Appendix A. CMS-2728 Medicare Eligibility Form

END STAGE RENAL DISEASE MEDICAL EVIDENCE REPORT MEDICARE ENTITLEMENT AND/OR PATIENT REGISTRATION

A. COMPLETE FOR ALL ESRD	PATIENTS	Check one:	🗆 Initial	🗌 Re-e	ntitlement		Suppleme	ental
1. Name (Last, First, Middle Initi		-						
2. Medicare Claim Number	3	. Social Security	Number		4. Date of Bir	th <i>(mm</i>	/dd/yyyy)	
5. Patient Mailing Address (Inclu	de City State a	nd Zin)			6. Phone Num	ber (in	cluding an	ea code)
5. Futient Maning Address (mete	iae eny, state a				0. Those Num		cluding un	
7. Sex 8. Ethnic	ity				9. Country/Ar	ea of O	rigin or Ar	ncestry
	-	o 🗌 Hispanic	or Latino (Complete Item 9)			5	
10. Race (Check all that apply)				,			11. Is pati	ent applying for
□ White			🗆 Asia	an			ESRD Med	dicare coverage?
Black or African American			🗌 Nat	ive Hawaiian or C	ther Pacific Islar	nder*	🗆 Yes	🗆 No
American Indian/Alaska Nativ	/e		*co	mplete Item 9				
Print Name of Enrolled/Principal Trib								
12. Current Medical Coverage (C	<i>Check all that ap</i> Employer Gro			Height	14. Dry Weigh POUNDS			Cause of Renal code from back of form)
DVA Medicare Adv				TIMETERS	KILOGRAMS			
	-					during	lact 10 year	s) *See instructions
16. Employment Status (6 mos µ x. current		. Co-Morbia Co			n. 🗌 Malign			
piocurent	b	=		t disease ASHD	o. 🗌 Toxic n	ephrop	athy	
V C Unemployed	c. d	Other card		e ase, CVA, TIA*	p. 🗌 Alcoho q. 🗌 Drug d			
Unemployed		. 🔲 Peripheral	vascular c	lisease*	r. 🗌 Inabilit	y to an	nbulate	
Employed Part Time Employed Part Time		History of		ion	s. 🗌 Inabilit			ilu estivities
□ □ Homemaker	g h			on insulin	t. 🗌 Needs a u. 🗌 Institut			ily activities
□ □ Retired due to Age/Pret	ference i.	Diabetes,	on oral me	dications	1. A	ssisted	Living	
Retired (Disability)	j. k	= '			2. N	9	Home stitution	
Medical Leave of Abser	ice I.			oulmonary disease				normality
□ □ Student	m	n. 🔲 Tobacco u			w. 🗌 None		5	,
18. Prior to ESRD therapy:	· · · ·					_		
a. Did patient receive exogenc	• •	or equivalent?		🖸 No 🗌 Unkno	-	=	6-12 mont	_
 b. Was patient under care of a c. Was patient under care of k 				🖸 No 🔄 Unkno 🖸 No 🗌 Unkno		=	6-12 mont	_
d. What access was used on fir		lysis:		Graft Cathe				
If not AVF, then: Is maturing	•			O No				
	graft present?			No No				
19. Laboratory Values Within 45	-			-				-
LABORATORY TEST a.1. Serum Albumin (g/dl)	VALU		ATE	LABORATO	DRY TEST	V.	ALUE	DATE
a.2. Serum Albumin Lower Limit		-		e. Lipid Profile	тс		· 70	
a.3. Lab Method Used (BCG or B		-			LDL			
b. Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)					HDL			
c. Hemoglobin (g/dl)	·	HDL TG						
B. COMPLETE FOR ALL ESRD PATIENTS IN DIALYSIS TREATMENT 20. Name of Dialysis Facility 21. Medicare Provider Number (for item 20)								
20. Name of Dialysis Facility			21.1110			20)		
22. Primary Dialysis Setting			23 Prir	nary Type of Dialy	vsis			
Home Dialysis Facility/Cent		Torm Caro Facili					or sossion)
	└ Home └ Dialysis Facility/Center └ SNF/Long Term Care Facility └ Hemodialysis (Sessions per week/hours per session)							
24. Date Regular Chronic Dialys	is Began <i>(mm/d</i> o	dlyyyy)		e Patient Started		at Curi	rent Facilit	y (mm/dd/yyyy)
- *					-			
26. Has patient been informed	27. If patient N	IOT informed o	f transpla	nt options, please	check all that a	pply:		
of kidney transplant options?	Medically u		·		nes information		🗌 Unsu	itable due to age
🖸 Yes 🛛 No		not been assess	ed	Psychologica			🗌 Othe	

C.COMPLETE FOR ALL KIDNEY TRANSPLAN	NT PATIENTS					
28. Date of Transplant (mm/dd/yyyy)	29. Name of Transplan	t Hospital	30. Medicare Pro	vider Number for Item 29		
Date patient was admitted as an inpatient date of actual transplantation.	to a hospital in prep	paration for, o	or anticipation of, a	kidney transplant prior to the		
31. Enter Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	32. Name of Preparation	on Hospital 33. Medicare Prov		ider number for Item 32		
34. Current Status of Transplant <i>(if functioning,</i> □ Functioning □ Non-Functioning	skip items 36 and 37)	35. Type of Donor:				
36. If Non-Functioning, Date of Return to Regul	ar Dialysis <i>(mmlddlyyyy</i>		Dialysis Treatment Site Dialysis Facility/Cent			
D. COMPLETE FOR ALL ESRD SELF-DIALYSI	S TRAINING PATIENT	S (MEDICARE	APPLICANTS ONLY)		
38. Name of Training Provider		-		aining Provider (for Item 38)		
40. Date Training Began (mm/dd/yyyy)		41. Type of Tra	aining			
			is a. ☐ Home b. ☐ ☐ CCPD Other			
42. This Patient is Expected to Complete (or has and will Self-dialyze on a Regular Basis.Yes O No	completed) Training	43. Date Wher (mm/dd/yyyy)	n Patient Completed, c	r is Expected to Complete, Training		
I certify that the above self-dialysis training int sociological factors as reflected in records kept	formation is correct and by this training facility	d is based on co v.	onsideration of all per	tinent medical, psychological, and		
44. Printed Name and Signature of Physician pe	rsonally familiar with t	he patient's tra	aining	45. UPIN of Physician in Item 44		
a.) Printed Name	b.) Signature	c	.) Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			
E. PHYSICIAN IDENTIFICATION						
46. Attending Physician <i>(Print)</i>	47. Physician's	s Phone No. (include Area Code)		48. UPIN of Physician in Item 46		
I certify, under penalty of perjury, that the info tests and laboratory findings, I further certify t permanent and requires a regular course of dia use in establishing the patient's entitlement to information may subject me to fine, imprisonm	rmation on this form is hat this patient has rea lysis or kidney transpla Medicare benefits and	ched the stage ant to maintain that any falsifi	best of my knowledg of renal impairment to life. I understand that ication, misrepresenta	hat appears irreversible and t this information is intended for tion, or concealment of essential		
49. Attending Physician's Signature of Attestation	on (Same as Item 46)			50. Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		
51. Physician Recertification Signature				52. Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		
53. Remarks				I		
F. OBTAIN SIGNATURE FROM PATIENT						
I hereby authorize any physician, hospital, ager medical condition to the Department of Health						

medical condition to the Department of Health and Human Services for purposes of reviewing my application for Medicare entitlement under the Social Security Act and/or for scientific research.

54. Signature of Patient (Signature by mark must be witnessed.)

55. Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

G. PRIVACY STATEMENT

The collection of this information is authorized by Section 226A of the Social Security Act. The information provided will be used to determine if an individual is entitled to Medicare under the End Stage Renal Disease provisions of the law. The information will be maintained in system No. 09-70-0520, "End Stage Renal Disease Program Management and Medical Information System (ESRD PMMIS)", published in the Federal Register, Vol. 67, No. 116, June 17, 2002, pages 41244-41250 or as updated and republished. Collection of your Social Security number is authorized by Executive Order 9397. Furnishing the information on this form is voluntary, but failure to do so may result in denial of Medicare benefits. Information from the ESRD PMMIS may be given to a congressional office in response to an inquiry from the congressional office made at the request of the individual; an individual or organization for research, demonstration, evaluation, or epidemiologic project related to the prevention of disease or disability, or the restoration or maintenance of health. Additional disclosures may be found in the *Federal Register* notice cited above. You should be aware that P.L.100-503, the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988, permits the government to verify information by way of computer matches.

LIST OF PRIMARY CAUSES OF END STAGE RENAL DISEASE

Item 15. Primary Cause of Renal Failure should be completed by the attending physician from the list below. Enter the ICD-9-CM code to indicate the primary cause of end stage renal disease. If there are several probable causes of renal failure, choose one as primary. **Code effective as of September 2003**.

ICD-9	NARRATIVE	ICD-9	NARRATIVE
DIABE	res	CYSTIC	/HEREDITARY/CONGENITAL DISEASES
25040	Diabetes with renal manifestations Type 2	75313	Polycystic kidneys, adult type (dominant)
25041	Diabetes with renal manifestations Type 1	75314	Polycystic, infantile (recessive)
		75316	Medullary cystic disease, including nephronophthisis
GLOM	ERULONEPHRITIS	7595	Tuberous sclerosis
5829	Glomerulonephritis (GN)	7598	Hereditary nephritis, Alport's syndrome
5025	(histologically not examined)	2700	Cystinosis
5821	Focal glomerulosclerosis, focal sclerosing GN	2718	Primary oxalosis
5831	Membranous nephropathy	2727	Fabry's disease
58321	Membranoproliferative GN type 1, diffuse MPGN	7533	Congenital nephrotic syndrome
58322	Dense deposit disease, MPGN type 2	5839	Drash syndrome, mesangial sclerosis
58381	IgA nephropathy, Berger's disease	75321	Congenital obstruction of ureterpelvic junction
50501	(proven by immunofluorescence)	75322	Congenital obstruction of uretrovesical junction
58382	IgM nephropathy (proven by immunofluorescence)	75329	Other Congenital obstructive uropathy
5834	With lesion of rapidly progressive GN	7530	Renal hypoplasia, dysplasia, oligonephronia
5800	Post infectious GN, SBE	75671	Prune belly syndrome
5820	Other proliferative GN	75989	Other (congenital malformation syndromes)
0020			ASMS/TUMORS
SECON	DARY GN/VASCULITIS		
7100	Lupus anythematosus (SLE perphritis)	1890	Renal tumor (malignant)
2870	Lupus erythematosus, (SLE nephritis)	1899	Urinary tract tumor (malignant)
2870 7101	Henoch-Schonlein syndrome Scleroderma	2230	Renal tumor (benign)
28311		2239	Urinary tract tumor (benign)
	Hemolytic uremic syndrome	23951	Renal tumor (unspecified)
4460 4464	Polyarteritis Wagener's granulamatesis	23952	Urinary tract tumor (unspecified)
4464 58392	Wegener's granulomatosis	20280	Lymphoma of kidneys
44620	Nephropathy due to heroin abuse and related drugs Other Vasculitis and its derivatives	20300 20308	Multiple myeloma Other immuno proliferative neoplasms
44620 44621	Goodpasture's syndrome	20308	(including light chain nephropathy)
58391	Secondary GN, other	2773	Amyloidosis
16291	Secondary GN, other	99680	Complications of transplanted organ unspecified
INTERS	TITIAL NEPHRITIS/PYELONEPHRITIS	99681	Complications of transplanted kidney
		99682	Complications of transplanted liver
9659	Analgesic abuse	99683	Complications of transplanted heart
5830	Radiation nephritis	99684	Complications of transplanted lung
9849	Lead nephropathy	99685	Complications of transplanted bone marrow
5909	Nephropathy caused by other agents	99686	Complications of transplanted pancreas
27410	Gouty nephropathy	99687	Complications of transplanted intestine
5920	Nephrolithiasis	99689	Complications of other specified transplanted organ
5996	Acquired obstructive uropathy		
5900	Chronic pyelonephritis, reflux nephropathy	MISCEI	LANEOUS CONDITIONS
58389	Chronic interstitial nephritis	28260	Sickle cell disease/anemia
58089	Acute interstitial nephritis	28269	Sickle cell trait and other sickle cell (HbS/Hb other)
5929	Urolithiasis	64620	Post partum renal failure
27549	Other disorders of calcium metabolism	042	AIDS nephropathy
		8660	Traumatic or surgical loss of kidney(s)
HYPER	TENSION/LARGE VESSEL DISEASE	5724	Hepatorenal syndrome
40391	Unspecified with renal failure	5836	Tubular necrosis (no recovery)
4401	Renal artery stenosis	59389	Other renal disorders
E0201	Denal artemy exclusion		

7999

Etiology uncertain

- 59381 Renal artery occlusion
- 59383 Cholesterol emboli, renal emboli

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF END STAGE RENAL DISEASE MEDICAL EVIDENCE REPORT MEDICARE ENTITLEMENT AND/OR PATIENT REGISTRATION

For whom should this form be completed:

This form **SHOULD NOT** be completed for those patients who are in acute renal failure. Acute renal failure is a condition in which kidney function can be expected to recover after a short period of dialysis, i.e., several weeks or months.

This form **MUST BE** completed within 45 days for **ALL** patients beginning any of the following:

Check the appropriate block that identifies the reason for submission of this form.

Initial

For all patients who initially receive a kidney transplant instead of a course of dialysis.

For patients for whom a regular course of dialysis has been prescribed by a physician because they have reached that stage of renal impairment that a kidney transplant or regular course of dialysis is necessary to maintain life. The first date of a regular course of dialysis is the date this prescription is implemented whether as an inpatient of a hospital, an outpatient in a dialysis center or facility, or a home patient. The form should be completed for all patients in this category even if the patient dies within this time period.

Re-entitlement

For beneficiaries who have already been entitled to ESRD Medicare benefits and those benefits were terminated because their coverage stopped 3 years post transplant but now are again applying for Medicare ESRD benefits because they returned to dialysis or received another kidney transplant.

For beneficiaries who stopped dialysis for more than 12 months, have had their Medicare ESRD benefits terminated and now returned to dialysis or received a kidney transplant. These patients will be reapplying for Medicare ESRD benefits.

Supplemental

Patient has received a transplant or trained for self-care dialysis within the first 3 months of the first date of dialysis and initial form was submitted.

All items except as follows: To be completed by the attending physician, head nurse, or social worker involved in this patient's treatment of renal disease.

Items 15, 17-18, 26-27, 49-50: To be completed by the attending physician. **Item 44:** To be signed by the attending physician or the physician familiar with the patient's self-care dialysis training. **Items 54 and 55:** To be signed and dated by the patient.

- 1. Enter the patient's legal name (Last, first, middle initial). Name should appear exactly the same as it appears on patient's social security or Medicare card.
- 2. If the patient is covered by Medicare, enter his/her Medicare claim number as it appears on his/her Medicare card.
- 3. Enter the patient's own social security number. This number can be verified from his/her social security card.
- 4. Enter patient's date of birth (2-digit Month, Day, and 4-digit Year). Example 07/25/1950.
- 5. Enter the patient's mailing address (number and street or post office box number, city, state, and ZIP code.)
- 6. Enter the patient's home area code and telephone number.
- 7. Check the appropriate block to identify sex.
- 8. Check the appropriate block to identify ethnicity. Definitions of the ethnicity categories for Federal statistics are as follows:

Not Hispanic or Latino—A person of culture or origin not described below, regardless of race.

Hispanic or Latino—A person of Cuban, Puerto Rican, or Mexican culture or origin regardless of race. Please complete Item 9 and provide the country, area of origin, or ancestry to which the patient claims to belong.

9. Country/Area of origin or ancestry—Complete if information is available or if directed to do so in question 8.

10. Check the appropriate block(s) to identify race. Definitions of the racial categories for Federal statistics are as follows:

White—A person having origins in any of the original white peoples of Europe, the Middle East or North Africa.

Black or African American—A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa. This includes native-born Black Americans, Africans, Haitians and residents of non-Spanish speaking Caribbean Islands of African descent.

American Indian/Alaska Native—A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North America and South America (including Central America) and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment. Print the name of the enrolled or principal tribe to which the patient claims to be a member.

Asian—A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand and Vietnam.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander—A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands. Please complete Item 9 and provide the country, area of origin, or ancestry to which the patient claims to belong.

DISTRIBUTION OF COPIES:

- Forward the first part (blue) of this form to the Social Security office servicing the claim.
- Forward the second part (green) of this form to the ESRD Network Organizations.
- Retain the last part (white) in the patient's medical records file.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information is 0938-0046. The time required to complete this information collection estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, Attention: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

- 11. Check the appropriate yes or no block to indicate if patient is applying for ESRD Medicare. Note: Even though a person may already be entitled to general Medicare coverage, he/she should reapply for ESRD Medicare coverage.
- 12. Check all the blocks that apply to this patient's current medical insurance status.

Medicaid—Patient is currently receiving State Medicaid benefits.

Medicare—Patient is currently entitled to Federal Medicare benefits.

Employer Group Health Insurance—Patient receives medical benefits through an employee health plan that covers employees, former employees, or the families of employees or former employees.

DVA—Patient is receiving medical care from a Department of Veterans Affairs facility.

Medicare Advantage—Patient is receiving medical benefits under a Medicare Advantage organization.

Other Medical Insurance—Patient is receiving medical benefits under a health insurance plan that is not Medicare, Medicaid, Department of Veterans Affairs, HMO/M+C organization, nor an employer group health insurance plan. Examples of other medical insurance are Railroad Retirement and CHAMPUS beneficiaries.

None—Patient has no medical insurance plan.

- 13. Enter the patient's most recent recorded height in inches OR centimeters at time form is being completed. If entering height in centimeters, round to the nearest centimeter. Estimate or use last known height for those unable to be measured. (Example of inches - 62. DO NOT PUT 5'2") NOTE: For amputee patients, enter height prior to amputation.
- Enter the patient's most recent recorded dry weight in pounds OR kilograms at time form is being completed. If entering weight in kilograms, round to the nearest kilogram.

NOTE: For amputee patients, enter actual dry weight.

- 15. **To be completed by the attending physician**. Enter the ICD-9-CM from back of form to indicate the primary cause of end stage renal disease. These are the only acceptable causes of end stage renal disease.
- 16. Check the first box to indicate employment status 6 months prior to renal failure and the second box to indicate current employment status. Check only one box for each time period. If patient is under 6 years of age, leave blank.
- 17. **To be completed by the attending physician**. Check all co-morbid conditions that apply.

*Cerebrovascular Disease includes history of stroke/ cerebrovascular accident (CVA) and transient ischemic attack (TIA).

*Peripheral Vascular Disease includes absent foot pulses, prior typical claudication, amputations for vascular disease, gangrene and aortic aneurysm.

*Drug dependence means dependent on illicit drugs.

18. Prior to ESRD therapy, check the appropriate box to indicate whether the patient received Exogenous erythropoetin (EPO) or equivalent, was under the care of a nephrologist and/or was under the care of a kidney dietitian. Provide vascular access information as to the type of access used (Arterio-Venous Fistula (AVF), graft, catheter (including port device) or other type of access) when the patient first received outpatient dialysis. If an AVF access was not used, was a maturing AVF or graft present?

NOTE: For those patients re-entering the Medicare program after benefits were terminated, Items 19a thru 19c should contain initial laboratory values within 45 days prior to the most recent ESRD episode. Lipid profiles and HbA1c should be within 1 year of the most recent ESRD episode. Some tests may not be required for patients under 21 years of age.

- 19a2. Enter the lower limit of the normal range for serum albumin from the laboratory which performed the serum albumin test entered in 19a1.
- 19a3. Enter the serum albumin lab method used (BCG or BCP).
- 19b. Enter the serum creatinine value (mg/dl) and date test was taken. THIS FIELD MUST BE COMPLETED. Value must be within 45 days prior to first dialysis treatment or kidney transplant.
- 19c. Enter the hemoglobin value (g/dl) and date test was taken. This value and date must be within 45 days prior to the first dialysis treatment or kidney transplant.
- 19d. Enter the HbA1c value and the date the test was taken. The date must be within 1 year prior to the first dialysis treatment or kidney transplant.
- 19e. Enter the Lipid Profile values and date test was taken. These values: TC–Total Cholesterol; LDL–LDL Cholesterol; HDL–HDL Cholesterol; TG–Triglycerides, and date must be within 1 year prior to the first dialysis treatment or kidney transplant.
- 20. Enter the name of the dialysis facility where patient is currently receiving care and who is completing this form for patient.
- 21. Enter the 6-digit Medicare identification code of the dialysis facility in item 20.
- 22. If the person is receiving a regular course of dialysis treatment, check the appropriate **anticipated long-term treatment setting** at the time this form is being completed.
- 23. If the patient is, or was, on regular dialysis, check the anticipated long-term primary type of dialysis: Hemodialysis, (enter the number of sessions prescribed per week and the hours that were prescribed for each session), CAPD (Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis) and CCPD (Continuous Cycling Peritoneal Dialysis), or Other. Check only one block. NOTE: Other has been placed on this form to be used only to report IPD (Intermittent Peritoneal Dialysis) and any new method of dialysis that may be developed prior to the renewal of this form by Office of Management and Budget.
- 24. Enter the date (month, day, year) that a "regular course of chronic dialysis" began. The beginning of the course of dialysis is counted from the beginning of regularly scheduled dialysis necessary for the treatment of end stage renal disease (ESRD) regardless of the dialysis setting. The date of the first dialysis treatment after the physician has determined that this patient has ESRD and has written a prescription for a "regular course of dialysis" is the "Date Regular Chronic Dialysis Began" regardless of whether this prescription was implemented in a hospital/ inpatient, outpatient, or home setting and regardless of any acute treatments received prior to the implementation of the prescription.

NOTE: For these purposes, end stage renal disease means irreversible damage to a person's kidneys so severely affecting his/her ability to remove or adjust blood wastes that in order to maintain life he or she must have either a course of dialysis or a kidney transplant to maintain life.

If re-entering the Medicare program, enter beginning date of the current ESRD episode. Note in Remarks, Item 53, that patient is restarting dialysis.

- 25. Enter date patient started chronic dialysis at current facility of dialysis services. In cases where patient transferred to current dialysis facility, this date will be after the date in Item 24.
- 26. Enter whether the patient has been informed of their options for receiving a kidney transplant.
- 27. If the patient has not been informed of their options (answered "no" to Item 26), then enter all reasons why a kidney transplant was not an option for this patient at this time.

- 28. Enter the date(s) of the patient's kidney transplant(s). If reentering the Medicare program, enter current transplant date.
- 29. Enter the name of the hospital where the patient received a kidney transplant on the date in Item 28.
- 30. Enter the 6-digit Medicare identification code of the hospital in Item 29 where the patient received a kidney transplant on the date entered in Item 28.
- 31. Enter date patient was admitted as an inpatient to a hospital in preparation for, or anticipation of, a kidney transplant prior to the date of the actual transplantation. This includes hospitalization for transplant workup in order to place the patient on a transplant waiting list.
- 32. Enter the name of the hospital where patient was admitted as an inpatient in preparation for, or anticipation of, a kidney transplant prior to the date of the actual transplantation.
- 33. Enter the 6-digit Medicare identification number for hospital in Item 32.
- 34. Check the appropriate functioning or non-functioning block.
- Enter the type of kidney transplant organ donor, Deceased, Living Related or Living Unrelated, that was provided to the patient.
- 36. If transplant is nonfunctioning, enter date patient returned to a regular course of dialysis. If patient did not stop dialysis post transplant, enter transplant date.
- If applicable, check where patient is receiving dialysis treatment following transplant rejection. A nursing home or skilled nursing facility is considered as home setting.

Self-dialysis Training Patients (Medicare Applicants Only)

Normally, Medicare entitlement begins with the third month after the month a patient begins a regular course of dialysis treatment. This 3-month qualifying period may be waived if a patient begins a self-dialysis training program in a **Medicare approved training facility** and is expected to self-dialyze after the completion of the training program. Please complete items 38-43 if the patient has entered into a self-dialysis training program. Items 38-43 must be completed if the patient is applying for a Medicare waiver of the 3-month qualifying period for dialysis benefits based on participation in a self-care dialysis training program.

- 38. Enter the name of the provider furnishing self-care dialysis training.
- Enter the 6-digit Medicare identification number for the training provider in Item 38.
- 40. Enter the date self-dialysis training began.
- 41. Check the appropriate block which describes the type of selfcare dialysis training the patient began. If the patient trained for hemodialysis, enter whether the training was to perform dialysis in the home setting or in the facility (in center). If the patient trained for IPD (Intermittent Peritoneal Dialysis), report as Other.
- 42. Check the appropriate block as to whether or not the physician certifies that the patient is expected to complete the training successfully and self-dialyze on a regular basis.
- 43. Enter date patient completed or is expected to complete selfdialysis training.
- 44. Enter printed name and signature of the attending physician or the physician familiar with the patient's self-care dialysis training.
- 45. Enter the Unique Physician Identification Number (UPIN) of physician in Item 44. (See Item 48 for explanation of UPIN.)
- 46. Enter the name of the physician who is supervising the patient's renal treatment at the time this form is completed.

- 47. Enter the area code and telephone number of the physician who is supervising the patient's renal treatment at the time this form is completed.
- 48. Enter the physician's UPIN assigned by CMS.

A system of physician identifiers is mandated by Section 9202 of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985. It requires a unique identifier for each physician who provides services for which Medicare payment is made. An identifier is assigned to each physician regardless of his or her practice configuration. The UPIN is established in a national Registry of Medicare Physician Identification and Eligibility Records (MPIER). Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company is the Registry Carrier that establishes and maintains the national registry of physicians receiving Part B Medicare payment. Its address is: UPIN Registry, Transamerica Occidental Life, P.O. Box 2575, Los Angeles, CA 90051-0575.

- 49. To be signed by the physician supervising the patient's kidney treatment. Signature of physician identified in Item 46. A stamped signature is unacceptable.
- 50. Enter date physician signed this form.
- 51. To be signed by the physician who is currently following the patient. If the patient had decided initially not to file an application for Medicare, the physician will be re-certifying that the patient is end stage renal, based on the same medical evidence, by signing the copy of the CMS-2728 that was originally submitted and returned to the provider. If you do not have a copy of the original CMS-2728 on file, complete a new form.
- 52. The date physician re-certified and signed the form.
- 53. This remarks section may be used for any necessary comments by either the physician, patient, ESRD Network or social security field office.
- 54. The patient's signature authorizing the release of information to the Department of Health and Human Services must be secured here. If the patient is unable to sign the form, it should be signed by a relative, a person assuming responsibility for the patient or by a survivor.
- 55. The date patient signed form.

Appendix B. CMS-2746 Death Notification Form

		NOTIFICATIO		
1. Patient's Last Name	First	MI	2. Medicare Claim	Number
3. Patient's Sex a. 🖸 Male b. 🖸 Female	4. Date of Birth / / / Month Day		5. Social Security N	umber
6. Patient's State of Residence	7. Place of Death a. 🗌 Hospital c b. 🗌 Dialysis Unit d	. 🗌 Home e. 🗌 Othe . 🗌 Nursing Home	//	ath /Year Day Year
 9. Modality at Time of Death a. Incenter Hemodialysis b. Hor 10. Provider Name and Address (Street) 	ne Hemodialysis c	. 🗆 CAPD d. 🗌 CCPD	e. 🗌 Transplant 11. Provider Numb	
Provider Address (City/State)				
 12. Causes of Death (enter codes from list a. Primary Cause: b. Were there secondary causes? D No D Yes, specify: c. If cause is other (98) please specify: 				
 13. Renal replacement therapy discontinu If yes, check one of the following: a. □ Following HD and/or PD access f b. □ Following transplant failure c. □ Following chronic failure to thrive d. □ Following acute medical complice e. □ Other 	ailure ve	Yes 🖸 No	14. Was discontinu replacement th family request UYes	erapy after patient/
15. If deceased ever received a transplant a. Date of most recent transplant	nth Day Y	 /ear Unknown /ear	16.Was patient rec prior to death? ¤Yes	eiving Hospice care
 □ Living Related □ Living Unrelated c. Was graft functioning (patient not of □ Yes □ No □ Unknown d. Did transplant patient resume chroit 	on dialysis) at time of	death?	□ Unknown	
Image: Construction of the second of the	ete name) 18. s	ignature of Person Com		Date

disclosed except as provided for in the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 5520; 45 CFR Part 5a).

ESRD DEATH NOTIFICATION FORM LIST OF CAUSES

CARDIAC

- 23 Myocardial infarction, acute
- 25 Pericarditis, incl. Cardiac tamponade
- 26 Atherosclerotic heart disease
- 27 Cardiomyopathy
- 28 Cardiac arrhythmia
- 29 Cardiac arrest, cause unknown
- 30 Valvular heart disease
- 31 Pulmonary edema due to exogenous fluid
- 32 Congestive Heart Failure

VASCULAR

- 35 Pulmonary embolus
- 36 Cerebrovascular accident including intracranial hemorrhage
- 37 Ischemic brain damage/Anoxic encephalopathy
- 38 Hemorrhage from transplant site
- 39 Hemorrhage from vascular access
- 40 Hemorrhage from dialysis circuit
- 41 Hemorrhage from ruptured vascular aneurysm
- 42 Hemorrhage from surgery (not 38, 39, or 41)
- 43 Other hemorrhage (not 38-42, 72)
- 44 Mesenteric infarction/ischemic bowel

INFECTION

- 33 Septicemia due to internal vascular access
- 34 Septicemia due to vascular access catheter
- 45 Peritoneal access infectious complication, bacterial
- 46 Peritoneal access infectious complication, fungal
- 47 Peritonitis (complication of peritoneal dialysis)
- 48 Central nervous system infection (brain abscess, meningitis, encephalitis, etc.)
- 51 Septicemia due to peripheral vascular disease, gangrene
- 52 Septicemia, other
- 61 Cardiac infection (endocarditis)
- 62 Pulmonary infection (pneumonia, influenza)
- 63 Abdominal infection (peritonitis (not comp of PD), perforated bowel, diverticular disease, gallbladder)
- 70 Genito-urinary infection (urinary tract infection, pyelonephritis, renal abscess)

LIVER DISEASE

- 64 Hepatitis B
- 71 Hepatitis C
- 65 Other viral hepatitis
- 66 Liver-drug toxicity
- 67 Cirrhosis
- 68 Polycystic liver disease
- 69 Liver failure, cause unknown or other

GASTRO-INTESTINAL

- 72 Gastro-intestinal hemorrhage
- 73 Pancreatitis
- 75 Perforation of peptic ulcer
- 76 Perforation of bowel (not 75)

METABOLIC

- 24 Hyperkalemia
- 77 Hypokalemia
- 78 Hypernatremia
- 79 Hyponatremia
- 100 Hypoglycemia
- 101 Hyperglycemia
- 102 Diabetic coma
- 95 Acidosis

ENDOCRINE

- 96 Adrenal insufficiency
- 97 Hypothyroidism
- 103 Hyperthyroidism

OTHER

- 80 Bone marrow depression
- 81 Cachexia/failure to thrive
- 82 Malignant disease, patient ever on Immunosuppressive therapy
- 83 Malignant disease (not 82)
- 84 Dementia, incl. dialysis dementia, Alzheimer's
- 85 Seizures
- 87 Chronic obstructive lung disease (COPD)
- 88 Complications of surgery
- 89 Air embolism
- 104 Withdrawal from dialysis/uremia
- 90 Accident related to treatment
- 91 Accident unrelated to treatment
- 92 Suicide
- 93 Drug overdose (street drugs)
- 94 Drug overdose (not 92 or 93)
- 98 Other cause of death
- 99 Unknown

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-0448. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: CMS, Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING OF ESRD DEATH NOTIFICATION: CMS-2746-U2

ITEM PROCEDURE

1. Patient's Last Name, First, and Middle Initial

Enter the patient's last name, first name, and middle initial as it appears on the Medicare Card or other official SSA notification.

2. Medicare Claim Number

Enter the patient's Medicare number as it appears on the Medicare Card or other official SSA notification.

3. Patient's Sex

Check the box that indicates the patient's sex.

4. Date of Birth

Enter the date in month, day, and year order, using an 8-digit number; e.g., 07/24/2000 for July 24, 2000.

5. Social Security Number

Enter the patient's own social security number.

6. Patient's State of Residence

Enter the two-letter United States Postal Service abbreviation for State in the space provided; e.g., MD for Maryland, NY for New York.

7. Place of Death

Check the one block which indicates the location of the patient at time of death. In-transit deaths or dead on arrival (DOA) cases are to be identified by checking "Other."

8. Date of Death

Enter the date in month, day, and year order, using an 8-digit number.

9. Modality at Time of Death

Check the one block, which indicates the patient's modality at time of death. "Other" has been placed on the form to be used only to report IPD (Intermittent Peritoneal Dialysis) and any new method of dialysis that may be developed prior to the renewal of this form by the Office of Management and Budget.

10. Provider Name and Address (City and State)

Enter the complete name of the provider submitting the form and the city and State in which the provider is located.

11. Provider Number

Enter the provider number (6-digit Medicare identification code) assigned by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

12. Causes of Death

- a. Primary Cause: Enter the numeric code from the list on the form, which represents the patient's primary cause of death. Do not report the same cause of death for primary and secondary causes.
- b. Were there secondary causes?
 Check the one block, which indicates whether or not there were secondary cause(s) of death.
 If yes, enter the code from the list on the form, which represents the secondary cause(s) of death.
- c. If cause is "Other" (98) please specify.
- **NOTES:** 1. Code 82, "Malignant disease, patient ever on immunosuppressive therapy" means immunosuppressive therapy prior to the diagnosis of malignant disease.
 - 2. Code 104, "Withdrew from dialysis" may not be reported as a cause of death (e.g., Code 98; "Other") and specify.

13. Renal Replacement Therapy Discontinued Prior to Death Indicate Yes / No

Check the one block, which indicates whether or not the patient voluntarily discontinued renal replacement therapy prior to death.

If **YES**, check one of the following:

Check the one box, which best describes the condition under which the patient discontinued renal replacement therapy.

- a. Following HD and/or PD access failure
- b. Following transplant failure
- c. Following chronic failure to thrive
- d. Following acute medical complication
- e. Other
- f. Enter date of last dialysis treatment using an 8-digit number
- **14.** Was Discontinuation of Renal Replacement Therapy after Patient/Family Request to Stop Dialysis Check the appropriate box that applies. Yes / No / Unknown / or Not Applicable

15. If Deceased Ever Received a Transplant

If the patient had ever received a transplant, complete items a through d.

- a. Date of most recent transplant. Enter the date of the most recent transplant in month, day, and year order using an 8-digit number. If unknown, check box for unknown.
- b. Type of transplant received. Check the block that indicates type of transplant received.
- c. Was graft functioning at time of death? Check appropriate block Yes / No or Unknown.
- d. Did transplant patient resume chronic maintenance dialysis prior to death? Check appropriate block Yes / No or Unknown.

16. Was Patient Receiving Hospice Care Prior to Death? Check appropriate block Yes / No / or Unknown.

17. Name of Physician

Enter the name of the physician supplying the information for this form.

18. Signature of Person Completing this Form The person completing the form should sign this space. The date should be entered.

Distribution of Copies:

Complete the ESRD Death Notification, CMS-2746, within 2 weeks of the date of death. If the patient was a dialysis patient, the dialysis facility last responsible for the patient's maintenance dialysis (or home dialysis) must complete this form. If the patient was a transplant patient, the transplant center is responsible for completing this form.

Mail the original (GREEN) copy to the ESRD network.

Retain the facility (WHITE) copy at your facility.

The form CMS-2746 can be obtained from your ESRD Network office.

Appendix C. Comparison of Quality-of-Care Indicators in Patients with End-Stage Renal Disease Secondary to Lupus Nephritis vs. Other Causes **Title:** Comparison of Quality-of-Care Indicators in Patients with End-Stage Renal Disease Secondary to Lupus Nephritis vs. Other Causes

Authors: Laura Plantinga, ScM,^{1,2} Rachel Patzer, PhD,^{3,4} Cristina Drenkard, MD, PhD,⁵ Stephen Pastan, MD,^{3,6} Jason Cobb, MD,⁶ William McClellan, MD,¹ and S. Sam Lim⁵

Affiliations: ¹Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health; ²Laney Graduate School; ³Emory Transplant Center, Emory Healthcare; ⁴Division of Transplantation, Department of Surgery; and Divisions of Rheumatology⁵ and Renal Medicine, ⁶Department of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia

Corresponding Author: Laura Plantinga, 1518 Clifton Road NE, Claudia Nance Rollins Building, Floor 3, Atlanta, GA 30322. E-mail: laura.plantinga@emory.edu.

Word Count: 3985 Abstract Word Count: 216

Tables: 3 Figures: 2

Financial Support: L.C.P. was supported by Laney Graduate School, Emory University. R.E.P. was supported in part by grants from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (NIH; ULI TR000454 and KL2TR000455). R.E.P. and S.O.P are both supported in part by R24MD008077-01 through the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities. C.D. and S.S.L. are supported in part by NIH R01AR065493 and CDC U01DP005119. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Objective. To determine whether patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) due to lupus nephritis (LN-ESRD) have better quality of care than other ESRD patients.

Methods. Among incident patients (7/05-9/11) with ESRD due to LN (n=6,594) vs. other causes (n=617,758), identified using a national surveillance cohort (United States Renal Data System), we determined the association between attributed cause of ESRD and quality-of-care indicators (pre-ESRD nephrology care, placement on the deceased donor kidney transplant waitlist, and placement of permanent vascular access). Multivariable logistic and Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and hazard ratios (HRs).

Results. LN-ESRD patients were more likely than other ESRD patients to receive pre-ESRD care (71% vs. 66%; OR=1.68, 95% CI 1.57-1.78) and be waitlisted in the first year (206 vs. 86 per 1000 patient-years; HR=1.42, 95% CI 1.34-1.52). However, only 24% had a permanent vascular access (fistula or graft) in place at dialysis start (vs. 36%; OR=0.63, 95% CI 0.59-0.67). **Conclusion.** LN-ESRD patients are more likely to receive pre-ESRD care and have better access to transplant—but are less likely to have a permanent vascular access for dialysis—than other ESRD patients. Further studies are warranted to examine barriers to permanent vascular access placement, as well as morbidity and mortality associated with temporary access, in patients with LN-ESRD.

Among end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients, receipt of pre-ESRD care (1-9), access to kidney transplantation (10-15), and permanent vascular accesses for dialysis, which include arteriovenous fistulae (AVFs) and grafts (16-23), are all associated with better patient outcomes and lower healthcare costs. Benchmarks for each of these aspects of ESRD healthcare quality are provided in Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov) (24), which sets nationwide goals to improve the overall health of the U.S. population. Further, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) covers end-stage renal disease (ESRD) care for all eligible U.S. patients and, thus, is incentivized to promote quality of care. Accordingly, CMS mandates ESRD pay-for-performance (25) and quality improvement projects addressing various aspects of quality of ESRD care that are regionally implemented through its 18 ESRD Networks. Since 2005, CMS has also collected information on quality-of-ESRD-care indicators on all incident ESRD patients via the CMS Medical Evidence Report (CMS Form 2728), which is completed for all patients at the start of ESRD treatment.

Recently, we reported on the sociodemographic and geographic predictors of quality of ESRD care in this population (Plantinga *et al.*, submitted manuscript), and others have reported specifically on patterns of placement on the deceased donor kidney transplant waitlist among LN-ESRD patients (26, 27). However, the translation of these indicators among LN-ESRD patients has not been compared to that among other ESRD patients. Translation of quality-of-care indicators should theoretically be as good—or better—in patient populations treated by multiple specialty providers, such as those with LN-ESRD, relative to the overall population. However, a similar U.S. population of ESRD patients in terms of age and race as well as receipt

of multi-provider treatment—those with ESRD secondary to sickle cell disease—was shown to have substantially decreased likelihood of pre-ESRD care or a functioning AVF at the start of dialysis, compared to patients with ESRD due to other causes (28). A similar comparison among patients with ESRD due to LN vs. other causes is important because rheumatologists, who currently have few guidelines to address the preparation for ESRD among their SLE patients (29), could partner with nephrologists and other providers to address identified gaps in the quality of ESRD care among these patients. Thus, we sought to compare the translation of ESRD quality-of-care indicators among U.S. patients with LN-ESRD vs. ESRD due to other causes.

Methods

Study Population and Data Sources

Data from the most recent (2005) version of the CMS-2728, completed on all treated U.S. incident ESRD patients, were obtained from the United States Renal Data System (USRDS) (15). A total of 675,889 incident ESRD patients were identified who initiated treatment from 7/1/05 to 9/30/11 and had data from the CMS-2728 regarding primary attributed cause of ESRD. Of these, 81,333 (12.0%) had unknown pre-ESRD nephrology care status and were excluded from these analyses (Figure 1). For analyses of indicators of access to kidney transplantation [informed of transplant options and placement on the deceased donor kidney waitlist (=kidney transplant waitlisting], those who were pre-emptively transplanted (n=17,054) or pre-emptively waitlisted (*n*=19,431) or who were aged \geq 70 years (*n*=246,891) were excluded from the 675,889 ESRD patients, leaving 392,513 for analyses (Figure 1). For analyses of permanent vascular access, those with pre-emptive transplants (*n*=17,054) and those treated with peritoneal dialysis (*n*=42,810) were excluded, leaving 616,025 (Figure 1).

Primary attributed cause of ESRD, quality-of-care indicators (nephrology care prior to ESRD, being informed of transplant options, and vascular access at first dialysis), race/ethnicity, insurance, and clinical factors were all obtained from the CMS-2728 through the USRDS. Waitlisting information was obtained from United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) data, also available through USRDS.

Study Variables

Attributed Cause of ESRD. The exposure of interest was the primary attributed cause of ESRD, which was defined by ICD-9 codes as listed on the CMS-2728. LN-ESRD was defined as ESRD attributed to secondary glomerulonephritis due to SLE (CMS-2728 ICD-9 code = 710.0). ESRD due to other GN was included as a separate category for comparison with LN-ESRD due to potential similarities in patient population, disease course, and treatment. GN-ESRD was defined by CMS-2728 ICD-9 codes for glomerulonephritis (582.9, 582.1, 583.1, 583.21, 583.22, 583.81, 583.82, 583.4, 580.0, and 582.0) or secondary glomerulonephritis/vasculitis (excluding LN-ESRD; 287.0, 710.1, 283.11, 446.0, 446.4, 583.92, 446.20, 446.21, and 583.91). All other causes of ESRD, which served as the referent group in main analyses, included all other ICD-9 codes as listed on the CMS-2728. Since the majority of incident ESRD in the United States is attributed to diabetes or hypertension (72%) or GN (6%) (15) and the remaining attributed causes represent a fairly diverse group of ESRD etiologies such as cystic kidney disease, we conducted sensitivity analyses including only patients with ESRD attributed to diabetes (250.4x) or hypertension or large vessel disease (CMS-2728 ICD-9 code = 403.91, 440.1, 583.81, 593.83)—representing typical U.S. ESRD patients—in the referent group.

<u>Quality-of-Care Indicators.</u> The outcomes of interest were quality-of-care indicators related to pre-ESRD care, access to transplant, and permanent vascular access placement. Pre-ESRD nephrology care was defined by an answer of "Yes" to item 18b on the CMS-2728: "Prior to ESRD therapy: was the patient under the care of a nephrologist?" Whether patients were informed of transplant option was defined by CMS -2728 item 26: "Has patient been informed of kidney transplant options?" with possible responses of "Yes" and "No." Date of placement on the deceased donor transplant waitlist was determined from UNOS data and used to calculate time to transplant waitlisting (date of waitlisting – first ESRD service date). Censoring occurred at death or at the end of follow-up (9/30/11; median follow-up, 1.9 years). Finally, vascular access was determined from CMS-2728 item 18d: "What access was used on first outpatient dialysis?" with possible responses of "AVF," "Graft," "Catheter," and "Other" and two additional prompts for maturing permanent accesses in place ("Is maturing AVF present?" and "Is maturing graft present?"). Permanent vascular access was defined as AVF or graft used or in place on first dialysis.

<u>Other Variables.</u> Incident age and sex were obtained from the USRDS patient demographics file. Race/ethnicity (defined as white, black, Hispanic, and other), insurance prior to ESRD (defined as private, Medicaid, none, or other), smoking status, BMI, presence of comorbid conditions, and serum albumin and hemoglobin at the start of ESRD were obtained from the CMS-2728.

Statistical Analysis

Patient characteristics including sociodemographics and clinical factors were summarized overall and by attributed cause (LN-ESRD, GN-ESRD, and other ESRD). Quality-of-care indicators were summarized overall and by incident year within appropriate study populations, and tests for linear trend over time were performed. Odds ratios (ORs) and confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations between dichotomous outcomes (pre-ESRD nephrology care, informed of transplant options, and permanent vascular access placement) were estimated with multivariable logistic regression models. For transplant waitlisting, time-to-event analyses were used. Tests of Schoenfeld residuals suggested Cox proportional hazards assumptions may be violated (P<0.001 for unadjusted and adjusted models) and log-log curves indicated some potential nonproportionality after 1 year. Thus, hazard ratios (HRs) and CIs were obtained from multivariable Cox proportional hazards models with a Heaviside function, allowing calculation of hazard before and after 1 year of ESRD treatment, to account for this potential violation. Factors that were associated with both attributed cause and quality-of-care indicators and were not thought *a priori* to be mediators of the association were considered potential confounders. Sensitivity analyses (*i*) with diabetes and hypertension as the referent group (see above) and (*ii*) with further adjustment for albumin (missing on 23% of patients), as well as indicator-specific sensitivity analyses, were also conducted. Stata v. 13 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) was used for all analyses.

Results

Characteristics of the Study Population by Attributed ESRD Cause

Patients with ESRD due to LN had a mean age of 40 years and were, on average, 14 years younger than patients with ESRD due to other glomerulonephritis (GN), who were, in turn, 10 years younger than those with ESRD due to other causes (Table 1). The majority of LN-ESRD patients were female, compared to fewer than half of GN-ESRD and other ESRD patients (Table 1). Similarly, half of LN-ESRD patients were black, compared to approximately one-quarter of GN-ESRD and other ESRD patients (Table 1). Those with LN and GN were more likely to have private insurance than other ESRD patients (Table 1). While cardiovascular disease was far more common in other ESRD patients than among LN- or GN-ESRD patients, the prevalence of hypertension did not vary considerably by attributed cause of ESRD (Table 1). Those with LN-ESRD were less likely to report smoking and also had lower BMI and lower levels of albumin and hemoglobin than those with GN-ESRD or other ESRD (Table 1).

Association of Attributed Cause of ESRD with Quality-of-Care Indicators

<u>Pre-ESRD Care.</u> Overall, about two-thirds of U.S. ESRD patients received pre-ESRD nephrology care, with LN-ESRD (71%) and GN-ESRD (69%) patients more likely to receive pre-ESRD care than other ESRD (65%) patients (Table 2). Receipt of pre-ESRD care among incident patients increased slightly from 2005 to 2011 for each attributed cause, although the trend was not statistically significant for LN-ESRD (Table 2). After adjustment for potential sociodemographic and clinical confounders, those with LN-ESRD were nearly 70% more likely than other ESRD patients to receive pre-ESRD care, whereas GN-ESRD patients were only about 20% more likely to receive this care (Table 3). The magnitude of the association of LN vs. other ESRD was increased when models were further adjusted for albumin (OR=2.02, 95% CI 1.88-2.17) but reduced when those with ESRD due to diabetes or hypertension only were used as a referent group (OR=1.56, 95% CI 1.46-1.56).

Access to Transplant. Overall, 79% of U.S. ESRD patients were informed of transplant options at the start of ESRD, with 85%, 84%, and 78% of patients with ESRD due to LN, GN, and other causes being informed. Absolute increases of about 5% in being informed of transplant options were seen over study follow-up for all incident ESRD patients (Table 2), although the trend was only marginally statistically significant for LN-ESRD patients. With adjustment, ESRD patients with LN and GN were 10% and 19% more likely than those with other causes to be informed of the transplant options. Results were nearly identical when models were further adjusted for albumin (data not shown). When diabetes and hypertension were used as the referent group, being informed of transplant options did not differ for those with LN-ESRD vs. the comparison group (OR=0.98, 95% CI 0.91-1.06).

Incidence of transplant waitlisting was 97 per 1000 patient-years overall but was more than twice as high among LN-ESRD and GN-ESRD patients as compared to other ESRD patients (Table 2). Waitlisting incidence increased over time among patients with all causes of ESRD, although the trend was only marginally statistically significant for LN-ESRD patients (Table 2). Time to waitlisting was similar among ESRD patients with LN and GN but was much shorter among both groups of patients compared to other ESRD patients (Figure 2). Adjusted analyses showed that the rate of waitlisting among LN-ESRD patients was 42% higher than that among other ESRD patients in the first year of ESRD; this relatively increased rate was even higher (56%) after the first year (Table 3). In comparison, GN-ESRD patients had nearly twice the rate of waitlisting as other ESRD patients in the first year but only a ~40% higher rate after the first year (Table 3). When models were further adjusted for albumin and diabetes or hypertension only was used as the comparison group, results were slightly higher in magnitude for the first year for LN-ESRD (HR=1.53, 95% CI 1.43-1.63) but not substantially different after the first year (HR=1.56, 95% CI, 1.45-1.67). When being transplanted without waitlisting (which occurred in 1.6%, 1.2%, and 1.0% of patients with ESRD due to LN, GN, and all other causes, respectively) was combined with waitlisting as an outcome, results were similar but attenuated (LN-ESRD: first year, HR=1.31, 95% CI, 1.24-1.40; after first year, HR=1.41, 95% CI, 1.31-1.51; GN-ESRD: first year, HR=1.81, 95% CI, 1.76-1.85; after first year, HR=1.32, 95% CI, 1.28-1.37).

Permanent Vascular Access. More than one-third of all dialysis patients had a permanent vascular access in place at the start of treatment, but fewer than one-quarter of LN-ESRD patients had a fistula or graft in place (Table 2). There were no differences over time in permanent vascular access placement overall or by cause of ESRD (Table 2). With adjustment, LN-ESRD patients remained nearly 40% less likely than other ESRD patients to have a permanent vascular access used or in place at first dialysis, whereas GN-ESRD patients were 10% more likely than other ESRD patients to have a permanent vascular access (Table 3). Results were nearly identical when models were further adjusted for albumin (data not shown). When the referent group was limited to diabetes and hypertension only, results showed that LN-ESRD patients remained less likely to have a permanent vascular access (OR=0.63, 95% CI 0.59-0.67). Patients with other causes of ESRD who had early transplants (within 1 year of ESRD start) were more likely than similar patients who either did not receive a transplant or

received a transplant after 1 year of ESRD start to have a permanent vascular access (46.4% vs. 35.8%, P<0.001), but this was not true among LN-ESRD (27.2% vs. 24.3%, P=0.32) or GN-ESRD (36.5% vs. 37.8%, P=0.26) patients. Among those with early transplants and with full adjustment, both LN-ESRD (OR=0.66, 95% CI, 0.48-0.92) and GN-ESRD (OR=0.83, 95% CI, 0.74-0.93) patients were less likely than other ESRD patients to have a permanent vascular access in place at start of ESRD. For all attributed cause groups, males were more likely than females to have a permanent vascular access used or in place at the start of ESRD [LN-ESRD, 27.8% vs. 23.9% (P=0.038); GN-ESRD, 41.0% vs. 32.8% (P<0.001); and other ESRD, 37.2% vs. 34.2% (P<0.001)].

Discussion

Compared to other ESRD patients, LN-ESRD patients represent a group that may receive greater clinical attention due not only to their underlying SLE but also their young age. Our results showed that, indeed, receipt of pre-ESRD nephrology care and access to transplant waitlisting were higher among LN-ESRD vs. other ESRD patients. After adjustment for striking differences across the patient populations—including age, sex, race, and insurance, as well as clinical characteristics—LN-ESRD patients remained more likely than other ESRD patients to have had pre-ESRD care, to be informed of transplant options at the start of ESRD, and to be waitlisted for kidney transplantation while on dialysis. These patterns were similar to those seen in the comparison of GN-ESRD to other ESRD patients. However, only about one-quarter of LN-ESRD patients had a permanent vascular access in place at the start of dialysis, and LN-ESRD patients to have a permanent vascular access in place at the start of dialysis, accounting for patients to have a access in place at the start of dialysis.

While LN-ESRD patients were nearly 70% more likely than other ESRD patients to have pre-ESRD nephrology care after adjustment for differences in the populations, nearly one-third of patients with SLE and LN progressed to ESRD without ever having seen a nephrologist. Further, the percentage of patients receiving pre-ESRD care generally increased slightly over time among most ESRD patients, but not among those with LN-ESRD. Progression of LN is much faster among black patients (30, 31), who are overrepresented in LN and SLE and may also be less likely to access care early, which may make nephrology referral prior to development of ESRD more difficult. It is also possible that presentation with ESRD among some SLE patients, as well as the relapsing-remitting nature of SLE and LN, potentially involving sudden renal flares (32), may make it difficult in some cases to refer to nephrology prior to the urgent need for dialysis treatment. However, it is likely that such presentations are rare and that, with greater attention to signs of renal damage and dysfunction (biopsy-proven GN, hematuria, proteinuria, and reduced glomerular filtration rate) among patients with adequate access to SLE care, lack of pre-ESRD nephrology care among LN-ESRD patients could be, if not eliminated, at least greatly reduced.

Being informed of transplant options and especially waitlisting increased over study follow-up for patients with all attributed causes of ESRD; secular trends of increased waitlisting have been noted in the U.S. LN-ESRD population previously (26, 27). Changes in waitlisting criteria that have reduced racial disparities in waitlisting (33, 34) may have resulted in greater access to waitlisting among the minority LN-ESRD population. Additionally, increasing evidence that transplant outcomes among LN-ESRD and other ESRD patients appear to be equivalent (35-37) may have contributed to this increase, if more providers are taking this evidence into account when deciding whether to refer patients for transplant evaluation. While both LN-ESRD and GN-ESRD patients were more likely to be waitlisted for kidney transplantation than patients with other ESRD, the association was even stronger after the first year of ESRD among LN-ESRD patients (the opposite pattern of that seen in GN-ESRD), which may reflect clinical recommendations to wait to transplant LN-ESRD patients to allow SLE activity to decrease (38, 39). However, whether such delays are necessary or potentially even detrimental to kidney transplant outcomes in this population remains in question (40).

Despite a national quality initiative program initially implemented in 2003-2004 to increase the use of AVFs (41), most U.S. ESRD patients started dialysis without a permanent vascular access in place, and the percentage with a permanent vascular access at the start of dialysis did not increase appreciably over study follow-up for any ESRD group we examined-mirroring recent reports that show that, while placements of fistulae have increased, the use of temporary catheters rates has not decreased substantially (42). LN-ESRD patients were far less likely than either GN-ESRD or other ESRD patients to have a permanent access in place, a gap that has been noted previously in pediatric SLE patients (43, 44). While female sex may be associated with more difficulty placing AVFs (but not necessarily grafts) (45) and with greater likelihood of body image issues associated with permanent vascular access (46), accounting for the female predominance in the LN-ESRD population did not change the results. Further, we found that males with LN-ESRD were only slightly more likely than females with LN-ESRD—and far less likely than males or females with GN-ESRD or other ESRD-to have a permanent vascular access. The possibility that providers may skip permanent vascular access among patients that may be slated for early transplants was also not supported by our findings that having a permanent vascular access in place at the start of dialysis was as (or even more) likely among those patients who received a transplant within a year of ESRD start than other ESRD patients. History of multiple, prolonged intravenous treatments in SLE patients could play a role in decisions not to refer for vascular access surgery, as could hypercoagulable states in SLE patients, particularly in the setting of anti-phospholipid syndrome (39); however, neither of these possibilities could be examined with available data. Greater anticipated recovery from ESRD among SLE patients could play a role (47) but seems unlikely to explain our results, given that transplant access was much higher among LN-ESRD patients than other ESRD patients.

Similar to the population with ESRD secondary to sickle cell disease (28), another young, primarily minority patient population with multiple providers, the LN-ESRD population showed substantial gaps in placement of permanent vascular access for hemodialysis. However, unlike the sickle cell population, LN-ESRD patients were more likely than the general ESRD population receive pre-ESRD care. Such disparate patterns of adequacy of care in LN-ESRD could be the result of so-called "silos" of care, in which there is lack of communication and coordination among specialty providers and a loss of patient-centeredness (48). Lack of direct communication between nephrologists and rheumatologists and the general lack of guidelines in rheumatology to address preparation for ESRD (29) may discourage the rheumatologist from actively participating in certain treatment decisions for their LN-ESRD patients. While rheumatologists may refer appropriately to nephrologists, they may leave discussions of specific preparation for transplantation and dialysis to nephrologists; in turn, nephrologists may assume that rheumatologists are coordinating the overall care of the patient approaching LN-ESRD and spend less time discussing ESRD preparation with these patients. Such gaps in communication in this critical period could lead to less preparedness for the initiation of ESRD (e.g., placement of permanent vascular access) but greater access to treatment options (e.g., waitlisting for kidney transplantation) after the start of ESRD, when SLE activity may "burn out" (38), leading to patients being primarily treated by nephrologists at dialysis facilities.

This study has several limitations. The USRDS does not capture non-Medicare-eligible individuals who have untreated ESRD, including some undocumented residents. Also, attribution of ESRD cause on the CMS-2728 has unknown validity; only one small validation study has

been published (49), suggesting a low sensitivity. There is the potential for selection bias due to missing data (12.0%) in analyses of pre-ESRD care. Misclassification of quality of care indicators on the CMS-2728 is also possible, due to variability in provider knowledge about patients. Many potential confounders, such as hemoglobin and albumin, may be the result of the adequacy of care, rather than a factor that leads to adequate care. Such factors may serve as mediating factors rather than confounders, leading to potential overadjustment, although we found that crude and adjusted results generally did not differ substantially. As with any observational study, residual confounding is possible. However, this study also has several powerful strengths, including the capture of all U.S. patients treated for ESRD, limited loss to follow-up due to universal coverage of ESRD services by CMS, and the completion of the CMS-2728 for all treated patients.

There is room for improvement in all quality-of-care indicators among SLE patients approaching ESRD. While patients with LN-ESRD are more likely to receive pre-ESRD care and have better access to transplant than patients with ESRD due to other causes, they are far less likely than their counterparts to have a permanent vascular access in place for dialysis. Further studies are warranted to specifically examine patient-, provider-, and system-level barriers to permanent vascular access placement and to estimate the morbidity and mortality associated with temporary access in the LN-ESRD population, as well as to examine, more generally, potential barriers to adequate ESRD care in patients with complex diseases and multiple providers, such as those with SLE.

Acknowledgements

The data reported here have been supplied by the United States Renal Data System (USRDS). The interpretation and reporting of these data are the responsibility of the authors and in no way should be seen as an official policy or interpretation of the U.S. government.

Tables & Figures

Table 1. Characteristics of U.S. ESRD patients with attributed causes of lupus nephritis, other

types of glomerulonephritis, and all other causes, 7/05-9/11

		Attributed Cause of ESRD*					
Characteristic	Overall	LN	Other GN	All Other			
N	675,889	6,594	51,537	617,758			
<u>Sociodemographic</u>							
Age, years, mean (SD)	62.5 (16.0)	39.6 (15.4)	53.9 (18.9)	63.4 (15.3)			
Sex, %							
Female	43.7%	81.1%	40.7%	43.5%			
Male	56.3%	18.9%	59.3%	56.5%			
Race/ethnicity, %							
White	52.9%	24.7%	57.8%	52.7%			
Black	28.1%	49.7%	22.5%	28.3%			
Hispanic	13.4%	17.7%	12.3%	13.4%			
Other	5.7%	7.9%	7.4%	5.6%			
Insurance at ESRD start, %							
Private	31.3%	37.4%	41.8%	30.3%			
Medicaid	24.5%	32.8%	18.6%	24.9%			
Medicare/other	36.8%	18.4%	30.0%	37.5%			
None	7.5%	11.5%	9.6%	7.3%			
Clinical							

<u>Clinical</u>

		Attributed Cause of ESRD*					
Characteristic	Overall	LN	Other GN	All Other			
Smoking, %							
No	93.8%	95.7%	92.7%	93.9%			
Yes	6.2%	4.3%	7.3%	6.1%			
BMI, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	28.9 (7.8)	26.9 (7.4)	28.1 (7.5)	29.0 (7.8)			
Hypertension, %							
No	15.4%	16.4%	17.0%	15.3%			
Yes	84.6%	83.6%	83.0%	84.7%			
CVD, %							
No	57.8%	81.4%	75.8%	56.0%			
Yes	42.2%	18.6%	24.2%	44.0%			
Albumin, g/dl, mean (SD)	3.1 (0.7)	2.9 (0.8)	3.2 (0.8)	3.1 (0.7)			
Hemoglobin, g/dl, mean (SD)	10.0 (1.7)	9.5 (1.7)	10.0 (1.8)	10.0 (1.6)			

LN, lupus nephritis; GN, glomerulonephritis; BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular

disease, including pericarditis; p-y, person-years.

*P<0.001 for all comparisons across attributed cause, by ANOVA, chi-square, or log-rank test.

Table 2. Attainment of quality-of-care indicators by cause of ESRD (lupus nephritis, other glomerulonephritis, and all other causes) and by incident year, among U.S. ESRD patients initiating treatment 7/05-9/11

	Entire	Incident year							
	follow-up								-
Quality-of-care indicator*	(7/05-9/11)	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	P _{trend}
Pre-ESRD nephrology care, %									
All ESRD	65.7%	65.9%	65.6%	65.0%	65.0%	65.5%	65.9%	67.7%	<0.001
ESRD attributed to:									
Lupus nephritis	71.1%	72.2%	70.2%	71.7%	70.3%	73.1%	68.9%	72.3%	0.96
Other glomerulonephritis	69.3%	69.3%	69.1%	67.7%	69.2%	69.0%	70.4%	71.2%	0.002
All other causes	65.3%	65.5%	65.2%	64.6%	64.6%	65.1%	65.5%	67.4%	<0.001
Informed of transplant options, %	<u>)</u>								
All ESRD	78.9%	76.9%	76.2%	76.6%	78.0%	80.5%	81.4%	82.4%	<0.001
ESRD attributed to:									
Lupus nephritis	84.8%	87.0%	82.6%	82.6%	84.8%	85.9%	86.5%	85.8%	0.07
Other glomerulonephritis	83.6%	82.3%	82.1%	81.6%	83.6%	84.5%	85.1%	87.0%	<0.001
All other causes	78.3%	76.1%	75.5%	76.0%	77.4%	80.1%	81.1%	82.0%	<0.001
Waitlisting, events/1000 p-y									
All ESRD	97	83	88	91	99	114	122	95	<0.001
ESRD attributed to:									
Lupus nephritis	206	194	177	208	210	230	263	180	0.07
Other glomerulonephritis	203	162	181	190	211	240	277	232	<0.001
All other causes	86	74	78	80	88	102	108	82	<0.001
Permanent vascular access used o	<u>r</u>								
<u>in place at ESRD start, %</u>									

	Incident year								
	follow-up								-
Quality-of-care indicator*	(7/05-9/11)	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	P _{trend}
All ESRD	35.9%	37.4%	36.7%	35.4%	34.3%	35.1%	36.4%	37.4%	0.89
ESRD attributed to:									
Lupus nephritis	24.4%	22.3%	25.7%	23.9%	23.5%	24.3%	25.5%	25.3%	0.45
Other glomerulonephritis	37.7%	40.3%	38.6%	37.4%	36.1%	36.7%	37.1%	39.6%	0.83
All other causes	35.9%	37.3%	36.7%	35.4%	34.2%	35.0%	36.5%	37.4%	0.11

ESRD, end-stage renal disease; p-y, patient year. *P<0.001 for all overall and within-year comparisons of indicators across attributed cause of ESRD.

Table 3. Risk ratios for attributed causes of lupus nephritis and other glomerulonephritis vs.

other causes of ESRD, among U.S. ESRD patients initiating treatment 7/05-9/11

	Risk ratio fo	r attributed cause of ES	RD (95% CI)			
		Adjusted				
			+			
			Sociodemographic			
Quality of care indicator	Unadjusted	+ Sociodemographic	and Clinical			
	Pre-ESRD care, yes vs.	no (odds ratio)				
All other causes	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
Lupus nephritis	1.64 (1.54-1.74)	1.51 (1.42-1.61)	1.68 (1.57-1.78)			
Other glomerulonephritis	1.26 (1.23-1.28)	1.19 (1.17-1.22)	1.22 (1.19-1.24)			
Informe	ed of transplant options	, yes vs. no (odds ratio)				
All other causes	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
Lupus nephritis	1.10 (1.02-1.19)	1.09 (1.01-1.18)	1.10 (1.02-1.19)			
Other glomerulonephritis	1.19 (1.15-1.23)	1.21 (1.17-1.25)	1.19 (1.15-1.23)			
<u>Time to</u>	o kidney transplant wa	itlisting (hazard ratio)				
In 1 st year of ESRD						
All other causes	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
Lupus nephritis	2.29 (2.15-2.43)	1.47 (1.39-1.57)	1.42 (1.34-1.52)			
Other glomerulonephritis	2.73 (2.66-2.80)	2.00 (1.95-2.05)	1.91 (1.86-1.96)			
After 1 st year of ESRD						
All other causes	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			
Lupus nephritis	2.45 (2.29-2.63)	1.60 (1.49-1.72)	1.56 (1.45-1.67)			
Other glomerulonephritis	1.90 (1.84-1.97)	1.45 (1.40-1.50)	1.39 (1.35-1.44)			
<u>Permanent v</u>	ascular access used/in	place, yes vs. no (odds ra	tio)			
All other causes	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)	1.00 (ref)			

Lupus nephritis	0.57 (0.53-0.61)	0.58 (0.55-0.62)	0.63 (0.59-0.67)
Other glomerulonephritis	1.07 (1.05-1.10)	1.07 (1.05-1.10)	1.10 (1.07-1.12)

Sociodemographic: age, race, sex, and insurance; clinical: body mass index, cardiovascular disease (including

pericarditis), and hemoglobin.

Figure 1. Selection of analytic populations for examination of the association of attributed cause of ESRD with pre-ESRD nephrology care, access to transplant, and vascular access, among U.S. ESRD patients initiating treatment 7/05-9/11. **boldface**, patients with ESRD attributed to lupus nephritis, *italic*, patients with other glomerulonephritis; *boldface italic*, patients with all other causes of ESRD.





Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve for time to waitlisting among U.S. ESRD patients initiating treatment 7/05-9/11, by attributed cause of ESRD. *P*<0.001 by log-rank.

1. Astor BC, Eustace JA, Powe NR, Klag MJ, Sadler JH, Fink NE, et al. Timing of nephrologist referral and arteriovenous access use: the CHOICE Study. American Journal of Kidney Diseases : The Official Journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2001;38(3):494-501.

2. Avorn J, Winkelmayer WC, Bohn RL, Levin R, Glynn RJ, Levy E, et al. Delayed nephrologist referral and inadequate vascular access in patients with advanced chronic kidney failure. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 2002;55(7):711-6.

3. Winkelmayer WC, Glynn RJ, Levin R, Owen W, Jr., Avorn J. Late referral and modality choice in end-stage renal disease. Kidney Int. 2001;60(4):1547-54.

4. Winkelmayer WC, Glynn RJ, Levin R, Mittleman MA, Pliskin JS, Avorn J. Late nephrologist referral and access to renal transplantation. Transplantation. 2002;73(12):1918-23.

5. Winkelmayer WC, Owen WF, Jr., Levin R, Avorn J. A propensity analysis of late versus early nephrologist referral and mortality on dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2003;14(2):486-92.

6. Kinchen KS, Sadler J, Fink N, Brookmeyer R, Klag MJ, Levey AS, et al. The timing of specialist evaluation in chronic kidney disease and mortality. Annals of internal medicine. 2002;137(6):479-86.

7. Stack AG. Impact of timing of nephrology referral and pre-ESRD care on mortality risk among new ESRD patients in the United States. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;41(2):310-8.

8. Kazmi WH, Obrador GT, Khan SS, Pereira BJ, Kausz AT. Late nephrology referral and mortality among patients with end-stage renal disease: a propensity score analysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2004;19(7):1808-14.

9. Hasegawa T, Bragg-Gresham JL, Yamazaki S, Fukuhara S, Akizawa T, Kleophas W, et al. Greater first-year survival on hemodialysis in facilities in which patients are provided earlier and more frequent pre-nephrology visits. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;4(3):595-602.

10. Port FK, Wolfe RA, Mauger EA, Berling DP, Jiang K. Comparison of survival probabilities for dialysis patients vs cadaveric renal transplant recipients. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. 1993;270(11):1339-43.

11. Ojo AO, Port FK, Wolfe RA, Mauger EA, Williams L, Berling DP. Comparative mortality risks of chronic dialysis and cadaveric transplantation in black end-stage renal disease patients. Am J Kidney Dis. 1994;24(1):59-64.

12. Wolfe RA, Ashby VB, Milford EL, Ojo AO, Ettenger RE, Agodoa LY, et al. Comparison of mortality in all patients on dialysis, patients on dialysis awaiting transplantation, and recipients of a first cadaveric transplant. N Engl J Med. 1999;341(23):1725-30.

13. Kutner NG. Improving compliance in dialysis patients: does anything work? ; 1026. p. 324-7.

14. Evans RW, Manninen DL, Garrison LP, Jr., Hart LG, Blagg CR, Gutman RA, et al. The quality of life of patients with end-stage renal disease. N Engl J Med. 1985;312(9):553-9.

15. Usrenal DS. USRDS 2013 Annual Data Report: Atlas of Chronic Kidney Disease and End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States. Bethesda: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2013.

16. Pisoni RL, Young EW, Dykstra DM, Greenwood RN, Hecking E, Gillespie B, et al. Vascular access use in Europe and the United States: results from the DOPPS. Kidney Int. 2002;61(1):305-16.

17. Feldman HI, Kobrin S, Wasserstein A. Hemodialysis vascular access morbidity. 1996. p. 523-35.

18. Lee H, Manns B, Taub K, Ghali WA, Dean S, Johnson D, et al. Cost analysis of ongoing care of patients with end-stage renal disease: the impact of dialysis modality and dialysis access. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;40(3):611-22.

19. Dhingra RK, Young EW, Hulbert-Shearon TE, Leavey SF, Port FK. Type of vascular access and mortality in U.S. hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int. 2001;60(4):1443-51.

20. Woods JD, Port FK. The impact of vascular access for haemodialysis on patient morbidity and mortality. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1997;12(4):657-9.

21. Xue JL, Dahl D, Ebben JP, Collins AJ. The association of initial hemodialysis access type with mortality outcomes in elderly Medicare ESRD patients. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;42(5):1013-9.

22. Polkinghorne KR, McDonald SP, Atkins RC, Kerr PG. Vascular access and all-cause mortality: a propensity score analysis. 2004. p. 477-86.

23. Pastan S, Soucie JM, McClellan WM. Vascular access and increased risk of death among hemodialysis patients. 2002. p. 620-6.

24. Office of Disease P, Health Promotion UoHaHS. Healthy People 2020. 2011.

25. Services CfMM. End-stage renal disease prospecive payment system, Quality Incentive Program, and bad debt reductions for all Medicare providers. Final Rule.: Department of Health and Human Services; 2012.

26. Costenbader KH, Desai A, Alarcon GS, Hiraki LT, Shaykevich T, Brookhart MA, et al. Trends in the incidence, demographics, and outcomes of end-stage renal disease due to lupus nephritis in the US from 1995 to 2006. Arthritis Rheum. 2011;63(6):1681-8.

27. Hiraki LT, Lu B, Alexander SR, Shaykevich T, Alarcon GS, Solomon DH, et al. Endstage renal disease due to lupus nephritis among children in the US, 1995-2006. Arthritis Rheum. 2011;63(7):1988-97.

28. McClellan AC, Luthi JC, Lynch JR, Soucie JM, Kulkarni R, Guasch A, et al. High one year mortality in adults with sickle cell disease and end-stage renal disease. British journal of haematology. 2012;159(3):360-7.

29. Hahn BH, McMahon MA, Wilkinson A, Wallace WD, Daikh DI, Fitzgerald JD, et al. American College of Rheumatology guidelines for screening, treatment, and management of lupus nephritis. Arthritis care & research. 2012;64(6):797-808.

30. Korbet SM, Schwartz MM, Evans J, Lewis EJ. Severe lupus nephritis: racial differences in presentation and outcome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2007;18(1):244-54.

31. Freedman BI, Langefeld CD, Andringa KK, Croker JA, Williams AH, Garner NE, et al. End-stage renal disease in African Americans with lupus nephritis is associated with APOL1. Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ). 2014;66(2):390-6.

32. Mosca M, Bencivelli W, Neri R, Pasquariello A, Batini V, Puccini R, et al. Renal flares in 91 SLE patients with diffuse proliferative glomerulonephritis. Kidney Int. 2002;61(4):1502-9.

33. Ashby VB, Port FK, Wolfe RA, Wynn JJ, Williams WW, Roberts JP, et al. Transplanting kidneys without points for HLA-B matching: consequences of the policy change. Am J Transplant. 2011;11(8):1712-8.

34. Hall EC, Massie AB, James NT, Garonzik Wang JM, Montgomery RA, Berger JC, et al. Effect of eliminating priority points for HLA-B matching on racial disparities in kidney transplant rates. Am J Kidney Dis. 2011;58(5):813-6.

35. Contreras G, Mattiazzi A, Guerra G, Ortega LM, Tozman EC, Li H, et al. Recurrence of lupus nephritis after kidney transplantation. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010;21(7):1200-7.

36. Ward MM. Outcomes of renal transplantation among patients with end-stage renal disease caused by lupus nephritis. Kidney Int. 2000;57(5):2136-43.

37. Bunnapradist S, Chung P, Peng A, Hong A, Chung P, Lee B, et al. Outcomes of renal transplantation for recipients with lupus nephritis: analysis of the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network database. Transplantation. 2006;82(5):612-8.

38. Mojcik CF, Klippel JH. End-stage renal disease and systemic lupus erythematosus. The American journal of medicine. 1996;101(1):100-7.

39. Moroni G, Tantardini F, Ponticelli C. Renal replacement therapy in lupus nephritis. Journal of nephrology. 2003;16(6):787-91.

40. Plantinga LC, Patzer RE, Drenkard C, Kramer MR, Klein M, Lim SS, et al. Association of time to kidney transplantation with graft failure among U.S. patients with end-stage renal disease due to lupus nephritis. Arthritis care & research. 2014.

41. Lynch JR, Mohan S, McClellan WM. Achieving the goal: results from the Fistula First Breakthrough Initiative. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2011;20(6):583-92.

42. Vassalotti JA, Jennings WC, Beathard GA, Neumann M, Caponi S, Fox CH, et al. Fistula first breakthrough initiative: targeting catheter last in fistula first. Seminars in dialysis. 2012;25(3):303-10.

43. Sule SD, Fadrowski JJ, Fivush BA, Gorman G, Furth SL. Reduced albumin levels and utilization of arteriovenous access in pediatric patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Pediatric nephrology (Berlin, Germany). 2007;22(12):2041-6.

44. Sule SD, Fadrowski JJ, Fivush BA, Neu AM, Furth SL. Persistent low albumin and temporary vascular access in pediatric patients with SLE on hemodialysis. Pediatric nephrology (Berlin, Germany). 2009;24(10):1981-7.

45. Sato Y, Miyamoto M, Sueki S, Sakurada T, Kimura K, Nakazawa R, et al. Risk factors associated with inadequate veins for placement of arteriovenous fistulas for hemodialysis. Journal of artificial organs : the official journal of the Japanese Society for Artificial Organs. 2013;16(4):469-74.

46. Muringai T, Noble H, McGowan A, Channey M. Dialysis access and the impact on body image: role of the nephrology nurse. British journal of nursing (Mark Allen Publishing). 2008;17(6):362-6.

47. Mohan S, Huff E, Wish J, Lilly M, Chen SC, McClellan WM. Recovery of renal function among ESRD patients in the US medicare program. PloS one. 2013;8(12):e83447.

48. Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001.

49. Layton JB, Hogan SL, Jennette CE, Kenderes B, Krisher J, Jennette JC, et al. Discrepancy between Medical Evidence Form 2728 and renal biopsy for glomerular diseases. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010;5(11):2046-52.