{i}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in P_{1, M}^{1}} \alpha(p) & =\sum_{p \in \cup \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_{i}} \alpha(p) \\
& =(2 / d-1)+(2 / d)^{2}(d-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(1-2 / d)^{k}  \tag{7.11}\\
& =(1-2 / d)+(2 / d)^{2}(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{1-(1-2 / d)}\right) \\
& =1
\end{align*}
$$

The next sub-graph we consider is a symmetric flower sub-graph at $v_{0}$, i.e. for $e_{i} \sim v_{0}$, $e_{i} \neq e, \ell\left(e_{i}\right)=L$ and both endpoints of $e_{i}$ are $v_{0}$.


Figure 9. The above sub-graph shows endpoint $v_{0}$ connected to a symmetric flower

Corollary 7.2. Let $v_{0}$ be a vertex of e, connected to a symmetric flower sub-graph. So, for all $e_{i} \sim v_{0}, e_{i} \neq e, \ell\left(e_{i}\right)=L$ and $v_{0}$ is both end points for $e_{i}$ (i.e. $e_{i}$ is a loop connected to $v_{0}$ ). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 1) } \lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in P_{1, M}^{1}} \alpha(p)=1 \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 2) } \sum_{p \in p_{1, M_{1}}^{1}} \alpha(p) \leq \sum_{p \in P_{1, M_{2}}^{1}} \alpha(p) \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $M_{0} \leq M_{1} \leq M_{2}$, where $M_{0}=\min _{p \in P_{1}^{1}}\{\# \operatorname{bonds}(p)\}$.
Proof. Let $d_{v_{0}}=2 N+1$, which implies $v_{0}$ is connected to $N$ flower petals of length $L$. The heat path coefficients for those petals are the same as a symmetric star sub-graph with $2 N$ edges (not including $e_{0}$ ) and each edge having length $L / 2$.

If the sub-graph at $v_{0}$ is a symmetric pumpkin, meaning for $e_{i} \sim v_{0}, e_{i} \neq e, \ell\left(e_{i}\right)=L$ and all $e_{i}$ share the same endpoints.


Figure 10. The above sub-graph shows endpoint $v_{0}$ connected to a symmetric pumpkin

Corollary 7.3. Let $v_{0}$ be connected to a symmetric pumpkin sub-graph, $e_{i} \sim v_{0}, e_{i} \neq e$, $\ell\left(e_{i}\right)=L$ and $e_{i} \sim v_{2} \neq v_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 1) } \lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \in P_{1, M}^{1}} \alpha(p)=1 \text {, } \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 2) } \sum_{p \in P_{1, M_{1}}^{1}} \alpha(p) \leq \sum_{p \in P_{1, M_{2}}^{1}} \alpha(p) \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $M_{0} \leq M_{1} \leq M_{2}$, where $M_{0}=\min _{p \in P_{1}^{1}}\{\# \operatorname{bonds}(p)\}$.
Proof. Let $v_{0}$ be connected to a pumpkin sub-graph with multiplicity $M$ and $v_{2}$ be the vertex of the pumpkin across from $v_{0}$. Then $v_{2}$ has degree $M$. Let $p$ be a path along $G$ ending at $v_{2}$ with heat path coefficient $\alpha(p)$, where the last edge along $p$ is $e_{i}$. By the symmetry of the pumpkin there must be a corresponding path with heat path coefficient $\alpha(p)$ contained on each edge of the pumpkin not equal to $e_{i}$. All corresponding paths have the same length and same number of bonds, call these paths $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{M}\right\}$. Consider the scattering of each of these paths at $v_{2}$. Along each edge of the pumpkin sub-graph there will be $(M-1)$ equal transmissions through $v_{2}$ and a single reflection.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(p)\left(\frac{2}{M}(M-1)+\left(\frac{2}{M}-1\right)\right)=\alpha(p) \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies $v_{2}$ behaves like a degree one vertex for all paths from $q$. Which reduces the pumpkin argument to the symmetric star case.

## 8. Comparison Between Neumann Interval and Direct Path Bounded Edges

From Lemma 6.6, if $K_{G}(t, q, q)$ is a rational heat kernel we can construct a new graph $G^{\prime}$ by inserting a finite number of artificial vertices such that all edges of $G^{\prime}$ have the same length and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}(t, q, q)=K_{G^{\prime}}(t, q, q) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$.
When using the heat sum formula for rational heat kernels, the number of bonds in a path is equal to the total length of the path times a constant. So, the matrix $\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{M}$ can be used to bound the sum of heat path coefficients according to the length for paths in $P_{n}^{i}$. For example, let $K_{G}(t, q, q)$ be a rational heat kernel, after constructing $G^{\prime}$ from $G$ by inserting a finite number of artificial vertices every edge in $G^{\prime}$ has length $C$. Then if $G$ has $m$ edges,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{(M-n-1)} e_{5}(2 m(n-1)+1)=\sum_{p \in P_{n, M}^{i}} \alpha(p)=\sum_{p \in P_{n}^{i, L}} \alpha(p), \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=C(M+1)$.
We construct a new set of paths,
Definition 8.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(L, q, G)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{p \in P_{n}^{i, L}} \alpha(p)\right] \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P(L, q, G)$ is all paths along $G$ beginning and ending with $q$ with length less than or equal to $L$.

Theorem 8.2. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be compact connected quantum graphs, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in P(L, q, G)} \alpha(p) \leq \sum_{p^{\prime} \in P\left(L, q^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)} \alpha\left(p^{\prime}\right) \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $L \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}(t, q, q) \leq K_{G^{\prime}}\left(t, q^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$.
Proof. Let $p$ be a path along $G$ beginning and ending at $q$ and $p^{\prime}$ be a path along $G^{\prime}$ beginning and ending at $q^{\prime}$. We will proceed by contradiction, assume there exists some time $t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}\left(t_{0}, q, q\right)>K_{G^{\prime}}\left(t_{0}, q^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $\epsilon>0$, there exists $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ such that for all $L_{1}>N_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{G}\left(t_{0}, q, q\right)-\sum_{p \in P\left(L_{1}, q, G\right)} \alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t_{0}}\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $L_{2}>N_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{G}\left(t_{0}, q^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{p^{\prime} \in P\left(L_{2}, q^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)} \alpha\left(p^{\prime}\right) e^{-\ell\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 4 t_{0}}\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L^{*}>\max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$, then for $\epsilon$ small enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in P\left(L^{*}, q, G\right)} \alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t_{0}}>\sum_{p^{\prime} \in P\left(L^{*}, q^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)} \alpha\left(p^{\prime}\right) e^{-\ell\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in P\left(L^{*}, q, G\right)} \alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t_{0}}-\sum_{p^{\prime} \in P\left(L^{*}, q^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)} \alpha\left(p^{\prime}\right) e^{-\ell\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 4 t_{0}}>0 \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{L^{*}}=\sum_{p \in P(L, q, G)} \alpha(p) e^{-\ell(p)^{2} / 4 t_{0}}-\sum_{p^{\prime} \in P\left(L, q^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)} \alpha\left(p^{\prime}\right) e^{-\ell\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume there are $Q$ unique path lengths for paths in $P\left(L^{*}, q, G\right) \cup P\left(L^{*}, q^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)$. We will enumerate these path lengths, let $\ell_{j}$ correspond to the $j^{t h}$ shortest unique path length in $P\left(L^{*}, q, G\right) \cup P\left(L^{*}, q^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)$. We will combine terms in $K_{L^{*}}$ by summing the coefficients from paths with the same length. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}=\sum_{\substack{p \in P\left(L^{*}, q, G\right) \\ \ell(p)=\ell_{j}}} \alpha(p)-\sum_{\substack{p^{\prime} \in P\left(L^{*}, q^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right) \\ \ell(p)=\ell_{j}}} \alpha\left(p^{\prime}\right) . \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $K_{L^{*}}$ is equal to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{L^{*}}=\sum_{i=1}^{Q} \alpha_{i} e^{\ell_{i}^{2} / 4 t_{0}}>0 \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption (8.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}<0 \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m \leq Q$.
If $\alpha_{i}<0$, we can increase $K_{L^{*}}$ by increasing $\ell_{i}$. By assumption 8.4, $\alpha_{1} \leq 0$, we increase the path length of $\ell_{1}$ so that $\ell_{1}=\ell_{2}$ and combine terms in $K_{L^{*}}$ with coefficients $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i} e^{-\ell_{i}^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \leq\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) e^{-\ell_{2}^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by 8.4, $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \leq 0$. Which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) e^{-\ell_{2}^{2} / 4 t_{0}}+\alpha_{3} e^{-\ell_{3}^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \leq\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) e^{-\ell_{3}^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We repeat the process for all $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, M\}$, where at each step by assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{j} \alpha_{i}<0 \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} \alpha_{i}\right) e^{-\ell_{j}^{2} / 4 t_{0}}+\left(\alpha_{j+1}\right) e^{-\ell_{j+1}^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \alpha_{i}\right) e^{-\ell_{j+1}^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $j=M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{L^{*}} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_{i}\right) e^{-\ell_{M}^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \leq 0 \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts 8.10 and completes the proof.

Corollary 8.3. Let $e \in G$ be a direct bounded edge with vertices $v_{l}$ and $v_{r}$, and length $\ell$. For $q \in e$, where $\left|v_{l}-q\right|=\ell_{1}$ and $\left|v_{r}-q\right|=\ell_{2}$, if $K_{G}(t, q, q)$ is a rational heat kernel and any path in $P(q, q)$ containing both $v_{l}$ and $v_{r}$ has length equal to or greater than $\max \left\{2 \ell_{1}, 2 \ell_{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}(t, q, q) \leq K_{I}(t, q, q) \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$, where $I$ is the Neumann interval of length $\ell$ and $q$ has the same relative spacing along the interval as on $e$. If $G$ is not the Neumann interval the inequality is strict.

Proof. Let $B(I)$ be the bond scattering matrix for $I$. For each path $p$ on $I$ we have $\alpha(p)=1$. The heat path formula for the Neumann interval $I$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I}(t, q, q)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left(1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(2 \ell_{1}+2 k \ell\right)^{2} / 4 t}+e^{-\left(2 \ell_{2}+2 k \ell\right)^{2} / 4 t}+2 e^{-(2(k+1) \ell)^{2} / 4 t}\right) \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first summand is the term corresponding to the trivial path; compare with (2.4).
If we partition the paths for the Neumann interval according to their initial direction and how many out-going bonds of $v_{q}$ the path contains (as we did in Section 5), each partition contains exactly one path. The path length in $P_{n}^{1}(I)$ alternates between $2 \ell_{1}+(n-1) \ell$ for $n$ odd and $n \ell$ for $n$ even. Similarly, the paths in $P_{n}^{2}(I)$ alternate between $2 \ell_{2}+(n-1) \ell$ for $n$ odd and $n \ell$ for $n$ even.

By assumption $K_{G}(t, q, q)$ is a rational heat kernel, construct a new graph $G^{\prime}$ from $G$ by inserting a finite number of artificial vertices such that all edges in $G^{\prime}$ have length $C$, as we did in 6.6. Constructing the matrices $B_{n}^{*}$ from $G^{\prime}$ we can use 6.5 to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{M} e_{i+4}(2 m(n-1)+1) \leq N \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $N, M \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$, and $i \in\{1,2\}$.
Because all bonds of $G^{\prime}$ have the same length, $\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{M} e_{5}(2 m(n-1)+1)$ is the sum of heat path coefficients from $P_{n}^{1, L}$, where $L=C(M+1)$. By assumption the shortest path in $P(q, q)$ containing both $v_{l}$ and $v_{r}$ must be greater than or equal to $\max \left\{2 \ell_{1}, 2 \ell_{2}\right\}$. This implies than any loop path in $P(q, q)$, has length greater than or equal to $\max \left\{2 \ell_{1}, 2 \ell_{2}\right\}$. Thus, the shortest path $p \in P(q, q)$ starting in the direction of $v_{l}$ has length $2 \ell_{1}$. Similarly the shortest path $p \in P(q, q)$ starting in the direction of $v_{r}$ has length $2 \ell_{2}$. This implies that the length of any path in $P_{n}^{i}(G)$ has a lower bound $2 \ell_{i}+(n-1) \ell$ for $n$ odd and $n \ell$ for $n$ even, which are the lengths of paths in $P_{n}^{i}(I)$ for $n$ odd or even, respectively.

We construct a new graph $I^{\prime}$ by inserting a finite number of artificial vertices on $I$ and ensuring that all edges of $I^{\prime}$ have length C. Let $I^{\prime}$ have $m^{*}$ edges. If $(M-1)$ is less than $\left(2 \ell_{i}+(n-1) \ell\right) / C$ for n odd or $2 \ell / C$ for $n$ even then $P_{n, M}^{i}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=P_{n, M}^{i}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B\left(I^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{*}\right)^{M} e_{(i+4)}\left(2 m^{*}(n-1)+1\right)=\left(B_{n}^{*}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)^{M} e_{(i+4)}(2 m(n-1)+1)=0 \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing the terms in the Neumann interval and using Lemma 6.5, if $M$ is large enough to include the shortest path in each partition then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(B\left(I^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{*}\right)^{M} e_{(i+4)}\left(2 m^{*}(n-1)+1\right)=N \geq \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)^{M} e_{(i+4)}(2 m(n-1)+1) \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M$ is not large enough, the contribution from both sums in 8.24 is zero and the inequality remains true. The result follows from Theorem 8.2.

If $G$ is not the Neumann interval then the inequality 8.20 becomes strict. Assume $G$ is not the Neumann interval, then at least one of the end points of $e$ must be a vertex of degree greater than 2. Equation (8.15) in Theorem 8.2 becomes a strict inequality as $\alpha_{1}<0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i} e^{-\ell_{i}^{2} / 4 t_{0}}<\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) e^{-\ell_{2}^{2} / 4 t_{0}} \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, 8.20 becomes a strict inequality.

## 9. Neumann Comparison: Off Diagonal Results

Corollary 8.3 can be adapted to the off diagonal heat kernel by showing that there is a bijection between paths along a quantum graph from $q$ to $q$ and paths from $q$ to $q_{0}$, for any $q_{0}$ contained along the same edge as $q$.

LEMMA 9.1. If $q$ and $q_{0}$ belong to the same edge of a quantum graph there is a bijection between the sets $P(q, q)$ and $P\left(q, q_{0}\right)$.

Proof. We start by inserting two artificial vertices along $G$ at $q$ and $q_{0}$, call them $v_{q}$ and $v_{q_{0}}$, respectively. Without loss of generality, assume $v_{q_{0}}$ is to the right of $v_{q}$. As in Lemma 5.4 it is convenient to distinguish paths that start to the right or to the left. Let
$\mathbb{P}_{r}(q, q)$ and $\mathbb{P}_{r}\left(q_{0}, q\right)$ be the set of paths that start to the right in $P(q, q)$ and $P\left(q_{0}, q\right)$, respectively. Any path in $\mathbb{P}_{r}(q, q)$ can be obtained from a path in $\mathbb{P}_{r}\left(q_{0}, q\right)$ by adding the bond $\vec{b}_{v_{q}, v_{q_{0}}}$ as the first bond. Conversely, any path in $\mathbb{P}_{r}\left(q_{0}, q\right)$ can be obtained from a path in $\mathbb{P}_{r}(q, q)$ by deleting the first bond $\vec{b}_{v_{q}, v_{q_{0}}}$. Thus, there is a one to one correspondence between $\mathbb{P}_{r}(q, q)$ and $\mathbb{P}_{r}\left(q_{0}, q\right)$. We can use the same reasoning for paths that start towards the left. Then there is a one to one correspondence between $P(q, q)$ and $P\left(q_{0}, q\right)$ and of course the latter has a one to one correspondence with $P\left(q, q_{0}\right)$, so the assertion follows.

Lemma 9.2. The bijection described in Lemma 9.1 preserves the heat path coefficients for all paths.

Proof. The bijection in Lemma 9.1 either adds or deletes the bond transfer at $v_{q}$ which is an artificial vertex and hence has a bond scattering matrix entry equal to one. Thus, the bijection does not change the heat path coefficient.

Thanks to Lemma 9.1 we can introduce a partition of $P\left(q, q_{0}\right)$ that bijectively corresponds to the partition of $P(q, q)$ in definition 5.1. We shall use the same notation for the partition of $P\left(q, q_{0}\right)$ as the one of $P(q, q)$. Thus, if we ensure that all paths in each partition of $P\left(q, q_{0}\right)$ have length longer than the single path in the corresponding Neumann interval partition, then for $q, q_{0} \in e$ Lemma 8.3 extends to $q \neq q_{0}$.

Theorem 9.3. Let $G$ be a quantum graph and $K_{G}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)$ be the heat kernel on $G$, where $q$ and $q_{0}$ belong to the same edge $e$ with length $\ell$ and vertices $v_{l}$ and $v_{r}$. Let $\ell_{1}:=\left|v_{l}-q_{0}\right|$ and $\ell_{2}:=\left|v_{r}-q_{0}\right|$. If every path from $q_{0}$ to $q_{0}$ containing both $v_{r}$ and $v_{l}$ has length equal to or greater than $\max \left\{2 \ell_{1}, 2 \ell_{2}\right\}$ and $e$ is direct path bounded then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{G}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right) \leq K_{I}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right), \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0$, where $K_{I}\left(t, q, q_{0}\right)$ is the heat kernel for a Neumann interval of length $\ell$ with $q$ and $q_{0}$ have the same relative positions as on e. If $G$ is not the Neumann interval than the inequality is strict.

Proof. Using the bijection of Lemma 9.1 both for $G$ and the Neumann interval $I$, we either add or delete a bond which has the same length in $G$ and in $I$, so we can confine ourselves to paths starting from $q$ and returning to $q$. Then we simply apply Lemma 8.3.

## CHAPTER 4

## Mean Value Theorem

## 1. Mean Value Formula

Let $H$ be the heat operator in one dimension and $H^{\prime}$ its adjoint i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H f=f_{x x}-f_{t} \quad H^{\prime} v=v_{x x}+v_{t} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let D be a region in $\mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty),(\xi, \tau)$ be our variables of integration, and $\vec{n}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ be the outward normal with respect to $\partial D$. By the divergence theorem, if $H f=0$ and $H^{\prime} v=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{D}\left[f H^{\prime} v-v H f\right] d A=\int_{\partial D}\left[\left(f v_{\xi}-f_{\xi} v\right) n_{1}+f v n_{2}\right] d s=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $v \equiv 1$, equation 1.2 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left[-f_{\xi} n_{1}+f n_{2}\right] d s=\int_{B}-f_{\xi} d \tau+f d \xi=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the distance function along $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(q_{0}, q\right)=\min _{p \in P\left(q_{0}, q\right)}\{\ell(p)\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a point $q_{0} \in G$, where $q_{0} \notin V(G)$. For all $q \in G$, if $q$ is a singularity of $d\left(q_{0}, q\right)$ we insert an artificial vertex at $q$ and add $q$ to the set $V(G)$. Let $q_{0}$ belong to the edge $e_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ be the closest vertex to $q_{0}$, we construct the following coordinate system along each edge of $G$

$$
x= \begin{cases}d\left(q_{0}, q\right) & e \neq e_{0}  \tag{1.5}\\ d\left(v_{0}, q\right) & e=e_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Let $h\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right)$ be the reverse time heat kernel on G . Then along each $e \in G$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{x x}+h_{t}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.1. The heat ball on $G$ is the set $Q\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right):=\left\{(x, t) \in G \times\left(0, t_{0}\right) \mid\right.$ $\left.h\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right) \geq C\right\}$ for some $C>0$. When we fix $q_{0}, t_{0}$, and $C$ we will refer to the heat ball as $Q$.

THEOREM 1.2. Let $G$ be a compact quantum graph and let $u(q, t)$ satisfy the heat equation on $G$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\int_{\partial Q}-u h_{x} n_{1} d s \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\partial Q$ is the boundary of the heat ball $Q\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right)$ for $q_{0} \in G, t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$, and $C>0$.

Proof. Fix $q_{0} \in G, t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$, and $C>0$. On each edge $e \in E(G)$, let $Q_{e}=\{(x, t) \in$ $\left.e \times\left(0, t_{0}\right) \mid h\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right) \geq C\right\}$, i.e. $Q_{e}=e \cap Q$. Let $R_{e}=Q_{e} \cap\{V(G)\}, R_{e}$ is the boundary of $Q_{e}$ at the vertices of $e$. On the edge $e_{0}$, we define the set $J_{t_{1}}=Q_{e_{0}} \cap\left\{t \leq t_{1}\right\}$ for some $t_{1}<t_{0}$, with boundary $\partial J_{t_{1}}$.

The boundary $\partial J_{t_{1}}$ can be split into two sub-sets $\partial J_{1}=\partial Q_{e_{0}} \cap \partial J_{t_{1}}$ and $\partial J_{2}=Q_{e_{0}} \cap\{t=$ $\left.t_{1}\right\}$. If $\vec{n}_{J}=\left(n_{J, 1}, n_{J, 2}\right)$ is the outward normal with respect to $\partial J_{t_{1}}$, then for $\partial J_{2}$ we have $n_{1}=0$. If $\left|t_{1}-t_{0}\right|<\epsilon$, for $\epsilon$ small enough $\partial J_{2} \cap V(G)=\varnothing$. Let $u(q, t)$ solve the heat equation on $G$. Using the coordinate system described in (1.5) and the divergence theorem,
$0=\int_{J_{t_{1}}}\left[u\left(h_{x x}+h_{t}\right)-h\left(u_{x x}-u_{t}\right)\right] d A=\int_{\partial J_{t_{1}}}\left[u h_{x} n_{J, 1}-h u_{x} n_{J, 1}+h u n_{J, 2}\right] d s$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\int_{\partial J_{1}}\left[u h_{x} n_{J, 1}-h u_{x} n_{J, 1}+h u n_{J, 2}\right] d s+\int_{\partial J_{2}}\left[u h_{x} n_{J, 1}-h u_{x} n_{J, 1}+h u n_{J, 2}\right] d s  \tag{1.9}\\
& =\int_{\partial J_{1}}\left[u h_{x} n_{J, 1}-h u_{x} n_{J, 1}+h u n_{J, 2}\right] d s+\int_{\partial J_{2}} h u n_{J, 2} d s \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $t_{1} \rightarrow t_{0}$ and using the delta function property of $h\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\lim _{t_{1} \rightarrow t_{0}} \int_{J_{2}} u h n_{J, 2} d s & =\int_{\partial Q_{e_{0}}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{J, 1}+h u_{x} n_{J, 1}-h u n_{J, 2}\right] d s  \tag{1.11}\\
& =\int_{\partial Q_{e_{0}} \backslash R_{e_{0}}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{J, 1}+h u_{x} n_{J, 1}-h u n_{J, 2}\right] d s+\int_{R_{e_{0}}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{J, 1}+h u_{x} n_{J, 1}\right] d s
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\vec{n}_{e}=\left(n_{e, 1}, n_{e, 2}\right)$ be the outward normal with respect to $\partial Q_{e}$. We note that $n_{e, 2}=0$ with respect to the boundary $R_{e}$. For all $e \neq e_{0}$, by the divergence theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{\partial Q_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+h u_{x} n_{e, 1}-h u n_{e, 2}\right] d s \\
& =\int_{\partial Q_{e} \backslash R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+h u_{x} n_{e, 1}-h u n_{e, 2}\right] d s+\int_{R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+h u_{x} n_{e, 1}\right] d s \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing equations 1.11 and 1.12 for all $\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\}$, where for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, N\}, Q_{e_{i}} \neq \varnothing$, we get
(1.13) $u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial Q_{e} \backslash R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+h u_{x} n_{e, 1}-h u n_{e, 2}\right] d s+\int_{R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+h u_{x} n_{e, 1}\right] d s\right]$.

From the vertex conditions for $h$ and $u$, we have $\sum_{e \sim v} u_{x} n_{e, 1}=0$ and $\sum_{e \sim v} h_{x} n_{e, 1}=0$ for all $v \in V$. This implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+h u_{x} n_{e, 1}\right] d s & =\sum_{v \in V(G) \cap Q}\left[\sum_{e \sim v} \int_{R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+h u_{x} n_{e, 1}\right] d s\right]  \tag{1.14}\\
& =\sum_{v \in V(G) \cap Q}\left[\int_{R_{e}}\left[-u\left[\sum_{e \sim v} h_{x} n_{e, 1}\right]+h\left[\sum_{e \sim v} u_{x} n_{e, 1}\right] d s\right],\right.  \tag{1.15}\\
& =0 . \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 1.13 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial Q_{e} \backslash R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+h u_{x} n_{e, 1}-h u n_{e, 2}\right] d s\right. \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction of $\partial Q_{e}, h \equiv C$ on $\partial Q_{e} \backslash R_{e}$. Which implies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial Q_{e} \backslash R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+C\left(u_{x} n_{e, 1}-u n_{e, 2}\right)\right] d s\right. \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.3),

$$
\begin{align*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial Q_{e} \backslash R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}+C\left(u_{x} n_{e, 1}-u n_{e, 2}\right)\right] d s,\right. \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial Q_{e} \backslash R_{e}}\left[-u h_{x} n_{e, 1}\right] d s,\right.  \tag{1.19}\\
& =\int_{\partial Q}-u h_{x} n_{1} d s .
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. Bounding the Mean Value Formula

In the previous section we found an expression for the mean value formula for the heat equation on a quantum graph using the heat kernel of $G$. We will now find an alternate representation for the mean value formula using the free heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}$. This new version can be used to bound the mean value formula on $G$. The free heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}$ can be expressed

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathbb{R}}(t, x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-|x-y|^{2} / 4 t} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the coordinate system described in (1.5), we define the function $k\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right)$ on $G$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi\left(t_{0}-t\right)}} e^{-x^{2} / 4\left(t_{0}-t\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $x \notin V$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{x x}+k_{t}=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the next theorem, we define the following sets:

- $B\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right):=\left\{(x, t) \in G \times\left(0, t_{0}\right) \mid k\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right) \geq C\right\}$, for some $C>0$. When we fix $t_{0} \in(0, \infty), q_{0} \in G \backslash V(G)$, and $C>0$ we will refer to this set as $B$.
- $B_{e}\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right)=B\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right) \cap e$ for some $e \in E(G)$, when $q_{0}, t_{0}$, and $C$ are clear we use the simpler notation $B_{e}$.
- $\vec{n}_{e}=\left(n_{e, 1}, n_{e, 2}\right)$ is the outward normal with respect to $B_{e}$, using the coordinate system described in 1.5).
- $d_{v}^{+}$and $d_{v}^{-}$are the number of edges $e \sim v$, such that on $B_{e} \cap v, \vec{n}_{e}=(-1,0)$ and $\overrightarrow{n_{e}}=(1,0)$, respectively. $B_{e} \cap v$ is the boundary of $B_{e}$ at $v$. To account for our coordinate system, for $v_{0}$ we subtract one from $d_{v_{0}}^{+}$and add one to $d_{v_{0}}^{-}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ be a compact quantum graph. Using the sets described above if $u(q, t)$ solves the heat equation on $G$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\int_{\partial B}-u k_{x} n_{1} d s+\sum_{v \in V}\left(d_{v}^{+}-d_{v}^{-}\right) \int_{B \cap v} u k_{x} d s \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Through out this proof we will fix $q_{0}, t_{0}$, and $C>0$. Using the sets described above and the coordinate system descirbed in 1.5), if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi\left(t_{0}-t\right)}} e^{-x^{2} / 4\left(t_{0}-t\right)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for all edges $e \neq e_{0}$, we have $x=d\left(q_{0}, q\right)>0$, which implies for all $e \neq e_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{x}=\frac{-x}{2\left(t_{0}-t\right)} k<0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $e \in E(G)$, the boundary of $B_{e}$, denoted $\partial B_{e}$, consists of two sets. The first is $\left\{(x, t) \in e \times\left(0, t_{0}\right) \mid k\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right)=C, x \notin V\right\}$. The second is the boundary at the vertices of $e, T_{e}=B_{e} \cap\{V\}$. Let $\vec{n}_{e}=\left(n_{e, 1}, n_{e, 2}\right)$ be the outward normal with respect to $\partial B_{e}$. Along the boundary $T_{e}$, we have $n_{e, 2}=0$.

On the edge $e_{0}$, we define the set $I_{t_{1}}:=B_{e_{0}} \cap\left\{t \leq t_{1}\right\}$ for some $t_{1}<t_{0}$. We separate the boundary of $I_{t_{1}}$ into two sets $\partial I_{1}=\partial B_{e_{0}} \cap \partial I_{t_{1}}$ and $\partial I_{2}=B_{e_{0}} \cap\left\{t=t_{1}\right\}$. On $\partial I_{2}$ we have $n_{e_{0}, 1}=0$. If $\left|t_{1}-t_{0}\right|<\epsilon$, then for $\epsilon$ small enough $\partial I_{2} \cap V(G)=\varnothing$. Let $u$ solve the heat equation on $G$. Let $\vec{n}_{I}=\left(n_{I, 1}, n_{I, 2}\right)$ be the outward normal with respect to $I_{t_{1}}$. By the divergence theorem, on $e_{0}$
$0=\int_{I_{t_{1}}}\left[u\left(k_{x x}+k_{t}\right)-k\left(u_{x x}-u_{t}\right)\right] d A=\int_{\partial I_{t_{1}}}\left[u k_{x} n_{I, 1}-k u_{x} n_{I, 1}+k u n_{I, 2}\right] d s$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\int_{\partial I_{I, 1}}\left[u k_{x} n_{I, 1}-k u_{x} n_{I, 1}+k u n_{I, 2}\right] d s+\int_{\partial I_{2}}\left[u k_{x} n_{I, 1}-k u_{x} n_{I, 1}+k u n_{I, 2}\right] d s  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\int_{\partial I_{1}}\left[u k_{x} n_{I, 1}-k u_{x} n_{I, 1}+k u n_{I, 2}\right] d s+\int_{\partial I_{2}} k u n_{I, 2} d s \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $t_{1} \rightarrow t_{0}$ implies $\partial I_{t_{1}} \rightarrow \partial B_{e_{0}}$. Using the delta function property of $k\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\lim _{t_{1} \rightarrow t_{0}} \int_{\partial I_{2}} u k n_{I, 2} d s=\int_{\partial B_{e_{0}}}\left[-u k_{x} n_{I, 1}+k u_{x} n_{I, 1}-k u n_{I, 2}\right] d s \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $e \neq e_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{\partial B_{e}}\left[-u k_{x} n_{e, 1}+k u_{x} n_{e, 1}-k u n_{e, 2}\right] d s \\
& =\int_{\partial B_{e} \backslash T_{e}}\left[-u k_{x} n_{e, 1}+k u_{x} n_{e, 1}-k u n_{e, 2}\right] d s+\int_{T_{e}}\left[-u k_{x}+k u_{x}\right] n_{e, 1} d s . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\}$ be edges such that $B_{e_{i}} \neq \varnothing$. Summing equations 2.10 and 2.11, (2.12) $u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial B_{e_{i}} \backslash T_{e_{i}}}\left[-u k_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}+k u_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}-k u n_{e_{i}, 2}\right] d s+\int_{T_{e_{i}}}\left[-u k_{x}+k u_{x}\right] n_{e_{i}, 1} d s\right]$.

If $v \cap B=\varnothing$, then for all $e \sim v, v \cap \partial B_{e}=\varnothing$. Otherwise, we will integrate over $v$, $d_{v}$ times. Note that for all $e$, on $T_{e}$ the outward normal $n_{e, 1}= \pm 1$, however the sign is dependent on the coordinate system described in 1.5. If $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are the vertices of $e$, then $T_{e}=\left(\partial B_{e} \cap v_{1}\right) \cup\left(\partial B_{e} \cap v_{2}\right)$. From the vertex condition that $\sum_{e \sim v} u_{x} n_{e, 1}=0$ and the continuity of $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e \sim v} \int_{\partial B_{e} \cap v} k u_{x} n_{e, 1} d s=\int_{\partial B_{e} \cap v} k\left(\sum_{e \sim v} u_{x} n_{e, 1}\right) d s=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial B_{e_{i}} \backslash T_{e_{i}}}\left[-u k_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}+k u_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}-k u n_{e_{i}, 2}\right] d s+\int_{T_{e_{i}}}\left[-u k_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1} d s\right]\right. \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $v \neq v_{0}$, let $d_{v}^{+}$be the number of edges adjacent to $v$ such that on $\partial B_{e} \cap v$, $n_{e, 1}=-1$. Similarly, let $d_{v}^{-}$be the number of edges adjacent to $v$ such that on $\partial B_{e} \cap v$, $n_{e, 1}=1$. For $v=v_{0}$, to account for our coordinate system, we subtract one from $d_{v_{0}}^{+}$and add one to $d_{v_{0}}^{-}$. For each $v \neq v_{0}$, select some $e \sim v$ call this edge $e_{v}^{*}$, selecting a single edge stops us from integrating over the boundary $\partial B_{e} \cap v$ multiple times. For all $e \sim v \neq v_{0}, k_{x}<0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e \sim v} \int_{B_{e} \cap v}-u k_{x} n_{1} d s=\left(d_{v}^{+}-d_{v}^{-}\right) \int_{B_{e_{v}^{*} \cap v}} u k_{x} d s \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\int_{T_{e_{i}}}\left[-u k_{x} n_{1} d s\right]=\sum_{v \in V}\left(d_{v}^{+}-d_{v}^{-}\right) \int_{B_{e_{v}^{*} \cap v}} u k_{x} d s\right. \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that if $B \cap v=\varnothing$, then the contribution to the sum from this vertex is zero. For the vertex $v_{0}$, the boundary $B_{e_{0}} \cap v_{0}, n_{e_{0}, 1}=-1$ and $k_{x}>0$. For all edges $e \sim v_{0}, e \neq e_{0}$, we have along the boundary $B_{e} \cap v_{0}, k_{x}<0$ and $n_{e, 1}=-1$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e \sim v_{0}, e \neq e_{0}} \int_{B_{e} \cap v_{0}}-u k_{x} n_{1} d s=\left(d_{v_{0}}-1\right) \int_{B_{e_{0} \cap v_{0}}} u k_{x} d s \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\partial B_{e_{0}} \cap v_{0}, k_{x}>0$ and $n_{e_{0}, 1}=-1$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{e_{0} \cap v_{0}}}-u k_{x} n_{1} d s=\int_{B_{e_{0} \cap v_{0}}} u k_{x} d s=-\int_{B_{e_{0} \cap v_{0}}} u\left(-k_{x}\right) d s \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where in 2.17, $k_{x}<0$ and in 2.18, $k_{x}>0$. For $v_{0}$, we choose the edge $e_{v_{0}}^{*}$ to be some $e \sim v, e \neq e_{0}$. If no such edge exists, then we choose $e_{0}=e_{v_{0}}^{*}$ but we change the sign of $k_{x}$ along $\partial B_{e_{0}} \cap v_{0}$. This change in sign is to account for our coordinate system being "backwards" with respect to $k_{x}$ along $e_{0}$ near $v_{0}$. Summing 2.17) and 2.18,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e \sim v_{0}, e \neq e_{0}} \int_{B_{e} \cap v_{0}} u k_{x} n_{e, 1} d s-\int_{B_{e_{0} \cap v_{0}}} u\left(-k_{x}\right) n_{e_{0}, 1} d s=\left(d_{v_{0}}-2\right) \int_{B_{e_{0} \cap v_{0}}} u\left(k_{x}\right) d s \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we examine $\partial B_{e} \backslash T_{e}$. By construction, $k\left(t_{0}-t, q_{0}, x\right) \equiv C$ on $\partial B_{e} \backslash T_{e}$. Summing over each $\partial B_{e}$, and choosing $w \equiv 1$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\partial B_{e_{i}}}\left[\left(-u w_{x}+w u_{x}\right) n_{e_{i}, 1}-w u n_{2}\right] d s & =\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial B_{e_{i}} \backslash T_{e_{i}}}\left[\left(-u w_{x}+w u_{x}\right) n_{e_{i}, 1}-w u n_{e_{i}, 2}\right] d s\right.  \tag{2.20}\\
& \left.+\int_{T_{e_{i}}}\left[\left(-u w_{x}+w u_{x}\right) n_{e_{i}, 1}-w u n_{e_{i}, 2}\right] d s\right], \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\int_{\partial B_{e_{i}} \backslash T_{e_{i}}}\left[u_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}-u n_{e_{i}, 2}\right] d s+\int_{T_{e_{i}}} u_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1} d s,\right. \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\partial B_{e_{i} \backslash T_{e_{i}}}}\left[u_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}-u n_{e_{i}, 2}\right] d s, \\
& =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Where the third equality comes from the vertex condition $\sum_{e \sim v} u_{x} n_{e, 1}=0$, and the final equality follows the same logic as (1.3). This implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\partial B_{e_{i}} \backslash T_{e_{i}}}\left[-u k_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}+k u_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}-k u n_{e_{i}, 2}\right] d s & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\partial B_{e_{i}} \backslash T_{e_{i}}} u k_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1} d s-C\left[-u_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1}+u n_{e_{i}, 2}\right] d s,  \tag{2.21}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\partial B_{e_{i}} \backslash T_{e_{i}}}-u k_{x} n_{e_{i}, 1} d s, \\
& =\int_{\partial B}-u k_{x} n_{1} d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\int_{\partial B}-u k_{x} n_{1} d s+\sum_{v \in V}\left(d_{v}^{+}-d_{v}^{-}\right) \int_{B \cap v} u k_{x} d s . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.2. Let $V_{1}\left(G, q_{0}\right)=\left\{v \in V(G) \mid d_{v}=1\right.$ or $d\left(q_{0}, v\right)$ is a singularity of $\left.d\left(q_{0}, \cdot\right)\right\}$, for $q_{0} \in G$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $G$ be a quantum graph and define $V_{1}\left(G, q_{0}\right)$ as in definition 2.2. If $B\left(q_{0}, t_{0}, C\right) \cap V_{1}\left(G, q_{0}\right)=\varnothing$ and $u(q, t) \geq 0$ solves the heat equation on $G$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq \int_{\partial B}-u k_{x} n_{1} d s \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\int_{\partial B}-u k_{x} n_{1} d s+\sum_{v \in V}\left(d_{v}^{+}-d_{v}^{-}\right) \int_{B \cap v} u k_{x} d s \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v$ is not a singularity of $d\left(q_{0}, \cdot\right)$ and $v \neq v_{0}$, then for $v \neq v_{0}, d\left(q_{0}, v\right)$ is decreasing away from $v$ for one edge adjacent to $v$, and increasing away from $v$ for $d_{v}-1$ edges. This implies $d_{v}^{+}=d_{v}-1$ and $d_{v}^{-}=1$, which implies if $d_{v}>1$ then $d_{v}^{+}-d_{v}^{-} \geq 0$. Because $k_{x}<0$ for all $v \neq v_{0}$ and $u(q, t) \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d_{v}^{+}-d_{v}^{-}\right) \int_{B \cap v} u k_{x} d s \leq 0 . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $v_{0}$, if $v_{0}$ is not a singularity of $d\left(q_{0}, \cdot\right)$ then for all edges adjacent to $v_{0}$ the coordinate system in increasing traveling away from $v_{0}$. This implies there are $d_{v_{0}}$ edges adjacent to $v_{0}$
with $n_{e, 1}=-1$ along $\partial B_{e} \cap v_{0}$. By the definition of $d_{v}^{+}$and $d_{v}^{-}$for $v_{0}$, we subtract one from $d_{v}^{+}$and add one to $d_{v}^{-}$. Hence, if $d_{v_{0}}>1$ then $d_{v_{0}}^{+}-d_{v_{0}}^{-} \geq 0$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d_{v_{0}}^{+}-d_{v_{0}}^{-}\right) \int_{B \cap v_{0}} u k_{x} d s \leq 0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for trees, the set $V_{1}\left(G, q_{0}\right)=\left\{v \in V \mid d_{v}=1\right\}$.
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