Distribution Agreement

In presenting this thesis or dissertation as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for an advanced degree from Emory University, I hereby grant to Emory University and its agents the non-exclusive license to archive, make accessible, and display my thesis or dissertation in whole or in part in all forms of media, now or hereafter known, including display on the world wide web. I understand that I may select some access restrictions as part of the online submission of this thesis or dissertation. I retain all ownership rights to the copyright of the thesis or dissertation. I also retain the right to use in future works (such as articles or books) all or part of this thesis or dissertation.

Signature:

Yue Zhang

Date

Depression and treatment adherence among HIV-positive young black men who have sex with men in Atlanta, GA

By

Yue Zhang Degree to be awarded: MPH

Global Health

Sophia Hussen, MD Committee Chair

Depression and treatment adherence among HIV-positive young black men who have sex with men in Atlanta, GA

By

Yue Zhang

Bachelor of Science Bachelor of Law Peking University 2016

Thesis Committee Chair: Sophia Huseen, MD

An abstract of

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of the Rollins School of Public Health of Emory University

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Public Health in Global Health

2018

Abstract

Depression and treatment adherence among HIV-positive young black men who have sex with men in Atlanta, GA

By Yue Zhang

Background: In the past years, HIV positive young black men who have sex with men remained low level of medical adherence in Atlanta, GA. Based on previous studies, depression was supposed to be a main harmful factor to prevent patients from medical adherence. Moreover, Social Stigma, Education, Employment and Homelessness were also important factors to associate with adherence and depression.

Objective: The purpose of this project is to examine the relationship between depression and treatment adherence among HIV-positive YBMSM in Atlanta, after controlling for *Social Stigma, Education, Employment* and *Homelessness*.

Methods: 81 HIV-positive YBMSM were recruited from adolescent clinic of a large HIV specialty clinic in Atlanta, GA between November 2015 and July 2016. After sample characteristic analysis, bivariate analysis was conducted to to evaluate crude association between *Adherence* and each independent variable. Then, based on Backward method, a multivariable logistic regression model was built to caculate adjust odds ratios of *Depression* and *Adherence* to evaluate their association after controlling other variables.

Results: The bivariable analysis showed that participants with depressive symptoms were more likely to miss medical appointments compare to those who did not have depressive symptoms (OR = 4.75, 95% CI = (1.55, 14.55)). After building multivariable logistic regression model, depression and adherence showed significant association and depression was a harmful factor for no missed appointment (OR = 4.35, 95% CI = (1.20, 15.72)), controlling for Social Stigma, Education, Employment and Homelessness.

Conclusions: Depression was a significant harmful factor for no missed appointment, controlling for Social Stigma, Education, Employment and Homelessness, for YBMSM in Atlanta, GA. And the conclusion of this study will give a more accurate understanding of the psychological interventions for HIV-positive YBMSM, who live in Atlanta.

Depression and treatment adherence among HIV-positive young black men who have sex with men in Atlanta, GA

By

Yue Zhang

Bachelor of Science Bachelor of Law Peking University 2016

Thesis Committee Chair: Sophia Huseen, MD

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of the Rollins School of Public Health of Emory University

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Public Health in Global Health

2018

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Project Statement	3
1.3 Significance Statement	3
1.4 Terminology	5
2 Literature Review	6
2.1 Epidemiology of HIV among the United States, Georgia and Atlanta cases	6
2.1.1 HIV in the United States	6
2.1.2 HIV in Georgia	7
2.1.3 HIV in Atlanta	9
2.2 HIV Adherence	9
2.3 Depression and non-adherence	10
2.4 Other factors and non-adherence	11
2.4.1 Social Stigma	
2.4.2 Education	12
2.4.3 Employment	12
2.4.4 Homelessness	
3 Methodology	14
3.1 Population and sample	14
3.2 Measures	14
3.3 Data analysis	16
3.4 Sample Size Limitation	17
4 Results	18
4.1 Sample Characteristic	
4.2 Bivariable analysis	20
4.3 Multivariable analysis	22
5 Discussion	23
5.1 Strengthens	23
5.2 Limitations and recommendations	24
6 Conclusion	25
7 Public Health Recommendations	
8 Reference	

Table of contents

Depression and treatment adherence among HIV-positive young black men who have sex with men in Atlanta, GA

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

In the last ten years, the estimated number of annual new HIV infections declined 18 percent in the United States(Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017c). However, among black American gay and bisexual men, annual infections remained stable. The number of new infections in young black men who have sex with men (YBMSM) aged 16 to 24 even increased by 8 percent(Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017b). Therefore, although the overall trend for HIV incidence is declining in the USA, the incidence of new HIV infections is still a big problem for the young black gay and bisexual men, who have the highest HIV incidence rates of any risk group in the United States (Prejean, et al. 2011).

Thanks to the coming of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), AIDS-related disease and death can often be prevented (Palella et al., 2006), as long as patients can get consistent treatment. However, the problem is that, due to some psychological and social factors, it is difficult to make every HIV patient get involved into continuous treatment. Initial diagnosis and access to care is a more challenging problem for HIV-infected youth aged 16 to 24 in the US, with the estimated number that only 51% are aware of their diagnosis and 68% are then linked to care(Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017c). Therefore, it is essential to research the factors that would affect treatment adherence for HIV patients, especially for young patients.

Depression is a common psychological disorder among people with HIV/AIDS. It has been estimated that about 36% of HIV patients are likely to be diagnosed with clinical depression (Bing et al., 2001). Moreover, in some cases, depressive symptoms do not necessarily meet criteria for a depressive disorder, but still impact the daily lives of individuals with HIV/AIDS. Depressive symptoms have been associated with negative HIV health outcomes, such as impaired immunological response or even death (Ironson et al., 2005). Treatment adherence may mediate the pathway between depression and negative HIV health outcomes. There are many studies that have examined the relationship between depression and non-adherence with HIV patients. One of the metaanalysis studies, conducted by Gonzalez, showed that depression was significantly (P<0.0001) associated with non-adherence (r = 0.19; 95% confidence interval = 0.14 to 0.25) (Gonzalez, Batchelder, Psaros, & Safren, 2011), after analyzing 95 different studies.

Depression is not the only factor that correlates with non-adherence of HIV patients. There are also several social factors that we should take into consideration. The threat of social stigma associated with HIV may prevent patients from accessing HIV treatment since they may be concerned about having to reveal their HIV status. One study showed that HIV patients with high stigma concerns are 3.3 times more likely to be non-adherent to persistent treatment than patients with low level concerns (Rintamaki, Davis, Skripkauskas, Bennett, & Wolf, 2006). The education level of a patient is also important for treatment adherence. Patients with less than a high school education are 53 percent less likely to adhere to treatment than their counterparts (Cunningham et al., 2005). In addition, employment has a direct influence on individual socio-economic status, which has also been related to nonadherence (Basavaraj, Navya, & Rashmi, 2010). Moreover, homeless people with HIV/AIDS are at increased risk of negative health outcomes, and they are less likely to be adherent to continuous treatment than those with housing (Kidder, Wolitski, Campsmith, & Nakamura, 2007). All of the above factors are related to nonadherence of HIV patients and will therefore be included in our analysis.

1.2 Project Statement

The purpose of this project is to examine the relationship between depression and treatment adherence among HIV-positive YBMSM in Atlanta, Georgia. Although many studies have examined the significant relationship between depression and treatment adherence, few of them taken place in Atlanta. There is no doubt that Atlanta has a distinct HIV epidemic. In Atlanta, MSM and especially YBMSM face a high-incidence epidemic of HIV. Overall incidence among MSM in Atlanta was 3.8 percent, which was much higher than the HIV incidence for MSM in the United States overall (Sullivan et al., 2015). Therefore, it is essential to analyze the specific relationship between depression and adherence in Atlanta.

Since there are several other factors that would lead to HIV treatment adherence, they are also may act as confounders between exposure (depression) and outcome (treatment adherence). To adjust for the influence of confounders, a logistic regression model will be built, which will contain all these confounders. Then, with the model we build, we will calculate adjusted odds ratios between depression and treatment adherence, after controlling for all confounders.

1.3 Significance Statement

This research is important for the following reasons:

1. Although previous studies have shown a relationship between depression and treatment adherence for HIV patients, this study will focus on the effect only for HIV-positive YBMSM. Plus, this study will also concentrate on the population in Atlanta. Therefore, the outcome of this study will give a more accurate understanding of the psychological interventions for HIV-positive YBMSM, who live in Atlanta.

2. This study focus on not only the relationship between exposure (depression) and outcome (adherence), but also other factors as confounders. After building an epidemiological logistic model, which contains these factors, adjusted odds ratios of depression and adherence can be obtained. Therefore, after controlling for all other confounders, we will have a better understanding of the relationship between our exposure and outcome, which would help us determine whether there is a real association between them. It is very important since most of previous studies only got an unadjusted relationship between depression and adherence while our project will obtain an adjusted odds ratio, controlling for stigma, unemployment, education and homelessness.

1.4 Terminology

- ART Antiretroviral Therapy
- ARV Antiretroviral
- MSM Men who have Sex with Men
- HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HS/GED High School or General Education Development (GED- high school equivalency)

YBMSM Young Black Men who have Sex with Men

2 Literature Review

2.1 Epidemiology of HIV among the United States, Georgia and Atlanta cases

2.1.1 HIV in the United States

HIV diagnoses and infections have declined in the United States in the past 10 years, but progress has been uneven and the diagnoses and infections have increased among some groups. According to data published by CDC, there were 37600 new HIV infections in the United States in 2014, and about 26200 (70%) of new cases occurred in gay and bisexual men (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017c). Moreover, there are also some trends within the subgroup of gay and bisexual men. The Figure 1 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017c) shows that black MSM accounted for the largest number of new HIV diagnoses.



In addition to race discrepancies, age is also a considerable factor. Figure 2 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017a) shows new HIV diagnoses in the United States in 2016 by age, and young people aged 20 to 29 accounted for the largest number of new infections.



2.1.2 HIV in Georgia

The situation of HIV cases in Georgia is similar to national HIV epidemiology. In 2015, Georgia was ranked fifth in the nation for total number of adults and adolescents living with HIV infection, with a total of 54,754 new cases. Moreover, according to data from the Georgia Department of Public Health, 72 percent of new diagnoses occurred in black individuals (Figure 3) (The Georgia Department of Public Health, 2016). When divided by age, the data from the Georgia Department of Public Health is also in accordance with national HIV trends (Figure 4) (The Georgia Department of Public Health, 2016). When the Georgia data is categorized by sex and transmission, male to male sexual contact accounts for the largest number of new HIV diagnoses (Figure 5) (The Georgia Department of Public Health, 2016).







2.1.3 HIV in Atlanta

A prospective observational cohort study was conducted by Sullivan et al. to research racial disparities in HIV infection in Atlanta. The results of the study were also in accordance with the epidemiology of the United States and Georgia. The study followed 260 black MSM and 302 white MSM for total 823 person-years (PY) and concluded that the incidence of HIV was higher among black MSM (6.5/100PY; 95% CI: 4.2, 9.7) than white MSM (1.7/100PY; CI: 0.7, 3.3). When the data was categorized by age, the HIV incidence was highest among young (18–24 years) black MSM (10.9/100PY; CI: 6.2, 17.6)(Sullivan et al., 2015). Based on the above data derived from national- and state-level HIV epidemiology, it is essential to do research on YBMSM, since the population accounts for a large number of total HIV cases.

2.2 HIV Adherence

For HIV patients taking antiretroviral therapy (ART), it is important to comply with medication adherence, because it is the key to sustained HIV suppression, reduced risk of drug resistance, improved overall health, quality of life, and survival (Chesney, 2006; World Health Organization (WHO), 2003), as well as decreased risk of HIV transmission (Cohen et al., 2011). Adherence rates close to 100 percent are necessary in order to achieve optimal viral suppression(Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2012). However, according to the data from the CDC, only 36% of HIV patients in the United States achieve optimal medication adherence, and among these patients, only 76% have suppressed viral loads (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2012).

Moreover, non-adherence to HIV medication would result in some passive influence on future treatment. Non-adherence has also been associated with an increased rate of hospitalization and longer hospital stays (Meena et al., 2014; Paterson et al., 2000), which would result in loss of time and financial loss.

There are many factors leading to poor HIV medication adherence, such as depression, low literacy, young age, unstable housing, and competing priorities (e.g., work, family, housing)(U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2014). To deal with these passive factors, there are some strategies for improving adherence to ART. For example, providing mental health resources for the patient if needed and use of educational aids may improve adherence to ART(U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2014). Fortunately, these strategies have been playing their roles and adherence rates are increasing since 2010(Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017c).

2.3 Depression and non-adherence

Depression is one of most common psychological disorders among people with HIV/AIDS. The prevalence of HIV patients who have a depression disorder has been estimated to range from 22% to 38% in the United States (Kelly et al., 1998). According to a study conducted by Bogart and colleagues, HIV-related symptoms, lack of stable employment, younger age, not having a partner, poor quality of social and family support, homelessness, and discrimination from peers were significant predictors of depression in one study, with data collected from 995 HIV positive patients who received care in a community of Boston between 2002 and 2003(Bogart et al., 2000).

A 2017 study conducted by Hightow-Weidman and colleagues, which recruited 193 HIV positive YBMSM, showed that those with depressive symptoms had 4.7 times the odds of reporting adherence less than 90% (95% CI: 1.65, 13.37)(Hightow-Weidman et al., 2017). One meta-analysis study conducted Gonzalez in 2013 best describes the relationship between depression and adherence. It showed that depression was significantly (P<0.0001) associated with nonadherence (r = 0.19; 95% confidence interval = 0.14 to 0.25), after analyzing 95 different studies(Gonzalez et al., 2011). However, one quantitative analysis conducted by Chen, failed to find evidence that depressive syndromes lead to an increase in ART non-adherence (risk difference, RD: 27.9; 95% CI: -3.5, 59.3)(Chen & Raymond, 2017). Based on the hypothesis of our study, this non-relationship may result from a lack of controlling for confounders. Aside from depression, analysis of other factors that may be potentially related to nonadherence is essential to our study.

2.4 Other factors and non-adherence

2.4.1 Social Stigma

Many studies have shown that stigma may prevent HIV-patients from engaging in continuous treatment (Fortenberry et al., 2002; Rao, Kekwaletswe, Hosek, Martinez, & Rodriguez, 2007). Some explanations have been given to explain the association between stigma and medication adherence. HIV-positive patients may be concerned about inadvertent disclosure of HIV status and the passive attitude from family and friends (Sabin et al., 2008). Interestingly, stigma is also associated with depression and several studies have demonstrated a relationship between stigma and depression(Lee, Kochman, & Sikkema; Onyebuchi-Iwudibia & Brown, 2014; Vanable, Carey, Blair, & Littlewood, 2006). Moreover, a study conducted by Mitzel showed that depression would mediate the effect of HIV-related stigmatization on medication adherence among HIV-positive MSM (Mitzel et al., 2015). And the results showed that stigma was positively associated with depressive symptoms ($\beta = 0.49$, t = 4.49, p < 0.01) and negatively associated with medication adherence ($\beta = -0.34$, t = -2.91, p < 0.01) (Mitzel et al., 2015). This result is consistent with the hypothesis of our study, that stigma would confound the relationship between depression and adherence.

2.4.2 Education

Higher levels of education have been shown to promote individual health, since people with higher education levels may have more favorable attitudes and more knowledge about health issues. The same conclusion may be applied to HIV-related health problems. HIghtow-Weidman and colleagues' study in YBMSM showed that those with a college degree were less likely to miss treatment (POR 9.1; 95% CI: 1.9, 45.2) (Hightow-Weidman et al., 2017). Furthermore, education is also related to many other factors. Greater education would also contribute to better employment and decrease the likelihood of homelessness.

2.4.3 Employment

The influence of employment status on treatment adherence is complex. A stable job contributes to a stable income, which would encourage HIV patients to adhere to treatment. On the other hand, a heavy work load may make patients exhausted and have little time to get continuous treatment. However, most existing studies support the former interpretation. In a study of YBMSM. Hussen et al. reported that employment (OR=0.30; 95% CI 0.12–0.75) was positively associated with treatment adherence (Hussen, Harper, Bauermeister, & Hightow-Weidman, 2015).

Employment status is also associated with depression. Previous research has shown that unemployed individuals report more depression, anxiety, social isolation, and low selfesteem than employed individuals(Aro, Jallinoja, Henriksson, & Lonnqvist, 1994). In a study of psychosocial vulnerability in HIV-positive MSM, Dickey et al reported that younger men who lacked full-time employment were at greater risk for getting depression(Dickey, Dew, Becker, & Kingsley, 1999).

2.4.4 Homelessness

Homelessness may have multiple indirect influences on HIV treatment adherence. The ART treatment can be complex and often involve restrictions on when and how the medications should be taken and stored(Song, 1999). Moreover, most of ARV treatments have side effects, such as recurring diarrhea, which would pose a problem for homeless individuals (Wong MD, 2004). There are also many individual problems for different patients that are more common among homeless individuals, such as mental illness, limited financial resources, substance use disorders, mistrust of the health-care system, lack of transportation, insufficient health insurance, and food insecurity(Song, 1999; Thompson et al., 2012).

3 Methodology

3.1 Population and sample

81 HIV-positive YBMSM were recruited from adolescent clinic of a large HIV specialty clinic in Atlanta, GA between November 2015 and July 2016. Medical providers or other support staff in the clinic approached potential participants during their visits. Patients who self-identified as Black, male and endorsed a history of sex with men were invited to participate(Hussen et al., 2018).

3.2 Measures

Adherence

We assessed adherence to HIV medical appointment by self-reported number of missed visits in the past 12 months. Then, we dichotomized number of missed appointments into no missed HIV medical appointments and having one or more missed appointments in the past 12 months(Berg et al., 2005). Missed visits are a kind of directly measure of medical adherence and are associated with mortality(Mugavero et al., 2014). And no missed appointments represents that these people with "perfect attendance", which is associated with a positive health behavior.

Depression

The Centers for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Revised version (CESD) was used to assess depressive symptoms in this study. The CESD is a 20-item validated survey of clinically significant distress as a marker for clinical depression (alpha=0.91) (Van Dam & Earleywine, 2011). The participants would be asked to characterize their frequency of depressive symptoms using a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (*rarely or none of the time*) to 3 (*most or all of the time*). And a dichotomous depression variable was created

using a CESD-R score of ≥ 16 , which suggests clinically relevant depressive symptomology(Simoni et al., 2011).

Social Stigma

The level of social stigma was measured by Revised Stigma Scale for youth(Wright, Naar-King, Lam, Templin, & Frey, 2007). A total of 10 statements were asked to evaluate participants' agreements, and each statements had 4 choices (*strongly disagree=1; disagree=2; agree=3; strongly agree=4*). We measured social stigma by summing up total points. The higher Revised Stigma Scale points one participant got, the severe social stigma he suffered from.

Education

We assessed education level by asking question '*What is the highest level of education* you have completed?' The answer was categorized as 8 levels (1=below 9th grade; 2=some HS/GED; 3=HS/GED; 4=some college; 5=technical/vocational school; 6=college graduate; 7=some post-graduate school; 8=post-graduate). To ensure each category has more than 20 cases, we then divided 8 levels into 2 groups (*Group 1=below* 9th grade, some HS/GED and HS/GED; Group 2=some college, technical/vocational school and college graduate). Since no participants endorsed a 7 or 8, we did not group them into new groups.

Employment

Employment status was assessed by asking 'Are you currently employed?'. And the answer was categorized as 'Yes' or 'No'.

Homelessness

Homeless status was assessed by asking '*Since the age of 15, have you ever considered yourself homeless*?' And the answer was categorized as 'Yes' or 'No'.

3.3 Data analysis

The data was analyzed by using *SAS 9.4 W32_10PRO platform*. After data cleaning checking for outliers, all 81 records were kept for further analysis.

Sample characteristics were described by calculating means and standard deviation for *Age* and *Social Stigma Points*, total number and frequencies for *Adherence, Depression, Education, Employment* and *Homelessness*.

Bivariate analysis between dichotomous Adherence and each catigorical independent variables were assessed by a chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Crude odds ratios were calculated to evaluate association between *Adherence* and each independent variable. An epidemiological multivariable logistic model was built to assess associations between Adherence (outcome) and Depression (main exposure), after countrolling Social Stigma, Education, Employment and Homeless (potential confounders). Multicollinearity was first checked by caculating Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) to ensure that there was no strong associations between the independents variables. The BACKWARD method was used to select variables for the model, with cutoff p-value of 0.1 and significance (α) of 0.05. Interactions for depression and other four variables were checked, but interaction terms were all deleted from the final model since they were not significant, based on BACKWARD method. The finished model was assessed for goodness of fit using the Hosmer-Lemeshow Goodness of Fit Test. After getting our final model, we caculated adjust odds ratios of Depression and Adherence to evaluate their assocation after controlling other variables.

3.4 Sample Size Limitation

Our study has a small sample size, with 81 records. To get a better association between *Adherence* and *Depression*, the number of independent variables was controlled based on Sample Size Caculation Formula(Peduzzi, Concato, Kemper, Holford, & Feinstein, 1996). Based on the work of Peduzzi et al., there is a formula to calculate sample size or variables.

$$N = 10 \text{ k} / \text{p}$$

p is the smallest of the proportions of negative or positive cases in the population and k the number of covariates.

To calculate reasonable number of independent variables of our study, we transformed the formula and calculated the number as 5.

K=N*p/10=81*0.72/10=5.6 (5 or 6 variables)

(p=0.72 got from frequency of 1 or more missed appointments in last year in Table 1) In our study, the independent variables are *Depression, Social Stigma, Education, Employment* and *Homelessness*, which is accordance with reasonable number of independent variables.

4 Results

4.1 Sample Characteristic

A total of 81 records were included in our final analysis (*shown in Table 1.*). The age of all sample ranged from 18 to 24 years (mean=22.41, SD=1.56). Nearly 72 percent of participants reported that they had at least 1 missed appointment in last year and only 28 percent of participants had good adherence records. About half of participants showed depressive symptoms while another half did not. In terms of *Social Stigma Scale*, it ranged from 10 to 40, and the higher the scores one get, the more severe stigma he suffered from. And the average level of social stigma of samples were *lightly suffered from stigma* (mean=25.28, SD=6.89). Most (61.7%) participants got education degree higher than HS/GED and a large part (66.7%) of them were currently employed. And 37 percent of participants reported as homelessness while another 63 percent did not.

Variable	Results
Age (years) Mean (SD)	22.41 (1.56)
Adherence n (%)	
No missed appointments in last year	23 (28.4)
1 or more missed appointments in last year	58 (71.6)
Depression n (%)	
Do not have depressive symptoms (CESD-R $<$ 16)	43 (53.1)
have depressive symptoms (CESD-R \geq 16)	38 (46.9)
Social Stigma Mean (SD)	25.28 (6.89)
Education n (%)	
Complete or less than HS/GED	31 (38.3)
More than HS/GED	50 (61.7)
Employment n (%)	
Yes	54 (66.7)
No	27 (33.3)
Homelessness n (%)	
Yes	30 (37.0)
No	51 (63.0)

Table 1. Characteristic for HIV-positive young black men who have sex with men (n=81)

4.2 Bivariable analysis

The crude association between adherence and independent variables were assessed and shown in *Table 2*.

Participants with depressive symptoms were more likely to miss medical appointments compare to those who did not have depressive symptoms (Odds Ratio (OR) = 4.75, 95% CI = (1.55, 14.55)); this result was significant (p=0.0063) and in accordance with our study hypothesis.

For potential confounders, crude odds ratio and significance of association test varied among different variables. The odds of missing a least one appointment is 1.07 (95% CI= (1.00, 1.16)) times higher per 1 point increase in *Social Stigma Scale*. Since the odds ratio closed to 1 and the association test was not significant (p=0.0639), so we concluded that social stigma was not be associated with adherence. Education was also not associated with adherence (OR = 1.05, 95% CI= (0.39, 2.84)), and it was not a significant variable (p=0.9200). In terms of employment, we set having employment as reference and unemployment would be our research variable. And unemployment would be a protective confounder for adherence (OR = 0.54, 95% CI = (0.20, 1.47)), while this finding did not quite reach statistical significance (p = 0.2256). And homelessness was probably a harmful exposure (OR = 2.00, 95% CI), while it was also not significant (p = 0.2031).

	Adhe	rence		
Variable	1 or more missed n=58	No missed n=23	Crude Odds Ratio (95% CI)	р
Depression				
Do not have depressive symptoms (CESD-R < 16)	25 (58.14%)	18 (41.86%)	Reference	
Have depressive symptoms (CESD-R \ge 16)	33 (86.84%)	5 (13.16%)	4.75 (1.55, 14.55)	0.0063
Social Stigma	58 (71.60%)	23 (28.40%)	1.07 (1.00, 1.16)	0.0639
Education				
Complete or less than HS/GED	22 (70.97%)	9 (29.03%)	Reference	
More than HS/GED	36 (72.00%)	14 (28.00%)	1.05 (0.39, 2.84)	0.9200
Employment				
Yes	41 (75.93%)	13 (24.07%)	Reference	
No	17 (62.96%)	10 (37.04%)	0.54 (0.20, 1.47)	0.2256
Homelessness				
Yes	24 (80.00%)	6 (20.00%)	2.00 (0.69, 5.82)	0.2031
No	34 (66.67%)	17 (33.33%)	Reference	

Table 2. Crude odds ratio of variables potentially associated with Medical Adherence among YBMSM

4.3 Multivariable analysis

After building multivariable logistic regression model (*shown in Table 3.*), depression and adherence showed significant association and depression was a harmful factor for no missed appointment (OR = 4.35, 95% CI = (1.20, 15.72)), controlling for Social Stigma, Education, Employment and Homelessness.

Variables	Reference Group	Estimate	SE	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	р
Depression	Do not have depressive symptoms (CESD-R < 16)	1.4695	0.6560	4.35 (1.20, 15.72)	0.0251
Social Stigma	/	0.0691	0.0473	1.07 (0.98, 1.18)	0.1441
Education	Complete or less than HS/GED	-0.1210	0.5804	0.89 (0.28, 2.76)	0.8349
Employment	Yes	-1.3707	0.6467	0.25 (0.07, 0.90)	0.0341
Homelessness	No	0.3817	0.6216	1.47 (0.43, 4.95)	0.5392
Intercept	/	-0.9005	1.1147	/	0.4192

Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of variables potentially associatedwith Medical Adherence among YBMSM

5 Discussion

5.1 Strengthens

The study results were overall consistent with our expectation and other pertinent studies. Both crude OR (4.75, 95% CI = (1.55, 14.55)) and adjusted OR (4.35, 95% CI = (1.20, 15.72)) of depression and adherence showed that depressive symptoms was a significant harmful factor for medical adherence of YBMSM in Atlanta, GA. Compared to crude OR, adjusted OR would be more reliable since it was assessed by controlling four other variables.

There are several strengths for our study. First, our study got a significant and meaningful result to prove that depression was a harmful factor for medical adherence for YBMSM HIV patients in Atlanta, GA. Second, our study was the first to analyze association between depression and adherence, controlling for education, social stigma, employment and homelessness. It would be valuable for other multivariable logistic regression analysis to decide exposure and confounders in the future. Finally, the result is meaningful to improve adherence for YBMSM. Based on our study, depression was the most harmful factor among depression, education, social stigma, employment and homelessness. Therefore, psychological assessment should be given for YBMSM when health-care workers provide treatments. And depression intervention would be useful to improve YBMSM further adherence.

5.2 Limitations and recommendations

Although we contained reasonable number of variables in our multivariable logistic regression model based on 81 records (analyzed in Part 3.4), small sample size was still a problem for our study. For independent variables, *Depression, Education, Employment* and *Homelessness*, each one had two categories. And there were a total of 16 (2⁴) subgroups in our samples. In average, there were only 5 samples in each subgroup. Therefore, it was hard for each subgroup to represent their corresponding population. To increase accuracy of results, more participants should be involved into our study in the future.

Since the sample size limited the numbers of independent variables, there is still likely some residual confounding to our study. Based on our sample size, we could only add 4 confounders in our logistic regression model, while there are some other factors that would be associated with adherence, such as social capital (Mukoswa, Charalambous, & Nelson, 2017) and so on. In our study, we measured social capital related factors while our sample size prohibit us from adding it into our model. Moreover, sample size would also affect significance of results. For example, the odds of missing a least one appointment is 1.07 (95% CI= (1.00, 1.16), p=0.0639) times higher per 1 point increase in *Social Stigma Scale*. Although it was not significant in our study, it may be significant in the further studies if we could recruit more participants in the future. Therefore, more participants should be recruited to our study to solve residual confounding and significant problem in the future.

There was a kind of selection bias in our study. The participants were recruited directly from the clinic medical appointments, making it easier to include those who were more

likely to engage in appointment adherence. YBMSM HIV patients who never had any appointment would be excluded from our study. Moreover, all participants were recruited in one clinical site, which would exclude YBMSM who have appointments in other clinics in Atlanta. To solve these limitation, a variety of recruitment methods should be considered in future studies, such as recruiting directly from community and sharing related data with other clinics in Atlanta.

Finally, information bias was also a kind of limitation to our study. The prevalence of depression in our sample was high, even compared with other studies of youth living with HIV(Brown, Whiteley, Harper, Nichols, & Nieves, 2015). The depression was assessed by CESD, which contained 20 questions and maximum 3 points for each question. Since the CESD was only assessed to participants for only one time, there would be some information bias. For example, during this assessment, the participants happened to feel unhappy and they were easily to express a kind of depression. Therefore, to minimize information limitation, official appointment records should be used to measure adherence, and more accurate methods should be used to assess depression.

6 Conclusion

Depression was a significant harmful factor for no missed appointment (OR = 4.35, 95%CI = (1.20, 15.72)), controlling for Social Stigma, Education, Employment and Homelessness, for YBMSM in Atlanta, GA.

7 Public Health Recommendations

In our study, we got a significant association between depression and medical adherence for YBMSM, which gives a new perspective to improve medical adherence for HIV positive patients. In the past, we cared more about external harmful factors for medical adherence, such as economic status, health-care insurance and accessibility to medical service(Kidder et al., 2007). However, based on our study, after controlling for some external confounders, an internal psychological factor, depression, was significantly associated with adherence. Therefore, psychological assessment should be given for YBMSM when clinical health-care workers provide treatments. And psychological intervention would be necessary for HIV patients with depressive symptoms to improve medical adherence.

Moreover, since our samples were all collected from Atlanta, GA, our results would be more meaningful for improvements of medical adherence in Atlanta. The prevalence of depression in our sample was higher than the average national level, which indicates a relatively high level of prevalence of depression for all YBMSM in Atlanta. Besides, from crude odds ratio analysis, depression was the most significant harmful factor among all potential confounders in our study. Therefore, to improve medical adherence of HIV positive YBMSM in Atlanta, public health specialists and workers should focus more on depression and provide timely psychological interventions.

8 Reference

- Aro, A. R., Jallinoja, P. T., Henriksson, M. M., & Lonnqvist, J. K. (1994). Fear of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome and fear of other illness in suicide. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*, 90(1), 65-69.
- Basavaraj, K. H., Navya, M. A., & Rashmi, R. (2010). Quality of life in HIV/AIDS. *Indian Journal of Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, *31*(2), 75-80. doi:10.4103/0253-7184.74971
- Berg, M. B., Safren, S. A., Mimiaga, M. J., Grasso, C., Boswell, S., & Mayer, K. H. (2005). Nonadherence to medical appointments is associated with increased plasma HIV RNA and decreased CD4 cell counts in a community-based HIV primary care clinic. *AIDS Care*, 17(7), 902-907. doi:10.1080/09540120500101658
- Bing, E. G., Burnam, M. A., Longshore, D., Fleishman, J. A., Sherbourne, C. D., London, A. S., . . . Shapiro, M. (2001). Psychiatric disorders and drug use among human immunodeficiency virus-infected adults in the United States. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 58(8), 721-728.
- Bogart, L. M., Catz, S. L., Kelly, J. A., Gray-Bernhardt, M. L., Hartmann, B. R., Otto-Salaj, L. L., . . .
 Bloom, F. R. (2000). Psychosocial Issues in the Era of New AIDS Treatments from the Perspective of Persons Living with HIV. *J Health Psychol*, *5*(4), 500-516. doi:10.1177/135910530000500408
- Brown, L. K., Whiteley, L., Harper, G. W., Nichols, S., & Nieves, A. (2015). Psychological symptoms among 2032 youth living with HIV: a multisite study. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*, 29(4), 212-219. doi:10.1089/apc.2014.0113
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Producer). (2012). Linkage to and retention in HIV Medical Care. Retrieved from

http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/prevention/programs/pwp/linkage.html

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017a). Diagnoses of HIV Infection in the United States and Dependent Areas, 2016. *HIV Surveillance Report, 28*.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017b). HIV among Youth. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/group/age/youth/index.html
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017c). HIV in the United States: At A Glance. Retrieved from <u>https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/statistics/overview/ataglance.html</u>
- Chen, Y. H., & Raymond, H. F. (2017). Associations between depressive syndromes and HIV risk behaviors among San Francisco men who have sex with men. *AIDS Care, 29*(12), 1538-1542. doi:10.1080/09540121.2017.1307925
- Chesney, M. A. (2006). The elusive gold standard. Future perspectives for HIV adherence assessment and intervention. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr, 43 Suppl 1*, S149-155. doi:10.1097/01.qai.0000243112.91293.26
- Cohen, M. S., Chen, Y. Q., McCauley, M., Gamble, T., Hosseinipour, M. C., Kumarasamy, N., . . . Fleming, T. R. (2011). Prevention of HIV-1 Infection with Early Antiretroviral Therapy. *The New England journal of medicine, 365*(6), 493-505. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1105243
- Cunningham, W. E., Hays, R. D., Duan, N., Andersen, R., Nakazono, T. T., Bozzette, S. A., & Shapiro, M. F. (2005). The effect of socioeconomic status on the survival of people receiving care for HIV infection in the United States. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*, *16*(4), 655-676. doi:10.1353/hpu.2005.0093
- Dickey, W. C., Dew, M. A., Becker, J. T., & Kingsley, L. (1999). Combined effects of HIV-infection status and psychosocial vulnerability on mental health in homosexual men. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*, *34*(1), 4-11.

- Fortenberry, J. D., McFarlane, M., Bleakley, A., Bull, S., Fishbein, M., Grimley, D. M., . . . Stoner,
 B. P. (2002). Relationships of stigma and shame to gonorrhea and HIV screening. *Am J Public Health*, *92*(3), 378-381.
- Gonzalez, J. S., Batchelder, A. W., Psaros, C., & Safren, S. A. (2011). Depression and HIV/AIDS Treatment Nonadherence: A Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999), 58*(2), 10.1097/QAI.1090b1013e31822d31490a. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31822d490a
- Hightow-Weidman, L., LeGrand, S., Choi, S. K., Egger, J., Hurt, C. B., & Muessig, K. E. (2017). Exploring the HIV continuum of care among young black MSM. *PLoS One*, 12(6), e0179688. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0179688
- Hussen, S. A., Easley, K. A., Smith, J. C., Shenvi, N., Harper, G. W., Camacho-Gonzalez, A. F., . . .
 Del Rio, C. (2018). Social Capital, Depressive Symptoms, and HIV Viral Suppression
 Among Young Black, Gay, Bisexual and Other Men Who Have Sex with Men Living with
 HIV. AIDS Behav. doi:10.1007/s10461-018-2105-6
- Hussen, S. A., Harper, G. W., Bauermeister, J. A., & Hightow-Weidman, L. B. (2015). Psychosocial influences on engagement in care among HIV-positive young black gay/bisexual and other men who have sex with men. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*, 29(2), 77-85. doi:10.1089/apc.2014.0117
- Ironson, G., O'Cleirigh, C., Fletcher, M. A., Laurenceau, J. P., Balbin, E., Klimas, N., . . . Solomon, G. (2005). Psychosocial factors predict CD4 and viral load change in men and women with human immunodeficiency virus in the era of highly active antiretroviral treatment. *Psychosom Med*, *67*(6), 1013-1021. doi:10.1097/01.psy.0000188569.58998.c8
- Kelly, B., Raphael, B., Judd, F., Perdices, M., Kernutt, G., Burnett, P., . . . Burrows, G. (1998).
 Suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and HIV infection. *Psychosomatics*, *39*(5), 405-415.
 doi:10.1016/s0033-3182(98)71299-x
- Kidder, D. P., Wolitski, R. J., Campsmith, M. L., & Nakamura, G. V. (2007). Health Status, Health Care Use, Medication Use, and Medication Adherence Among Homeless and Housed People Living With HIV/AIDS. Am J Public Health, 97(12), 2238-2245. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.090209
- Lee, R. S., Kochman, A., & Sikkema, K. J. Internalized Stigma Among People Living with HIV-AIDS.
- Meena, L. P., Pandey, S. K., Rai, M., Bharti, A., Chakravarty, J., & Sundar, S. (2014). Study the drug adherence and possible factor influencing drug adherence in HIV/AIDS patients in north eastern part of India. *J Educ Health Promot, 3*. doi:10.4103/2277-9531.131898
- Mitzel, L. D., Vanable, P. A., Brown, J. L., Bostwick, R. A., Sweeney, S. M., & Carey, M. P. (2015). Depressive Symptoms Mediate the Effect of HIV-Related Stigmatization on Medication Adherence Among HIV-Infected Men Who Have Sex with Men.
- Mugavero, M. J., Westfall, A. O., Cole, S. R., Geng, E. H., Crane, H. M., Kitahata, M. M., . . . Raper, J. L. (2014). Beyond core indicators of retention in HIV care: missed clinic visits are independently associated with all-cause mortality. *Clin Infect Dis*, *59*(10), 1471-1479. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu603
- Mukoswa, G. M., Charalambous, S., & Nelson, G. (2017). The association between social capital and HIV treatment outcomes in South Africa. *PLoS One, 12*(11), e0184140. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0184140
- Onyebuchi-Iwudibia, O., & Brown, A. (2014). HIV and depression in Eastern Nigeria: the role of HIV-related stigma. *AIDS Care, 26*(5), 653-657. doi:10.1080/09540121.2013.844761
- Palella, F. J. J., Baker, R. K., Moorman, A. C., Chmiel, J. S., Wood, K. C., Brooks, J. T., . . .
 Investigators, H. O. S. (2006). Mortality in the Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy Era: Changing Causes of Death and Disease in the HIV Outpatient Study. *JAIDS Journal of*

Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 43(1), 27-34. doi:10.1097/01.qai.0000233310.90484.16

- Paterson, D. L., Swindells, S., Mohr, J., Brester, M., Vergis, E. N., Squier, C., . . . Singh, N. (2000).
 Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection.
 Ann Intern Med, 133(1), 21-30.
- Peduzzi, P., Concato, J., Kemper, E., Holford, T. R., & Feinstein, A. R. (1996). A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol, 49*(12), 1373-1379.
- Rao, D., Kekwaletswe, T. C., Hosek, S., Martinez, J., & Rodriguez, F. (2007). Stigma and social barriers to medication adherence with urban youth living with HIV. *AIDS Care, 19*(1), 28-33. doi:10.1080/09540120600652303
- Rintamaki, L. S., Davis, T. C., Skripkauskas, S., Bennett, C. L., & Wolf, M. S. (2006). Social Stigma Concerns and HIV Medication Adherence. *AIDS Patient Care STDS, 20*(5), 359-368. doi:10.1089/apc.2006.20.359
- Sabin, L. L., Desilva, M. B., Hamer, D. H., Keyi, X., Yue, Y., Wen, F., . . . Gill, C. J. (2008). Barriers to adherence to antiretroviral medications among patients living with HIV in southern China: a qualitative study. *AIDS Care, 20*(10), 1242-1250. doi:10.1080/09540120801918651
- Simoni, J. M., Safren, S. A., Manhart, L. E., Lyda, K., Grossman, C. I., Rao, D., . . . Wilson, I. B. (2011). Challenges in Addressing Depression in HIV Research: Assessment, Cultural Context, and Methods. *AIDS Behav*, 15(2), 376-388. doi:10.1007/s10461-010-9836-3
- Song, J. (1999). HIV/AIDS & HOMELESSNESS Recommendations for Clinical Practice and Public Policy.
- Sullivan, P. S., Rosenberg, E. S., Sanchez, T. H., Kelley, C. F., Luisi, N., Cooper, H. L., . . . Peterson, J. L. (2015). Explaining racial disparities in HIV incidence in black and white men who have sex with men in Atlanta, GA: a prospective observational cohort study. *Ann Epidemiol*, 25(6), 445-454. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2015.03.006
- The Georgia Department of Public Health (Producer). (2016). HIV Surveillance Fact Sheet, Georgia, 2015. Retrieved from <u>https://dph.georgia.gov/sites/dph.georgia.gov/files/HIV_EPI_Fact%20Sheet_Georgia%2</u> 02015_04.14.17.pdf
- Thompson, M. A., Mugavero, M. J., Amico, K. R., Cargill, V. A., Chang, L. W., Gross, R., . . .
 Nachega, J. B. (2012). Guidelines for improving entry into and retention in care and antiretroviral adherence for persons with HIV: evidence-based recommendations from an International Association of Physicians in AIDS Care panel. *Ann Intern Med*, *156*(11), 817-833, w-284, w-285, w-286, w-287, w-288, w-289, w-290, w-291, w-292, w-293, w-294. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-156-11-201206050-00419
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2014). Guide for HIV/AIDS Clinical Care.
- Van Dam, N. T., & Earleywine, M. (2011). Validation of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale--Revised (CESD-R): pragmatic depression assessment in the general population. *Psychiatry Res*, 186(1), 128-132. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2010.08.018
- Vanable, P. A., Carey, M. P., Blair, D. C., & Littlewood, R. A. (2006). Impact of HIV-related stigma on health behaviors and psychological adjustment among HIV-positive men and women. *AIDS Behav*, 10(5), 473-482. doi:10.1007/s10461-006-9099-1
- Wong MD, C. W., Shapiro MF. (2004). Disparities in HIV treatment and physician attitudes about delaying protease inhibitors for nonadherent patients.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2003). Adherence to long term therapies—evidence for action.

Wright, K., Naar-King, S., Lam, P., Templin, T., & Frey, M. (2007). Stigma scale revised: reliability and validity of a brief measure of stigma for HIV+ youth. *J Adolesc Health, 40*(1), 96-98. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2006.08.001