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Abstract<br>Radical Conjugate Addition of Nitrogen Heterocycles and Tertiary Amines<br>By: R. Adam Aycock

The direct addition of pyridine and diazine units to electron-poor alkenes has been achieved via a redox radical mechanism that is enabled by limiting the effective concentration of the hydrogenatom source. The described method is tolerant of acidic functional groups and is generally applicable to the union of a wide range of Michael acceptors and 6-membered heterocyclic halides. This technology was advanced to the preparation of a $\beta$-heteroaryl $\alpha$-amino acids by the union of heteroaryl radicals with protected dehydroalanine derivatives. This process was conducted with good efficiency on large scale, the application of these conditions to amino ketone synthesis is shown, and a simple protocol is given for enantioenriched amino acid synthesis from a number of radical precursors. Replacement of terminal reductant, Hantzsch ester, with trialkylamines revealed an unexpected reactive pathway: $\alpha$-amino radical conjugate addition to dehydroalanine via a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization mechanism. This protocol, driven by visible light, is highly chemoselective, redoxand proton-neutral, and effectively activates highly complex amine structures for coupling with a range of Dha substrates to furnish unnatural amino acids and peptide conjugates. This mechanistic manifold was advanced to the cyclobutylation of aniline derivatives by the direct addition to electrophilic strain-activated bicyclobutanes.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction to Photoredox Catalysis

### 1.1 Photoredox Catalysis in the Context of Radical Chemistry

Open shell radicals are highly reactive intermediates that have a reputation for being indiscriminate with their reactivity. Although two radical species will react with one another at nearly diffusioncontrolled rates, radicals will also react with most other organic compounds, including the solvents in which they are formed. Because radicals are so reactive, the main challenge is not the discovery of new radical reactions, but rather, understanding how to control their reactivity. Traditional methods for the generation of radicals have often required harsh reaction conditions, typically involving elevated temperatures, ultraviolet irradiation, or hazardous radical initiators. Photoredox catalysis has answered many of the major limitations of classical radical chemistry by offering a mechanistic paradigm that operates smoothly under mild conditions and is driven by the most abundant energy source in the universe: light. Consequently, the rate of development of radicaldriven synthetic technology has surged over the last 20 years as photoredox catalysis has been established a mature science. ${ }^{1}$

### 1.2 Photoredox Catalysis

Photoredox catalysis is a catalytic method that harnesses energy from visible light to increase the rate of synthetic transformations via single electron transfer events. Photoredox catalysts are lightsensitive transition metal complexes or organic dyes (illustrated in Figure 1.1) that mediate the conversion of photonic energy to chemical energy and subsequently, the transfer of energy or electrons between molecules. Upon photoirradiation, a ground-state photoredox catalyst (PC)

[^0]Metal Complexes

$\left[R u(b p y)_{3}\right]^{2+}$

Organic Dyes

eosin $Y$

## Figure 1.1. Examples of common photoredox catalysts

absorbs a photon, transitioning with high efficiency to an excited state. In the case of a transition metal complex photoredox catalyst (such as $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), this occurs when absorption of a photon by the ground state promotes a $d$ electron from the $t_{2 g}$ orbital of the metal center to the $\pi^{*}$ orbital centered on an aromatic ligand to form a singlet excited state (metal-ligand charge transfer, MLCT). ${ }^{2}$ From this point, several pathways are possible, as illustrated in Figure 1.2. The complex may relax by radiative decay - a process known as fluorescence. Alternatively, the promoted electron may relax through a process called internal conversion (not shown), in which a small amount of energy is lost to vibrational decay and loss of heat. The system may, instead, undergo intersystem crossing to give a long-lived triplet excited state (T1). Further relaxation of the triplet excited state by radiative decay is a process known as phosphorescence. Because phosphorescence requires a spin-forbidden relaxation, triplet excited states exhibit longer lifetimes than their singlet-

[^1]

## Figure 1.2. Jablonski diagram for excitation, emission, and quenching of a photoexcitable complex

state counterparts. These extended excited state lifetimes $\left(1100 \mathrm{~ns} \text { for }\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}\right)^{3}$ are often sufficient for intermolecular energy- or electron-transfer processes to proceed faster than decay to ground state. In addition to the particular catalyst present (and its inherent photophysical and redox properties), the operative pathway in a given photoredox reaction is dictated by the properties of other reactants in the system. The possible catalytic pathways are categorized based on the transfer of energy or electrons, to (or from) an excited state photosensitizer. Energy transfer pathways can be further broken down into Dexter and Förster energy transfer processes. Dexter energy transfer mechanisms operate by transfer of a high-energy electron from the triplet excited state of the photocatalyst (PC*) to the LUMO of an acceptor molecule and a second (concerted or step-wise)

[^2]electron transfer from the HOMO of the ground-state acceptor molecule to $\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{SOMO}$ of the PC *, giving an excited-state acceptor molecule and returning PC to ground state. Dexter energy transfer pathways are rather unpredictable, and to date, process that operate via this mechanistic paradigm are largely identified by serendipitous discovery. Förster energy transfer processes occur via radiative decay of $\mathrm{PC}^{*}$ (emission of photon of longer wavelength than the preceding absorption), followed by absorption of the emitted photon by an acceptor molecule (photoexcitation) present in the system. Consequently, Förster energy transfer processes are substantially more predictable,

$\left[R u(b p y)_{3}\right]^{2+}$
Ground State
$e_{g}$
$\pi^{*}$


${ }^{*}[R u(b p y)]_{3}{ }^{2+}$
Strong Oxidant and Reductant
$$
\mathrm{e}_{g} \longleftarrow \quad=
$$
$$
\pi^{*} \xlongequal{1}
$$
$$
t_{2 g} \xrightarrow{\psi} \quad \underline{1}
$$

Figure 1.3. Molecular Orbital Diagram of Photoredox Catalyst $[\mathbf{R u}(\mathbf{b p y}) 3]^{2+}$.
as the emission and absorption steps that follow excitation of the catalyst can be characterized by fluorimetry. In addition to energy transfer processes, photoredox catalyst are capable of driving reactions via electron-transfer mechanisms that are subcategorized by the redox activity of the photosensitizer following excitation.

In its triplet excited state, a photoredox catalyst has two unpaired electrons, so it is simultaneously a potent reductant and oxidant (Figure 1.3). Consequently, PC* may follow one of two possible redox pathways. If PC* behaves as a reductant, it loses an electron, forming a very


Figure 1.4 Quenching Cycles for $\left[\operatorname{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$.
potent oxidant, and subsequently gains an electron to return to ground state. Alternatively, $\mathrm{PC}{ }^{*}$ can behave as an oxidant, gaining an electron to form a very potent reductant, followed by loss of an electron to return to ground state. The two pathways are known as oxidative and reductive quenching, respectively (Figure 1.4). The ability of the catalyst to behave as both oxidant and reductant in each mechanistic pathway and the ability to perform redox-neutral reactions, enable exotic bond constructions not formed by other catalytic modes. The operable mechanism can be probed by a process commonly known as Stern-Volmer luminescence quenching. ${ }^{4}$

### 1.3 Probing Photoredox Mechanism

Stern-Volmer luminescence quenching is a spectroscopic technique used to identify the components of a photoredox reaction that participate in single-electron transfer events with the excited state of the photoredox catalyst. These components are termed quenchers because they quench the excited state of the catalyst by donating or accepting an electron. Stern-Volmer luminescence quenching is conducted by irradiating a sample doped with a known amount of quencher with light and measuring luminescence intensity (I). The fraction of the luminescence intensity of a sample containing no quencher $\left(I_{0}\right)$ to $I$ is plotted as a function of the concentration of quencher, and the slope of the line describes the product of quenching rate of a given quencher $\left(k_{q}\right)$ and the concentration of photoredox catalyst $\left(\tau_{0}\right)$, as shown in Eq. 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Eq. 1. } \frac{I}{I_{0}}=k_{q} \tau_{o} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]This equation can be used to quantify the effectiveness of a given quencher. Compounds for which $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}} \tau_{0}$ is greater than zero are effective quenchers of the excited state of the photoredox catalyst. Comparison of the redox properties of compounds that are identified as quenchers can aid in the determination of the most plausible mechanistic pathway for a given system.

Although photoredox mechanisms are often visually represented as closed cycles, it is common for systems to operate as radical chain processes. A given system may operate by a closed catalytic cycle, a chain mechanism, or a combination of the two pathways. Two methods are commonly employed to determine if a mechanism exhibits radical chain character: "light/dark" experiments and quantum yield. ${ }^{5}$ Light/dark experiments are qualitative analytical methods that enable a rough approximation of the mechanistic pathway. A light/dark experiment is performed by applying a temporal burst of irradiation to a photoredox system to a point of incomplete conversion ( $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ ), after which the reaction is allowed to proceed without irradiation Reaction progress is analyzed at $t_{1}$, and again after a period of time without irradiation $\left(t_{2}\right)$. Reaction progress at $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are compared, and if additional reaction progress is observed at $t_{2}$, it can be concluded that the reaction proceeds (at least in part) via a radical chain mechanism. Quantum yield is a quantitative spectroscopic method by which the number of photons absorbed by a system over a time interval ( t ) is measured and compared to the product yield of the reaction over the same interval $(t)$ in accord with Eq. 2. Specifically, the quantum yield $(\Phi)$ can be calculated by the fraction of moles of product to the product of photon flux (in einstein $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ), time of irradiation ( t ), and fraction of light absorbed by the system $(f)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Eq. 2. } \quad \Phi=\frac{\text { mol product }}{\text { flux } \cdot t \cdot f} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]Quantum yield ( $\Phi$ ) describes the fraction of moles of product to moles of photons absorbed by the system (assuming luminescence quenching fraction $=1$ ), so if $\Phi=1$, then one mole of product is formed per mole of light that is absorbed, which indicates that exactly one catalytic turnover occurs per absorbed photon. When $\Phi=1$, the system operates via a closed-loop catalytic cycle; however, if $\Phi>1$, then the system operates, at least partially, via a radical chain mechanism. If $\Phi \gg 1$, then it can be concluded that a system operates predominantly via radical chain mechanism. A more accurate description of average chain length can be calculated as a fraction of $\Phi$ to luminescence quenching fraction (Q), which can be obtained from Stern-Volmer luminescence quenching (Eq. 3.).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Eq. 3. chain length }=\frac{\Phi}{Q} \text {, where } Q=\frac{k_{q}}{\tau_{0}^{-1}+k_{q}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 Synthetic Utility of Photoredox Catalysis

One of the earliest reports of photoredox catalysis used in a synthetic fashion was Kellog's 1978 report of sulfonium ion reduction to corresponding alkanes or thioethers. This protocol employed $\left[\operatorname{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2-}$ and dihydropyridines as stoichiometric reductant. ${ }^{6}$ Shortly after Fukuzumi and Tanaka ${ }^{7}$ and $\mathrm{Pac}^{8}$ developed reported related systems applicable to the reduction of electrondeficient olefins, aromatic ketones, and benzylic and phenacyl halides. The first net oxidative photoredox-catalyzed reaction was discolosed by Cano-Yelo and Deronzier in 1984 - a process

[^5]that results in the oxidation of benzylic alcohols the corresponding benzaldehyde, employing arenediazonium salts as stoichiometric oxidant. ${ }^{9}$ Soon after, Cano-Yelo and Deronzier also disclosed the first redox-neutral photoredox-catalyzed synthetic transformation, in which phenanthrenes were prepared via a $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2-}$ catalyzed Pschorr reaction. ${ }^{10}$

Although the origins of photoredox catalysis can be traced back to the 1950s (and the origin of synthetic application to the late 1970s) the birth of the field is widely viewed as the concurrent publications of MacMillan and Yoon in 2008. MacMillan ${ }^{11}$ reported the $\alpha$-alkylation of aldehydes, accomplished through a combination of photoredox and enamine organocatalysis, and Yoon ${ }^{12}$ disclosed a photoredox-catalyzed intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of two enones (using sunlight as irradiation source). Since these seminal reports, the field of photoredox catalysis has become widely adopted by the synthetic community, breathing new life into radical chemistry. ${ }^{13}$

[^6]
## Chapter 2

# A mild catalytic system for radical conjugate addition of nitrogen heterocycles 

Adapted from: R. A. Aycock, H. Wang, and N. T. Jui. A mild catalytic system for radical conjugate addition of nitrogen heterocycles. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 3121-3125.

H. Wang contributed to the discovery of optimal conditions for the process described herein. He is also responsible for the scope of halogenated heterocycles and the radical clock experiment. H . Wang also developed the alternate set of conditions using sodium formate as stoichiometric reductant.

Adapted with permission, copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry.

### 2.1 Abstract

The direct addition of pyridine and diazine units to electron-poor alkenes has been achieved via a redox radical mechanism that is enabled by limiting the effective concentration of the hydrogenatom source. The described method is tolerant of acidic functional groups and is generally applicable to the union of a wide range of Michael acceptors and 6-membered heterocyclic halides.

### 2.2 Introduction

Pyridines and diazines are critical structural elements in many biologically active small molecules ${ }^{14}$ and, as a result, significant research effort has been devoted to their preparation. ${ }^{15}$ In addition to de novo heterocycle assembly, a number of powerful methods exist for the functionalization of these heteroarenes. For example, Minisci radical addition is a direct and effective synthetic approach to the preparation of alkyl pyridines and diazines, ${ }^{16}$ however, the regiochemical outcome of these processes is largely dictated by the inherent reactivity of a given substrate (or substrate class). ${ }^{17,18}$ Catalytic coupling processes of halogenated heteroarene substrates with alkyl metals ${ }^{19}$ and, more recently, alkyl halides ${ }^{20}$ have been developed for the direct

[^7]synthesis of alkylated heteroaromatics. We recently became interested in developing an alternative approach to complex pyridine and diazine synthesis via direct union of these heteroaromatic units with alkenes. More specifically, we envision a general strategy for programmed, regiospecific heteroarene activation that functions through heteroaryl radical intermediates. In contrast to alkyl radicals, aryl radical species effectively engage a wide range of unsaturated substrates. ${ }^{21}$ Consequently, mild conditions that

Cross-coupling / $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$

Our Approach: Heteroaryl Radical Formation and Addition to Alkenes

This work: Direct, catalytic conjugate addition of pyridines/diazines

pyridine/diazine Michael acceptor
direct conjugate addition

Figure 2.1: General strategies for the synthesis of complex heteroarenes

[^8]deliver these reactive intermediates could enable the development of many discrete, practical processes for complex pyridine and diazine synthesis (Fig. 2.1). Here, we describe the development of a catalytic system for heteroaryl radical formation and direct coupling with electron-deficient alkenes, a reductive Meerwein arylation ${ }^{22}$ process (illustrated in Fig. 2.1). Conjugate addition is a highly utilized strategic disconnection, but direct Michael addition of 6membered nitrogen heterocycles remains challenging.

Because pyridines are weakly nucleophilic, they require activation to effectively add to alkenes. Miyaura demonstrated that rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric conjugate addition of omethoxy pyridylboronic acids is efficient, but analogous coupling of the parent 2-pyridyl boronic acid (devoid of the electron-donating blocking group) was unsuccessful. ${ }^{23}$ A 2-pyridylboronate substrate was utilized in Akita's aryl radical conjugate addition system, based on photoredox arylboronate oxidation, to give the alkylpyridine in low yield (24\%). ${ }^{24}$ Nilsson described an effective system for pyridylcuprate Michael addition, ${ }^{25}$ but Gilman reagents are extremely acidsensitive, which limits their utility in complex molecule synthesis. Additionally, none of these strategies have demonstrated the ability to accomplish diazine conjugate addition. Condon described a Ni-catalyzed reductive Heck process of heteroaryl halides using electrochemistry, but this system was limited to monosubstituted alkenes. ${ }^{26}$ Our strategy for heteroarene activation is based on single-electron reduction and fragmentation of heteroaryl halides to regiospecifically

[^9]afford the corresponding radical species. ${ }^{27}$ Aryl radical addition to electron-poor alkenes is facile, ${ }^{28}$ and this would offer a general alternative to pyridine and diazine conjugate addition that operates at room temperature and is tolerant of acidic functional groups.

Aryl radicals are indispensable intermediates in organic synthesis, and redox processes of arenediazonium salts ${ }^{8,9,29}$ or arylboronic acids ${ }^{30}$ are reliable methods for their formation. However, these strategies are limited in the context of pyridine or diazine-based radical generation, due to the instability of the requisite heteroaryl-diazonium ${ }^{31 a}$ or -boronic acid reagents. ${ }^{18 \mathrm{~b}}$ Tin-mediated halogen abstraction delivers (hetero)aryl radical intermediates ${ }^{32}$ but intermolecular alkene coupling reactions are challenging within this manifold because hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) to aryl radicals by tin-hydrides is rapid. ${ }^{33}$ Our method for reductive aryl radical generation involves photoinduced electron transfer. This mode of radical formation, first described by Beckwith, ${ }^{34}$ has

[^10]been recently employed by Stephenson, ${ }^{35}$ Read de Alaniz and Hawker, ${ }^{36}$ Weaver, ${ }^{37}$ and König ${ }^{38}$ to accomplish hydrodehalogenation and a range of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formations, mediated by photoredox catalysts. ${ }^{39}$ Notably, Weaver detailed conditions for the reductive coupling of simple alkenes with 2-haloazoles, polyfluorinated (hetero)aromatics, and a single example of an electron-deficient pyrimidine. ${ }^{24}$ The successful translation of this radical strategy to heteroaryl conjugate addition could streamline the invention of bioactive small molecules

### 2.3 Results and discussion

To assess the feasibility of our design, we studied the radical coupling of 2-iodopyridine (1) with the alkylidene malonate 2 ( 3.0 equivalents). We found that $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of the iridium-based photoredox catalyst $\operatorname{Ir}\left[\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right]_{2} \mathrm{dtbbpy} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (among others) ${ }^{40}$ is capable of reductive 2-pyridyl radical formation under irradiation with a commercially available blue LED.

[^11]Alkylamines are effective stoichiometric reductants in photoredox processes, and their use in this context afforded the desired radical conjugate addition (RCA) product 3, albeit in low yield (Table 2.1, entries 1 and 2). While tributylammonium formate (the reductant used by Weaver for 2bromoazole radical formation, $24 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ entry 3 ) was similar in efficiency to the free base, the use of Hantzsch ester (HEH) delivered 3 in 50\% yield (entry 4). In this system, HEH presumably donates an H -atom (to the intermediate radical adduct) and an electron to maintain redox neutrality. We found that the yield of this process was uniformly improved when aqueous solvent mixtures were employed (entries 5-8), and the use of $25 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DMSO}$ afforded the desired product in $96 \%$ yield (entry 8).

Table 2.1. Optimization of conditions for heteroaryl radical conjugate addition


Reaction conditions: 2-iodopyridine ( 1.0 equiv), dimethyl ethylidene malonate ( 3.0 equiv), $\operatorname{Ir}\left[\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right]_{2} \mathrm{dtbbpy} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, amine ( 1.3 equiv), $25 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DMSO}\left(10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{mmol}{ }^{-1}\right.$ heteroarene), blue light, $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; Yields determined by GC using dodecane as internal standard.

The scope of this heteroarene conjugate addition protocol was then investigated. As shown in Table 2.2, these mild redox conditions enable the union of 2-pyridyl radical with an array of Michael acceptors with good efficiency. Cyclic ketones and crotononitrile react to give the corresponding pyridines in good yield (entries 1-3, 72-85\% yield). Enoates with $\alpha$-phenyl or $\alpha$ chloro substitution are effective radical acceptors in this system (entries 4 and 5, 84\% yield), giving rise to the complex esters in 4:3 and 4:1 dr, respectively. These radical conditions tolerate $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, as exemplified by the effective coupling of carboxylic acid, benzyl amide, and primary alcohol containing substrates (entries $6,7,15 ; 68-74 \%$ yield). Steric congestion on the olefin currently diminishes reactivity in this protocol, as demonstrated by entries $11-14 ; \beta$-methyl, -isobutyl, -isopropyl, and $\beta, \beta$-dimethyl substitution results in formation of the desired malonate products in decreasing order $(89 \%, 67 \%, 50 \%$, and $26 \%$ yield respectively). A tryptophan-derived crotonamide was reacted with pyridyl radical to give the radical conjugate addition product in moderate yield (entry 16, $42 \%$ yield) as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers. Although pyridine derivatives (like the products shown here) are effective radical traps, these conditions select for radical alkene addition. Additionally, phenyl rings (entries 4 and 7) and the indole function in the tryptophan product (entry 16) are unreactive toward aryl radical addition in this system.

We then evaluated the ability of our system to perform radical conjugate addition of other halogenated pyridines and diazines to ethyl crotonate (5 equivalents). Under standard conditions, a number of stable, commercially available heteroaryl iodides and bromides similarly function as radical precursors. As shown in Table 2.3, methyl substitution is tolerated at all positions of 2pyridyl halides, and the corresponding products were formed in good yield (entries 1-4,53-82\%). Also competent are 3- and 4-iodopyridines (entries 5 and 6), and their use in this system provided
the products in $52 \%$ and $48 \%$ yield, respectively. ${ }^{41}$ Because reductive radical formation is a regiospecific process, this approach allows for the predictable formation of alkylheterocycles as single regioisomers, including 3-alkylpyridines, which are not generally accessible via Minisci radical processes. Phenyl- and chloro substitution is well tolerated to give the corresponding alkylpyridines in useful yield (entries $8,10,13 ; 53-61 \%$ yield).

Table 2.2. Heteroaryl radical conjugate addition: scope of the alkene coupling partner


Reaction conditions: 2-iodopyridine (1.0 equiv.), Michael acceptor ( 3.0 equiv.), $\operatorname{Ir}[\mathrm{dF}(\mathrm{CF} 3) \mathrm{ppy}] 2 \mathrm{dtbbpy} \cdot \mathrm{PF} 6$ ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Hantzsch ester ( 1.3 equiv.), $25 \% \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DMSO}\left(10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right.$ heteroarene), blue light, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h} 4: 3$ diastereomeric ratio (d.r.)

[^12]Iodopyridines with the electron-withdrawing nitrile (entry 7) and trifluoromethyl (entry 12) groups were coupled with ethyl crotonate to give the corresponding products in $52 \%$ and $68 \%$ yield, respectively. Iodopyridines containing Boc-protected amine (entry 9) and benzyl alcohol (entry 11) functions were successfully coupled under these conditions without protecting groups that would be required to participate in anionic conjugate addition protocols ( $48 \%$ and $74 \%$ yield), respectively. Importantly, substituted iodopyrimidines also undergo radical formation and conjugate addition in moderate to good yield (entries 16-18, 68-76\% yield). However, when iodopyrazine and 2-bromopyrimidine were used, the desired product was formed in trace amounts and a low mass balance was observed. We identified an alternate set of conditions involving the use of sodium formate (3.0 equiv.) and 2,4,6-trimethylaniline (1.0 equiv.) in DMSO solvent, which accomplished the radical conjugate addition of the parent pyrimidine (entry 15) and pyrazine (entry 19) elements in moderate yields ( $39 \%$ and $52 \%$, respectively). While these alternate (sodium formate, trimethylaniline) conditions were effective in some cases, the use of Hantzsch ester as terminal reductant/hydrogen-atom source under aqueous conditions was more generally applicable. Finally, 2-iodopyrazine and 4-bromoazaindole were capable RCA substrates and the corresponding products were delivered in reasonable yield (entries 19 and 20,52\% and 53\% yield, respectively). Throughout the course of this study, we observed that the described aqueous reaction conditions are uniquely effective for heteroaryl radical conjugate addition. Indeed, the use of aqueous solvents has improved the efficiency of other radical processes. ${ }^{42}$ In this system, we noticed that the introduction of water cosolvent resulted in heterogeneous reaction mixtures that became homogeneous with reaction progress. The solubility of HEH decreases precipitously with

[^13]Table 2.3. Heteroaryl radical conjugate addition: Scope of Halogenated Heterocycles ${ }^{\text {a }}$


## HetAr-X

ethyl crotonate
Hantzsch ester
( $\pm$ )-heterocyclic product

|  <br> 1: $76 \%$ yield |  $\text { 2: } 62 \% \text { yield }$ |  <br> 3. $82 \%$ yield $^{b}$ |  <br> 4: $53 \%$ yield |  <br> 5: $52 \%$ yield |  <br> 6: $48 \%$ yield |  <br> 7: $52 \%$ yield $^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. $58 \%$ yield | 9: $48 \%$ yield | 10: $61 \%$ yield | 11: $74 \%$ yield ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12: $68 \%$ yield | 13: $53 \%$ yield | 14: $58 \%$ yield |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15: $68 \%$ yield | 16: $52 \%$ yield ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 17: $68 \%$ yield | 18: $53 \%$ yield | 19: $76 \%$ yield | 20: $39 \%$ yield $^{\text {c }}$ | 21: $53 \%$ yield ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reaction conditions: Halogenated heteroarene ( 1.0 equiv), ethyl crotonate ( 5.0 equiv), $\operatorname{Ir}\left[\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right]_{2} \mathrm{dtbbpy} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Hantzsch}$ ester ( 1.3 equiv), $25 \% \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DMSO}\left(10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ heteroarene), blue light, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}} 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ir}\left[\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right]_{2} \mathrm{dtbbpy} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6} .{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{Reaction}$ conditions:Heteroarene ( 1.0 equiv), ethyl crotonate ( 3.0 equiv), $\operatorname{Ir}\left[\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right]_{2} \mathrm{dtbbpy} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), sodium formate ( 3.0 equiv), $2,4,6$ trimethylaniline ( 1.0 equiv) DMSO ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ heteroarene), blue light, $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2} \mathrm{dtbbpy} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was used.
increasing amounts of water (shown in Scheme 2.1), and the selectivity for RCA vs. reduction is inversely proportional to HEH solubility (effective concentration), a principle first described by Stork. ${ }^{43}$ With the model 2-iodopyridine/ethylidene malonate coupling, the use of $33 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ DMSO essentially eliminates the undesired hydrodehalogenation process, giving $20: 1$ selectivity (RCA product A : pyridine B).

[^14]
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Limiting the Solubility of Hantzsch Ester Enhances Selectivity

## Scheme 2.1. Limiting reductant (Hantzsch ester) solubility improves selectivity

To further exemplify the intermediacy of heteroaryl radical species in this system, we constructed the allyloxy iodopyridine 4 , understanding that reductive pyridyl radical formation would result in intramolecular addition to the pendant alkene. Under standard conditions, 4 underwent activation and radical cyclization to afford a mixture of bicyclic products ( $46 \%$ total yield, shown in scheme 2.1). In addition to the expected product 5 (arising from 5-exo-trig cyclization), we observed preferential $(2.5: 1)$ formation of the 6 -endo product $6,{ }^{44}$ and these data are consistent with the proposed radical nature of the described processes.

[^15]

## Scheme 2.1. Evidence of radical intermediate by radical cyclization

### 2.4 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have designed a simple catalytic system that enables the general, regioselective coupling of pyridine and diazine units to electron-poor alkenes. This method utilizes simple alkenes, stable aryl radical precursors (many of the shown substrates are commercially available), and a commercial catalyst. We describe how limiting the effective concentration of Hantzsch ester enables the employment of these reactive species in the formation of carbon-carbon bonds for the preparation of a diverse array of heterocycle-containing products. Studies to further elucidate the operational mechanistic details of this process, as well as the development of related transformations are ongoing in our laboratory. Acknowledgements Financial support was provided by Emory University and Winship Cancer Institute. We gratefully acknowledge Prof. Huw Davies for generous access to instrumentation and chemicals.

### 2.5 Experimental Information

### 2.5.1. General Reagent Information:

All reactions were set up on the bench top and conducted under nitrogen atmosphere while subject to irradiation from blue LEDs (LEDwholesalers PAR38 Indoor Outdoor 16-Watt LED Flood Light Bulb, Blue; or PARsource PowerPAR LED Bulb-Blue 15 Watt/440 nm, available at www.eaglelight.com). Flash chromatography was carried out using Siliaflash® P60 silica gel obtained from Silicycle. Photo redox catalyst, $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, was prepared according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{45}$ Halogenated heteroarenes were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Alfa Aesar, Acros Organics, Combi-Blocks, or Oakwood Products and were used as received, with the exception of Table 2.3, entries 9 and 14. Table 2.3, entries 9 and 14 were prepared according to the procedure in section IV, Preparation of Starting Materials. Ethyl crotonate and alkenes for Table 2.2, entries 1, 2, 3, and 6 were purchased from Alfa Aesar and Acros Organics and were used as received. Alkenes for Table 2.2, entries 9 and 10 were purchased from Combi-Blocks and were used as received. Furan-2(5H)-one used for Table 2, entry 13 was purchased from Alfa Aesar and was used as received. Alkenes for Table 2.2, entries $4^{46}, 5^{47}, 7^{48}$, $8^{49}, 11^{50}, 12^{51}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. Alkenes for Table 2.2, entries 14

[^16]and 15 were prepared according to the designated procedures in section IV, Preparation of Starting Materials. DMSO was purified on a Pure Process Technologies solvent purification system. Reaction solvent was prepared by combining DMSO and tap water (3:1, v:v) which was degassed in a sidearm flask under weak vacuum while subject to sonication.

### 2.5.2 General Analytical Information:

All yields refer to isolated yields with the exception of Table 2, entry 6 , which was determined by NMR with 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard on a Mercury 300 MHz spectrometer. New compounds were characterized by NMR, IR spectroscopy, HRMS, and melting point. NMR data were recorded on one of five spectrometers: INOVA 600 MHz , INOVA 500 MHz , VNMR 400 MHz , INOVA 400 MHz , or Mercury 300 MHz . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are internally referenced to residual protio solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ and 77.23 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; or Benzene-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $\delta 7.15$ for 1 H NMR and 128.4 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). IR spectra were obtained with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer. Mass spectrometry data were obtained from the Emory Mass Spectrometry Center. Melting point data was obtained with a Thomas Hoover Unimelt capillary melting point apparatus. Optimization data was obtained via gas chromatography with an Agilent Technologies 7890B Gas Chromatography system (flameionization detection) equipped with an Agilent Technologies 19091J-413 HP-5 column (30 m x $0.320 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 5 \%$ phenyl methyl siloxane) and an Agilent Technologies G4513A autoinjector.

### 2.5.3 General Procedures for Coupling of Halogenated Heteroarene with Alkene

## General Procedure A:

A 30-mL screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Hantzsch ester (1.3 equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, alkene (3 or 5 equiv), and halogenated heteroarene (1 equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed solvent (3:1 DMSO: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ heteroarene) by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 18 hours or until consumption of the halogenated heteroarene was observed by gas chromatography. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 60 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography using the indicated solvent mixture to afford the title compound.

## General Procedure B:

A $30-\mathrm{mL}$ screw-top test tube equipped with stir bar was charged with aryl halide (1 equiv), $\left.\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6} 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right)$, sodium formate (3 equiv), 2,4,6-trimethylaniline (1 equiv), and alkene (3 equiv). The tube was sealed with a screw-cap with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed solvent (DMSO $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ heteroarene) by syringe. The resulting
mixture was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 60 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 40 mL ). The extracts were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography using the indicated solvent mixture to afford the title compound.

## Optimization Procedure B (Entry 5):

A 15-mL screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Hantzsch ester ( 83 mg , $0.325 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, dimethyl 2-ethylidenemalonate ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and 2-iodopyridine ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with ( $i$-pr) $)_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ (97 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) and degassed solvent (MeCN, 2.5 mL ) by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 18 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 5 x 5 mL ). The extracts were combined and passed through a plug of silica which was flushed with acetone, and the solution was transferred to a $20-\mathrm{mL}$ scintillation vial. An internal standard of dodecane (10 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was delivered to the vial, and the contents were thoroughly mixed. A sample was analyzed by gas chromatography, and the integral values were used to calculate conversion, alkylpyridine (dimethyl 2-(1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)malonate) yield, and hydrodehalogenation product (pyridine) yield.

## Optimization Procedure C (Entries 6-22):

A $15-\mathrm{mL}$ screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Hantzsch ester ( 83 mg , $0.325 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv $),\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, dimethyl 2-ethylidenemalonate ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and 2-iodopyridine ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed solvent (MeCN, DMSO, 3:1 DMF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3: 1 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3: 1 \mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, or 3:1 DMSO: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.5$ mL ) by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 18 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were combined and passed through a plug of silica which was flushed with acetone, and the solution was transferred to a $20-\mathrm{mL}$ scintillation vial. An internal standard of dodecane ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was delivered to the vial, and the contents were thoroughly mixed. A sample was analyzed by gas chromatography, and the integral values were used to calculate conversion, alkylpyridine (dimethyl 2-(1-(pyridin-2yl)ethyl)malonate) yield, and hydrodehalogenation product (pyridine) yield.

## Optimization Procedure D (Entry 22, air-exposed):

A $15-\mathrm{mL}$ screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Hantzsch ester ( 83 mg , $0.325 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv $),\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, dimethyl 2-ethylidenemalonate ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and 2-iodopyridine ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was charged with solvent ( $3: 1 \mathrm{DMSO}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) by syringe, and the tube was
sealed with PTFE/silicon septum. An 18 G needle pierced the septum for the duration of the reaction to allow for constant air exposure. The suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 18 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (10 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were combined and passed through a plug of silica which was flushed with acetone, and the solution was transferred to a $20-\mathrm{mL}$ scintillation vial. An internal standard of dodecane ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was delivered to the vial, and the contents were thoroughly mixed. A sample was analyzed by gas chromatography, and the integral values were used to calculate conversion, alkylpyridine (dimethyl 2-(1-(pyridin-2yl)ethyl)malonate) yield, and hydrodehalogenation product (pyridine) yield.

### 2.5.4 Gas Chromatography Method Conditions:

The gas chromatography system hardware are reported in section I-B, General Analytical Information. The injection volume for each trial is $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The initial oven temperature was set to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the ramp rate was programmed to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ until reaching $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. With no hold time, the temperature ramp rate is adjusted to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ until reaching the maximum temperature of $325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Maximum temperature is held for one minute before concluding the run.

## Table S2.1: Heteroaryl RCA Optimization Table


${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 1.5$ equiv dimethyl ethylidenemalonate. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 2.0$ equiv dimethyl ethylidenemalonate. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ No light.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Exposed to open atmosphere.

### 2.5.5 Preparation of Starting Materials:



2-hydroxyethyl (E)-but-2-enoate: To a solution of pyridine ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in ethylene glycol ( 50 mL ) and THF ( 50 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added but-2-enoyl chloride by syringe over 10 minutes. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and continued stirring for 5.5 hours. The THF was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residual solution was partitioned between ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ) and 1 M HCl solution $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the organic phase was washed with 1 M HCl solution ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (100 mL), and brine ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated by rotary evaporation to afford the title compound $(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ yield $)$ as a clear, colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.93-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 166.7,145.3,122.1,65.6,60.4,17.8$.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3429, 2948, 2917, 2881, 2359, 1716, 1655, 1444, 1375, 1311, 1292, 1263, $1178,1103,1080,1033$, and $967 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+Na]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}, 153.0522$; found, 153.0522.


Methyl (E)-but-2-enoyl-L-tryptophanate: To a solution of methyl L-tryptophanate ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 9.4$ mmol, 1 equiv) and pyridine ( $1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 23.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv) in dichloromethane ( 80 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added but-2-enoyl chloride ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 10.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) by syringe over 10 minutes. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and continued stirring for 3.5 hours. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ). The solution was washed with 1 M HCl solution ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 100 mL ), and brine ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation to afford the title compound ( $2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ yield) as a yellow solid.

Mp: $118-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=$ $15.4,6.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.5,165.5,140.9,136.1,127.6,124.6,122.9,122.2,119.6,118.6$, 111.3, 109.9, 53.0, 52.4, 27.7, 17.8.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3410, 3310, 2358, 2339, 1736, 1669, 1625, 1537, 1458, 1438, 1430, 1210, 1174,967 , and $738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for C16H19N2O3, 287.1390; found, 287.1386.


Tert-butyl (6-iodopyridin-3-yl)carbamate: To a solution of 6-iodopyridin-3-amine (616.1 mg, $2.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of sodium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in tetrahydrofuran ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 5.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 2$ equiv). After stirring the reaction at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 mins and then room temperature 15 mins , di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $642.1 \mathrm{mg}, 2.94$ mmol, 1.05 equiv) was added slowly. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution, water and brine. After drying over magnesium sulfate, the solid was filtered off and the filtrated was concentrated by rotary evaporation. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=4: 1$ ) to afford the product ( 841.8 mg , $94 \%$ yield) as a white solid.

Mp: $136-138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,0.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.7,141.2,135.9,134.5,127.9,108.3,81.4,28.3$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3212,3149,2977,1717,1589,1519,1453,1362,1249$, and $1150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{I}$, 263.9516; found, 263.9515 .


2-iodopyridin-3-yl acetate: To a solution of 2-iodopyridin-3-ol (1.00 g, $4.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine ( $1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and acetyl chloride ( $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) subsequently. The reaction was warmed slowly to room temperature in the ice-water bath and stirred overnight. It was then quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and extracted with dichloromethane three times. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution, water and brine. After drying over magnesium sulfate, the solid was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=4: 1$ ) to afford the product ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield $)$ as a white solid.

Mp: $44-46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ - 7.06 (m, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.1,148.6,147.9,130.1,123.6,115.5,21.3$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3052,1766,1563,1441,1400,1367$, and $1173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{I}, 263.9516$; found, 263.9515.

### 2.5.6. Procedure and Characterization Data



3-(pyridine-2-yl)cyclohexan-1-one (Table 2.2, entry 1): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), cyclohex-2-en-1-one ( $0.290 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.00$ mmol, 3 equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(12.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.011$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexanes:ethyl acetate $=3: 1$ then $1: 1$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35$ $-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 211.1,162.6,149.2,136.6,121.7,121.6,46.7,46.2,41.1,31.5$, 25.1.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3007,2937,2862,1706,1588,1434,1221,774$, and $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}, 176.1070$; found, 176.1069.


3-(pyridine-2-yl)cyclopentan-1-one (Table 2.2, entry 2): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), cyclopent-2-en-1-one ( $0.251 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.00$
mmol, 3 equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}_{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01 \text { equiv) and Hantzsch }}\right.\right.$ ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexanes:ethyl acetate $=3: 1$ then $1: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.44(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{tdd}, J=9.5,7.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.44-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 218.6, 162.1, 149.4, 136.5, 122.0, 121.7, 44.4, 43.9, 38.3, 30.2.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3008,2961,2900,1735,1590,1473,1436,1150,1134,785$, and $749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}, 162.0913$; found, 162.0912.


3-pyridin-2-yl(butanenitrile (Table 2.2, entry 3): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), crotononitrile ( $0.245 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.010$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( 329 mg , $1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexanes:ethyl acetate $=5: 1$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.06$ (m, 2H), $3.34-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.6,149.5,136.8,122.2,121.8,119.0,38.3,23.9,20.1$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3053,3010,2971,2931,2875,2246,1590,1570,1474,1435,991,785$, and 749 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 147.0917$; found, 147.0916.


Methyl 2-phenyl-3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.2, entry 4): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-phenylbut-2enoate ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.007 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.012$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $179 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) provided the product in an inseparable 4:3 mixture of diastereomers ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ yield) as colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=20: 1$ then $10: 1$ ).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR Major Diastereomers ( 300 MHz , Benzene-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.34$ (dt, $J=4.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.18 6.15 (m, ArH), 3.69 (ddd, $J=11.1,6.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR Minor Diastereomers, characteristic signals ( 300 MHz , Benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.45$ (dt, $J=$ $5.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.

For the mixture of diastereomers:
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.1,173.8,164.2,162.6,149.2,149.1,137.7,137.6,136.4,135.9$, $128.7,128.6,128.3,128.1,127.5,126.9,123.2,123.0,121.4,121.2,57.4,56.7,52.0,51.7,45.7$, 44.7, 19.8, 19.2.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3063,3029,3006,2968,2950,2873,2842,1731,1589,1454,1158,733$, and $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 256.1334; found, 256.1332.


Methyl 2-chloro-3-(pyridine-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.2, entry 5): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-chlorobut-2enoate ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 3.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $331 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product in an inseparable 4:1 mixture of diastereomers ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=10: 1$ then $5: 1$ ).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR Major Diastereomers $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.54-8.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.17-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR Minor Diastereomers, characteristic signals $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.44$ (ddd, $J=4.9$, $1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.8,169.7,161.1,160.5,149.4,149.1,136.6,136.4,123.4,122.5$, $122.1,122.0,61.6,60.4,52.8,52.6,46.0,45.0,18.0,16.7$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2976, 2953, 2877, 1744 1593, 1570, 1435, 1273, 1194, 1162, 991, 786, and 748 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 214.0629$; found, 214.0629.


## 3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoic acid (Table 2.2, entry 6):

A 30-mL screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Hantzsch ester ( 83.0 mg , $0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv $),\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.010$ equiv $)$, but-2enoic acid ( $64.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and 2-iodopyridine ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed solvent (3:1 DMSO: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $0.1 \mathrm{M})$ by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 18 hours. The water was removed from the crude mixture by rotary evaporation. An internal standard of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene ( $43.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.04$ equiv) was added. Crude NMR of the mixture was taken $(\mathrm{d} 1=10 \mathrm{~s})$, and integration of the aromatic protons in the resultant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum indicated 74 \% yield of the title compound.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR, characteristic signals: $(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6) \delta 8.81(\mathrm{td}, J=5.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,5.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.1,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{lH})$.


N-benzyl-3-(pyridine-2yl)butanamide (Table 2.2, entry 7): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), N -benzylbut-2-enamide ( 526 mg , $3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.010$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( $331 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexanes:ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ then $1: 2)$.

Mp: $70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.43-8.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{tdd}, J=7.6,1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-$ $7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 4.19 (dd, $J=14.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49$ (dd, $J=14.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 171.87, 164.46, 148.90, 138.38, 136.66, 128.42, 127.38, $127.08,122.71,121.51,43.19,43.08,38.64,21.15$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3206,3045,2974,2962,2909,1667,1567,1551,1474,1291,1241,792,753$, 736 , and $705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 255.1492$; found, 255.1491.


N-methoxy-N-methyl-3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanamide (Table 2.2, entry 8): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), N -methoxy-N-methylbut-2-enamide ( $387 \mathrm{mg}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.010 equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $334 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( 121 mg , $63 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ then ethyl acetate).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.44(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{ddt}, J=7.5,4.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ Hz, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.0,149.0,136.4,122.4,121.2,61.1,38.2,37.4,21.0$ ( 2 xC ).

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3349,2965,2936,2873,1655,1590,1568,1473,1433,1415,1348,1176,1149$, 1120, 997, 784 , and $749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 209.1285; found, 209.1283.


Tert-butyl 2-oxo-4-(pyridine-2-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (Table 2.2, entry 9): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), tert-butyl 2-oxo-4-pyridin-2-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate $\quad(560 \mathrm{mg}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester $(329.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=5: 1$ then $1: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.53-8.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,4.2$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dtd}, J=10.7,8.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.7,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.4,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.2,159.4,149.8,136.8,122.4,122.3,82.8,51.8,39.1,38.0$, 28.0.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2978, 2931, 1779, 1746, 1711, 1367, 1350, 1300, 1285, 1255, 1147, 777, and $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 263.1390$; found, 263.1389 .


Dimethyl 2-(1-pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)malonate (Table 2.2, entry 10): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2 -iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), dimethyl 2 -
ethylidinemalonate ( $478 \mathrm{mg}, 3.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010$ mmol, 0.01 equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( 211 $\mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexanes:diethyl ether =5:3).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.38(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5,4.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.2,168.7,149.0,136.4,122.8,121.6,56.5,52.4,52.1,41.1$, 19.1.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2954, 2846, 1751, 1731, 1434, 1287, 1271, 1252, 1218, 1192, 1146, 787, and $749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 238.1074$; found, 238.1073.


Dimethyl 2-(2-methyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)propyl)malonate (Table 2.2, entry 11): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), dimethyl 2-(3methylbutylidene)malonate ( $606 \mathrm{mg}, 3.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.010$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the
product ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=10: 1$ then $5: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{td}, J=11.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{td}, J=12.2,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.1,10.1,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.1,168.6,160.8,149.4,135.9,124.5,121.6,57.2,52.5,52.2$, 44.8, 42.0, 25.1, 23.8, 21.0.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3007,2954,2869,2947,1753,1734,1570,1434,1258,1243,1145,749$, and $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z: ~[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 280.1541$; found, 280.1543.


Dimethyl 2-(2-methyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)propyl)malonate (Table 2.2, entry 12): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), dimethyl 2-(2methylpropylidene)malonate ( $446 \mathrm{mg}, 2.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.013$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $263 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=10: 1$ then $5: 1$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.51-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.7,168.9,159.1,148.5,135.5125 .3,121.5,54.1,52.6,52.2$, 51.6, 30.2, 21.5, 17.4.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2957, 2933, 2876, 2847, 1754, 1732, 1434, 1264, 1168, 1144, and $731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 266.1383$; found, 266.1387 .


4-(pyridine-2-yl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (Table 2.2, entry 13): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), furan- $2(5 \mathrm{H}$ )-one ( 0.252 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv $),\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexanes:ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ then $1: 2)$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{p}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=17.4,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.6,158.5,149.8,136.9,122.6,122.4,72.8,42.6,34.3$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3054,3009,2911,1770,1592,1160,1019,994$, and $731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, 164.0706$; found, 164.0706.


2-hydroxyethyl 3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.2, entry 14): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 2-hydroxyethylbut-2enoate ( $395 \mathrm{mg}, 3.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.010 equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $333 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( 142 mg , $68 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ then ethyl acetate $).$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.40(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.9,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{td}, J=4.9,4.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=11.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.4,164.0,148.8,136.9,121.70,121.65,65.9,60.3,41.3,38.1$, 20.5.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3356,2965,2874,1729,1593,1435,1205,1166,786$, and $750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, 210.1125$; found, 210.1124.


Methyl (3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoyl)-D-tryptophanate (Table 2.2, entry 15): following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methylbut-2-enoyl-D-tryptophanate ( $860 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 0.010$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product in an inseparable 1:1 mixture of diastereomers ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield) as a yellow solid after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ then ethyl acetate).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, Benzene- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 8.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 8.33-8.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.64-$ $7.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.35-7.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.20-7.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.03-6.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.83-6.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.58-6.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.21-4.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.54-3.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.36-3.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.73-2.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.45-2.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, Benzene-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 172.5, 172.4, 171.9, 164.7, 164.6, 148.73, 148.70, 136.7, $136.1,127.9,127.9,123.3,122.2,122.1,121.8,121.2,121.1,119.3,118.6,111.6,109.8,109.7$, 53.3, 53.2, 51.5, 42.4, 38.4, 27.7, 27.7, 20.8, 20.7.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3284,3055,3009,2953,2957,2871,1736,1648,1592,1518,1434,1354,1340$, 1211 , and $740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 366.1812$; found, 366.1812.


Ethyl 3-(6-methylpyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 1): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-bromo-6-methylpyridine ( $169.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0053 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $324.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $155.3 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography $($ dichloromethane:diethyl ether $=50: 1$ then 10:1).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.4,163.5,157.4,136.3,120.6,118.0,60.0,40.8,37.9,24.3$, 20.5, 14.0.

FTIR (neat): 2976, 1731, 1591, 1576, 1463, 1370, 1347, 1284, 1200, $1162 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 208.1332; found, 208.1331.


Ethyl 3-(5-methylpyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 2): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-bromo-5-methylpyridine ( $172.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate
( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $310.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) provided the product ( $127.9 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether $=4: 1$ then $2: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6$, $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.4,161.2,149.3,136.8,130.4,121.1,59.9,40.8,37.4,20.6$, 17.8, 13.9.

FTIR (neat): 2974, 1731, 1602, 1570, 1488, 1369, $1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 208.1332; found, 208.1332.


Ethyl 3-(4-methylpyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 3): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-bromo-4-methylpyridine ( $171.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(22.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( $318.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $169.0 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=9: 1$ then $8: 1$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.08-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.3,163.9,148.6,147.1,122.5,122.1,59.8,40.6,37.7,20.7$, 20.5, 13.9.

FTIR (neat): 2975, 1731, 1605, 1561, 1460, 1369, 1177, $1158 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 208.1332; found, 208.1331.


Ethyl 3-(3-methylpyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 4): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-iodo-3-methylpyridine ( $215.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( 0.62 $\mathrm{mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv $),\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $321.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $107.5 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (dichloromethane:diethyl ether $=50: 1$ then $10: 1$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6$, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=16.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.9,162.5,146.6,137.6,130.3,121.0,60.0,40.1,33.1,20.1$, 18.5, 14.0.

FTIR (neat): 2977, 1731, 1586, 1574, 1450, 1369, 1181, $1161 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, 208.1332$; found, 208.1331.


Ethyl 3-(pyridin-3-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 5): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 3-iodopyridine ( $194.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.006$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $317.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $95.7 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow solid after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether $=9: 1$ then $1: 1$ ). The physical property and spectrum data match the reported value. ${ }^{52}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44-8.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


Ethyl 3-(pyridin-4-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 6): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 4-iodopyridine ( $203.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}$,

[^17]5 equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0052 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $313.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) provided the product ( $92.3 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (dichloromethane:diethyl ether $=50: 1$ then 10:1).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.5,154.5,149.7,122.1,60.4,41.7,35.7,21.0,14.0$.

FTIR (neat): 2976, 1731, 1599, 1559, 1457, 1415, 1371, 1284, $1173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, 194.1176$; found, 196.1174.


Ethyl 3-(4-cyanopyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 7): following the general procedure (B), the reaction of 4-cyano-2-iodopyridine ( $228.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( 0.37 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$, 2,4,6-trimethylaniline ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and sodium formate $(208.6 \mathrm{mg}, 3.07 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.1 equiv) provided the product ( $112.5 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=9: 1$ then $8: 2$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59$ (dd, $J=16.1,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.9,166.1,150.0,123.7,122.7,120.5,116.5,60.2,40.1,37.9$, 20.5, 14.0.

FTIR (neat): 2978, 2238, 1729, 1595, 1551, 1474, 1398, 1370, 1279, $1179 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 219.1128; found, 219.1127.


Ethyl 3-(5-chloropyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 8): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 5-chloro-2-iodopyridine ( $233.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( 0.62 $\mathrm{mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv $),\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0055 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.006$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( $314.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product $(129.4 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (dichloromethane:diethyl ether $=100: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=15.9,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.2,157.9,151.6,149.6,122.6,121.0,60.5,41.5,35.5,20.9$, 14.0.

FTIR (neat): 2976, 1731, 1579, 1560, 1471, 1369, 1349, 1275, 1161, 1112, $1013 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 228.0786$; found, 228.0786.


Ethyl 3-(5-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 9): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of tert-butyl (6-iodopyridin-3-yl)carbamate (320.2 mg, $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0047 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( 315.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $148.9 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=4: 1$ then $1: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=15.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.5,158.4,152.9,139.6,133.3,126.4,121.5,80.7,60.1,40.9$, 37.3, 28.1, 20.6, 14.0.

FTIR (neat): $3333,2977,1724,1588,1526,1491,1390,1367,1246,1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 309.1809$; found, 309.1807.


Ethyl 3-(5-phenylpyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 10): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-bromo-5-phenylpyridine ( $227.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $320.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $159.0 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether $=4: 1$ then $2: 1$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,2.4,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-$ $4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.5,163.2,147.5,137.8,134.8,134.3,128.9,127.7,126.9$, $121.7,60.2,40.8,37.7,20.7,14.1$.

FTIR (neat): 2975, 1731, 1596, 1558, 1476, 1449, 1369, 1278, $1161 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, 270.1489$; found, 270.1487.


Ethyl 3-(5-(hydroxymethyl)pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 11): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of (6-bromo-pyridin-3-yl)methanol ( $190.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left.\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(4.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0050 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $333.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $167.9 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ then $1: 2$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$ (dd, $J=15.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77$ (br.s, 1 H$), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.4,163.1,147.5,135.7,134.4,121.5,61.7,60.2,40.7,37.6$, 20.5, 13.9.

FTIR (neat): 3366 (br.), 2976, 1729, 1602, 1571, 1489, 1458, 1370, 1278, $1163 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, 224.1281; found, 224.1281.


Ethyl 3-(5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 12): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-iodo-5-trifluoromethylpyridine ( $271.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $306.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) provided the product ( $176.4 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (dichloromethane:diethyl ether $=100: 1$ then $50: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.77($ br.s, 1 H$), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0$, $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.1,168.4,146.0(\mathrm{q}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \delta=146.06,146.02,145.99$, $145.96), 133.3(\mathrm{q}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \delta=133.35,133.33,133.30,133.27), 124.3(\mathrm{q}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \delta=126.82$, $124.66,122.50,120.34), \quad 123.6(\mathrm{q}, J=270.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \delta=124.66,124.41,124.15,123.89), 121.8$, $60.2,40.3,38.0,20.5,13.9$.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-62.28$.

FTIR (neat): 2979, 1734, 1607, 1574, 1460, 1400, 1371, 1328, 1160, $1130 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}, 262.1049$; found, 262.1047 .


Ethyl 3-(2-chloropyridin-4-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 13): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-chloro-4-iodopyridine ( $240.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( 0.62 $\mathrm{mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv $\left.),\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}_{\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0067 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.007$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $317.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $120.2 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=9: 1$ then 4:1).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.07(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.1,157.9,151.6,149.6,122.6,121.0,60.5,41.4,35.5,20.9$, 14.0.

FTIR (neat): 2976, 1729, 1592, 1545, 1466, 1392, 1370, 1278, 1203, $1131 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 228.0786$; found, 228.0787.


Ethyl 3-(3-acetoxypyridin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 14): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-iodopyridin-3-yl acetate ( $260.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl
crotonate $\left(0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5\right.$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0050 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.005 equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $330.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( 145.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether $=4: 1$ then 2:1).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (dd, $J=8.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.4,168.9,156.4,146.5,144.3,130.0,121.8,60.1,39.8,31.1$, 20.8, 19.6, 13.9.

FTIR (neat): 2979, 1768, 1730, 1593, 1545, 1441, 1370, 1290, 1192, 1156, $1091 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 252.1230$; found, 252.1229.


Ehyl 3-(pyrimidin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 15): following the general procedure (B), the reaction of 2-bromopyrimidine ( $160.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.98$
 trimethylaniline ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and sodium formate $(223.7 \mathrm{mg}, 3.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.3$ equiv) provided the product $(77.4 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=4: 1$ then $1: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.1,172.3,156.8,118.5,60.1,39.7,39.2,20.0,14.0$.

FTIR (neat): 2976, 1731, 1571, 1561, 1463, 1424, 1369, 1282, 1258, $1177 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 195.1128$; found, 195.1128.


Ethyl 3-(2-(methylthio)pyrimidin-4-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 16): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 4-iodo-2-(methylthio)pyrimidine ( $248.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate $\left(0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5\right.$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0057$ mmol, 0.006 equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $318.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $160.9 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether $=4: 1$ then $2: 1$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.8,171.9,171.8,156.8,114.3,60.1,39.3,37.2,19.8,13.9$, 13.7.

FTIR (neat): 2976, 1730, 1562, 1542, 1424, 1367, 1342, 1326, 1200, 1182, $1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, 241.1005$; found, 241.1005 .


Ethyl 3-(4-methoxypyrimidin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 17): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 2-iodo-4-methoxypyrimidine ( $233.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate $\left(0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5\right.$ equiv), $\left.\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $310.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) provided the product ( $152.2 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ then $1: 2$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.03(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), $3.53-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.8,172.4,169.1,156.8,105.3,59.9,53.1,39.5,38.9,19.7$, 14.0.

FTIR (neat): 2979, 1732, 1568, 1473, 1418, 1367, 1327, 1312, 1276, $1174 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 225.1234; found, 225.1235.


Ethyl 3-(7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d] pyrimidin-4-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 18): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 4-iodo-7-h-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine ( $119.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(3.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.006$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $156.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $86.5 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow solid after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether $=4: 1$ then $2: 1$ ).
M.P. : $62-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.25$ (br.s, 1 H$), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66$ (dd, $J=3.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.4,165.4,151.5,150.7,125.2,116.7,99.5,60.3,39.6,35.2$, 20.0, 14.0.

FTIR (neat): 3200 (br.), 3133 (br.), 2978, 1731, 1582, 1505, 1465, 1418, 1350, 1286, 1254, 1184 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 234.1237$; found, 234.1236.


Ethyl 3-(pyrazin-2-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 19): following the general procedure (B), the reaction of 2-iodopyrazine ( $207.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.98 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3 equiv), $\quad\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6} \quad(11.1 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv), 2,4,6trimethylaniline ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and sodium formate $(204.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) provided the product ( $102.1 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=8: 2$ then 7:3).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47-8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0$, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.8,159.7,143.9,143.8,142.4,60.2,40.1,35.3,20.4,13.9$.

FTIR (neat): 2977, 1731, 1526, 1473, 1407, 1371, 1346, 1279, 1179, 1140, $1033 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 195.1128$; found, 195.1126.


Ethyl 3-(1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin-4-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 20): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 4-bromo-7-azaindole ( $195.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}\left(\mathrm{dtbbpy}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0053 \mathrm{mmol}$,
0.005 equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $317.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( 122.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether $=1: 3$ then diethyl ether).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.45(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.2,148.9,147.4,142.6,124.7,119.1,112.6,98.9,60.4,41.5$, 33.8, 20.5, 14.1.

FTIR (neat): 3143 (br.), 2976, 1730, 1589, 1501, 1445, 1408, 1370, 1273, $1177 \mathrm{~cm}-1$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 233.1285; found, 233.1286.


Ethyl 3-(2,6-dimethylpyridin-4-yl)butanoate (Table 2.3, entry 21): following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 4-bromo-2,6-dimethylpyridine ( $189.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), ethyl crotonate ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), $\operatorname{Ir(dtbbpy)(ppy)_{2}]\mathrm {PF}_{6}(4.8\mathrm {mg},0.0053\mathrm {mmol},0.005}$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $304.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) provided the product ( $119.6 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:diethyl ether $=1: 1$ then $1: 2$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56$ (dd, $J=15.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.7,157.5,155.0,116.1,60.2,41.7,35.6,24.2,21.0,14.0$.

FTIR (neat): 2968, 1732, 1606, 1567, 1426, 1370, 1283, 1252, 1226, 1177, $1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, 222.1489; found, 222.1487.

### 2.5.6 Procedure for determination of Hantzsch ester solubility:

A $1,000 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of DMSO or $\mathrm{DMSO}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1,2: 1,3: 1,4: 1,5: 1$, or $10: 1)$ was delivered to a test tube. The solution was saturated with excess Hantzsch ester ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and collidine ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added as an internal standard. The saturated solution was drawn into a syringe through a syringe filter. The syringe filter was removed, and the solution was delivered to a fresh test tube. The Hantzsch ester was oxidized to Hantzsch pyridine by sparging the solution with air for 2 hours. The sample was analyzed by gas chromatography, and integral values were used to calculate the mass of Hantzsch ester dissolved in solution.

### 2.5.7 Procedure for Intramolecular Cyclization:



## Scheme S2.1: Radical Cyclization

## Cyclization reactions suggesting radical mechanism:

Following the general procedure (A), the reaction of 3-(allyloxy)-2-iodopyridine ${ }^{53}$ (compound 4, $129.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $153.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) provided the known cyclization products 3-methyl-2,3-dihydrofuro[3,2-b]pyridine (5) ${ }^{54}$ and 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridine (6) ${ }^{55}$ ( $13 \%$ and $33 \%$ yield, respectively; yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene as internal standard). The compounds were isolated by preparative TLC with (hexanes:ethyl acetate $=1: 1$ ). The spectra and physical properties match the reported data.

## 3-methyl-2,3-dihydrofuro[3,2-b]pyridine (5):

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.07-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{t}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

[^18]
## 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrano[3,2-b]pyridine (6):

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Chapter 3

# A practical and scalable system for heteroaryl amino acid synthesis 

Adapted from: R. A. Aycock, D. B. Vogt, and N. T. Jui. A practical and scalable system for heteroaryl amino acid synthesis. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 7998-8003.

D. B. Vogt contributed an improved synthesis of the chiral oxazolidinone radical acceptor, the scope of radical precursors that couple with it, and fluorescence quenching studies.

Adapted with permission, copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry.

### 3.1 Abstract

A robust system for the preparation of $\beta$-heteroaryl $\alpha$-amino acid derivatives has been developed using photoredox catalysis. This system operates via regiospecific activation of halogenated pyridines (or other heterocycles) and conjugate addition to dehydroalanine derivatives to deliver a wide range of unnatural amino acids. This process was conducted with good efficiency on large scale, the application of these conditions to amino ketone synthesis is shown, and a simple protocol is given for the preparation of enantioenriched amino acid synthesis, from a number of radical precursors.

### 3.2 Introduction

Amino acids play a central role in the chemical and biological sciences. As primary members of the chiral pool, they are precursors to drugs, ${ }^{1}$ chiral auxiliaries, ${ }^{2}$ and catalysts. ${ }^{3}$ In addition, they are fundamental building blocks for the construction of biomolecules. The use of peptides as therapeutic agents is attractive because they can display extremely diverse, potent, and selective biological activities. ${ }^{4}$ However, there are significant challenges in peptide drug design, including low metabolic stability or poor physical properties. One proven strategy for overcoming these challenges involves

[^19]substitution of the native residues with unnatural amino acids (synthetic mutagenesis). ${ }^{5}$ Nitrogencontaining heteroaromatics are common in pharmaceuticals because they directly alter the solubility,
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|  | Here: Heteroaryl Amino Acids via Catalytic Radical Conjugate Addition |
|  | mild (no metalation required), aqueous solvent, high functional group tolerance |

Figure 3.1. Impact of pyridine incorporation into amino acids and peptide drugs

[^20]metabolic stability, and binding affinity of the molecules that they comprise. ${ }^{6}$ As such, heteroarenecontaining unnatural amino acids are promising tools in the design of peptide therapeutics. Pyridine incorporation has a dramatic impact on the properties of amino acids and peptides. For example, azatyrosine - a natural product that differs from the essential amino acid tyrosine by substitution of a single atom-displays potent antibiotic and antitumor properties (Figure 3.1A). ${ }^{7}$ Installation of the 3pyridylalanine (3-pyr-Ala) residue in the gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist cetrorelix (Figure 3.1B) was found to improve both aqueous solubility and receptor affinity, ${ }^{8}$ and similar effects were observed in the development of other peptide hormones (Figure 3.1C). ${ }^{5 b, c}$ As part of a program centered on the catalytic functionalization of heteroaromatics, we target the development of impactful synthetic methods for the construction of novel $\beta$-heteroaryl $\alpha$-amino acids through a radical conjugate addition mechanism. We have found that pyridyl halide activation via single electron reduction using photoredox catalysts ${ }^{9}$ can be accomplished, and that the intermolecular reactivity of the resulting radical species can be dictated by the reaction conditions. ${ }^{10,11}$ More specifically, we found that pyridyl radicals display nucleophilic reactivity in aqueous DMSO, and they readily couple with electron-poor alkenes. We

[^21]questioned whether this approach could be translated to heteroaryl amino acid synthesis through radical conjugate addition to dehydroalanine derivatives. There are a number of powerful methods for the synthesis of unnatural $\beta$-heteroaryl $\alpha$-amino acids, including malonate (or enolate) alkylation, ${ }^{12}$ crosscoupling of serine-derived organometallic reagents, ${ }^{13}$ and reduction of dehydroamino acid derivatives. ${ }^{14}$

However, strategies based on radical addition to DHA derivatives are unique due to the highlychemoselective nature of radical species, and the broad functional group tolerance that results. ${ }^{15}$ Alkyl radical addition to DHA has been effectively accomplished even in the complex setting of intact proteins. ${ }^{16}$ While this is a highly attractive attribute, a radical approach to heteroaryl amino acids is currently unknown. Here, we describe the successful translation of our reductive heteroarene activation system to amino acid synthesis.

### 3.3 Results and discussion

Illustrated in Figure 3.2 is a mechanistic picture that is consistent with our observations. Excitation of the photocatalyst $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left([\mathrm{Ir}]^{1+}\right)$, followed by reductive quenching of the excited

[^22]state by Hantzsch ester (HEH) gives rise to the $[\operatorname{Ir}]^{0}\left(E_{1 / 2}=-1.51 \mathrm{~V}\right) .{ }^{17}$ Stern-Volmer quenching studies indicated that Hantzsch ester is the most significant excited state quencher. Single electron
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Figure 3.2. A proposed mechanism of heteroaryl radical conjugate addition to Dha.

[^23]reduction of halo pyridine $\mathbf{I}$, followed by rapid mesolytic cleavage in polar solvents $(X=B r, I)^{18}$ affords heteroaryl radical intermediate II, which exhibits nucleophilic radical behavior in aqueous DMSO. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ It is possible that halopyridine reduction is assisted by protonation, as each catalytic turnover produces an nominal equivalent of Hantzsch pyridinium bromide $\left(\mathrm{HEH}^{+} \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right)$. Hydrodehalogenation ( HDH ) of the arene is observed as a common byproduct, but this undesired pathway can be suppressed by limiting the solubility of the stoichiometric reductant, Hantzsch ester (HEH), in accord with our previous findings. Radical conjugate addition (RCA) to dehydroalanine III and subsequent single electron reduction of the nascent radical IV would deliver the corresponding enolate $\mathbf{V}$. The intermediacy of $\mathbf{V}$ is supported by the fact that the $\alpha-\mathrm{H}$ amino acid product VI is produced in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a cosolvent (regardless of $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ labeling of HEH). Conversely, when $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is used as a cosolvent, complete deuterium incorporation is obtained at the $\alpha$-position. As illustrated in Table 3.1, we identified conditions that efficiently
unite 2-bromo-5-hydroxypyridine with the indicated dehydroalanine derivative (readily accessed on 35 g scale from Boc-Ser-OMe) to give the protected azatyrosine $\mathbf{1}$ in $98 \%$ NMR yield (entry 1). These conditions employ $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of the photosensitizer $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (excited by irradiation with a commercial blue LED) and Hantzsch ester (1.5 equiv.) as a stoichiometric reductant in aqueous DMSO. Control experiments indicated that all of these components are necessary for the reaction (entries $2-4,0 \%$ yield), and that use of the prototypical $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}{ }^{2+}$ chromophore results in product formation, although with diminished efficiency (entry 5, 58\% yield). Omission of water as a cosolvent was not well tolerated here (entry 6,14\% yield), a finding

[^24]
## Table 3.1. Optimal conditions for pyridyl radical addition to dehydroalanine substrate


that is in consistent with our previous observations. ${ }^{10 a}$ We found that other aqueous solvent mixtures can be used (entries 7 and $8,35 \%$ and $71 \%$ yield, respectively), and that this photoredox system is remarkably robust; an experiment using bourbon as solvent (open to air) afforded the desired product in $93 \%$ yield (entry 9). Importantly, protection of the phenol $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ function was not required under these mild radical conditions. Using the optimized protocol outlined above, we found that the heteroaryl halide scope of this transformation is broad (as shown in Table 3.2). Some reactions are complete in as little as 2 hours, but each experiment was conducted overnight ( 16 h ) for consistency and convenience without negatively impacting the yields. Regiospecific activation of each pyridyl position is possible via single electron reduction, and these conditions effectively delivered amino ester products from 2- and 3-iodopyridine ( $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ ), in $97 \%$ and $73 \%$ yield, respectively. Although less efficient, 4-iodopyridine also affords 4-pyridylalanine in useful yield ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 34 \%$ yield), where reductive pyridine production is a significant alternative pathway.

Table 3.2. Catalytic amino acid synthesis: scope of the halogenated heteroarene


Methyl substitution is well-tolerated at all positions of 2-bromo pyridines, cleanly furnishing the corresponding pyridylalanines 3-6 in very high yield (93-97\% yield). Reaction of 2-bromo-5trifluoromethylpyridine (7) efficiently afforded product in 94\% yield. Electron-donating groups are well-tolerated including amino (9,71\% yield), phenol (11, 67\% yield), amide (12, 73\% yield), and methoxy ( $\mathbf{1 7}, 66 \%$ yield) groups. Dihalogenated pyridines can be programmed for regiospecific radical formation and subsequent conjugate addition at any position, preserving 2-chloro-substituents in the presence of more reactive iodo-substituents. Coupling reactions of 2-chloro-3-iodo- (14), 2-chloro-4-iodo-(18), 2-chloro-5-iodo-(13), and 2-chloro-3-methyl-4-
iodopyridine (19) each gave single pyridylalanine products in good yield (73-83\% yield). 2,5Diiodopyridine is selectively activated at the more electrophilic 2-position to afford the corresponding amino ester $(\mathbf{8})$ as a single regioisomer in $74 \%$ yield. We found that halopyrimidines are also viable substrates in this process: 4-iodo-2-(methylthio)pyrimidine (15) and 4bromodeazapurine (21) gave product in $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ yield respectively. This photoredox process is amenable to gram-scale preparation of heteroaryl amino acid synthesis, without the need

Table 3.3. Radical conjugate addition: scope of the amino substituted alkene


22: $66 \%$ yield, $3: 1 \mathrm{dr}$

amino-alkene

blue LED, $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

( $\pm$ )-alkylpyridine


25 ( $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{B r}$ ): 86\% yield, $4: 3 \mathrm{dr}$
26 ( $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{O M e}$ ): 58\% yield, $3: 1 \mathrm{dr}$
27 ( $R=\mathbf{C O}_{2} \mathbf{M e}$ ): 78\% yield, 5:2 dr
$28\left(\mathbf{R}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ): 78\% yield, 5:2 dr


29: 77\% yield, $3: 1 \mathrm{dr}$


30: $70 \%$ yield, $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$


31: $64 \%$ yield, >20:1 dr
for special equipment. We reacted 25 mmol of 2-bromopyridine with a slight excess (1.2 equivalents, 30 mmol ) of the dehydroalanine substrate. In the presence of 1.0 equivalent of Hantzsch ester, in the presence of 1.0 equivalent of Hantzsch ester, and only $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%(23 \mathrm{mg})$ of the iridium photoredox catalyst, the desired pyridylalanine derivative $\mathbf{2}$ was produced in $84 \%$ yield ( 8.0 g ) after purification. As anticipated, selective unveiling of the amine and acid groups (in compound 2) using standard conditions went without issue. Hydrolysis of the methyl ester (2.0 equiv. of LiOH in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) occurred with preservation of both Boc groups. Exposure of $\mathbf{2}$ to trifluoroacetic acid in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ revealed the free amine as the TFA salt while leaving the methyl ester intact. Finally, sequential treatment of 2 with KOH in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by direct acidification of the reaction mixture with HCl afforded the fully deprotected 2-pyridylalanine as the double HCl salt. Each of these processes occurred in high yield at room temperature (see ESI for details). We conducted a brief evaluation of the scope of amino-substituted alkenes with the expectation that this reaction template could be flexibly utilized to deliver other amino acid or amino-carbonyl substructures. We found that dehydroamino acid substrates with methyl- and phenyl-substituents in the $\beta$-position could be successfully employed, giving rise to products $\mathbf{2 2}$ and 23 in acceptable yield ( $66 \%$ and $54 \%$ yield, respectively) with modest diastereocontrol. Replacement of the $\alpha$-imide group in the alkene starting material (a structural artifact of dehydroalanine synthesis via $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-induced $\beta$-elimination) with an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ aniline group or electronically diverse arylmethylamine groups was tolerated, although diastereoselectivity was low (25-28, 66-75\% yield, $\leq 3: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ). These radical conjugate addition conditions directly translated to the synthesis of $\beta$-heteroaryl $\alpha$-amino ketone derivatives 29-31, giving the desired products in $64-77 \%$ yield. These results are notable because they show the ability of this mild radical system to accomplish the formation of other of $\alpha$-aminocarbonyl classes.

We have demonstrated that this process is robust, scalable, and generally applicable for the synthesis of many heteroaryl amino acid and ketone derivatives. However, we recognize that the formation of products as racemic mixtures represents a main limitation of this method. To address this, we prepared the chiral tert-butyl oxazolidinone $\mathbf{3 2}$ that was described by Beckwith, ${ }^{19}$ building on early work by Karady, ${ }^{20}$ and Seebach. ${ }^{21}$ In accord with early studies, we found that heteroaryl radical addition followed by diastereoselective protonation from the less hindered $R e$-face could be achieved with a variety of halo-heteroarenes, furnished syn-products $\mathbf{3 3 - 3 6}$ with complete diasterocontrol (57-80\% yield, $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ). Concurrent carbamate cleavage and hemiaminal hydrolysis of $\mathbf{3 6}$ under acidic conditions cleanly afforded the amino acid $\mathbf{3 7}$ with retention of stereochemical purity ( $98 \%$ yield, $97 \%$ ee) (Table 3.4). Other reducible radical precursors can be employed without modification of the reaction conditions to afford oxazolidinone adducts as single diastereomers. For example, the reaction of allyl bromide gives oxazolidinone 39 ( $42 \%$ yield). A redox-active $N$-hydroxyphthalimide ester ${ }^{22}$ reacted to give $\mathbf{3 8}$ in high yield ( $86 \%$ yield). Finally, reducible fluorinated alky halides operate within this manifold, affording oxazolidinone adducts 40-42 with good efficiency (60-93\% yield). Deprotection of two of these products would directly

[^25]Table 3.4. Diastereoselective RCA to Karady-Beckwith Alkene

yield fluorinated amino acids which have been enabling tools in a number of biomedical applications. ${ }^{23}$ For example, the difluorinated phosphonate L-phosphoserine mimic (deprotected 41) is an important tool in the study of kinase-dependent signal transduction. ${ }^{23 a}$ Because chiral

[^26]alkene $\mathbf{3 2}$ is easily accessible from cysteine, and both enantiomers of this starting material are commercial, this strategy would enable access to either enantiomer of the unnatural heteroaryl amino acids (Table 3.4).

### 3.4 Conclusions

In summary, we have described an efficient catalytic system for the preparation of unnatural $\alpha$-amino acids. This protocol is effective for regiospecific generation of a broad range of heteroaryl radicals, and intermolecular coupling with dehydroamino acid derivatives and $\alpha$-aminoenones. We demonstrate that this photoredox system can be conducted on large scale using near-stoichiometric conditions with good efficiency. We also show that diastereoselective radical conjugate addition to a chiral alkene is a viable strategy to access enantioenriched products, and that this process allows utilization of a range of radical precursors. The application of these findings to the synthesis of other valuable, highly complex products is a current aim of our program.

### 3.5 Experimental Information

### 3.5.1 General Information

## General Reagent Information:

All reactions were set up on the bench top and conducted under nitrogen atmosphere while subject to irradiation from blue LEDs (LEDwholesalers PAR38 Indoor Outdoor 16-Watt LED Flood Light Bulb, Blue; or PARsource PowerPAR LED Bulb-Blue 15 Watt/440 nm, available at www.eaglelight.com). Flash chromatography was carried out using Siliaflash® P60 silica gel obtained from Silicycle. Photoredox catalyst, $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, was prepared according to a literature procedure ${ }^{24}$. Halogenated heteroarenes were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Alfa Aesar, Acros Organics, Combi-Blocks, or Oakwood Products and were used as received. Dehydroalanines were prepared according to the designated procedures in section IV, Preparation of Dehydroalanine Substrates. Molecular sieves were activated in a commercial microwave oven then cooled under high vacuum. DMSO was purified on a Pure Process Technologies solvent purification system. Reaction solvent was prepared by combining DMSO and tap water $(5: 1, \mathrm{~V}: \mathrm{V})$ which was degassed in a sidearm flask under weak vacuum while subject to sonication. Alcoholic beverages used as solvents for optimization screenings were purchased from a local package store and used as received.

[^27]
## General Analytical Information:

All yields refer to isolated yields. New compounds were characterized by NMR, IR spectroscopy, HRMS, and melting point. NMR data were recorded on one of six spectrometers: Bruker 600 MHz , INOVA 600 MHz , INOVA 500 MHz , VNMR 400 MHz , INOVA 400 MHz , or Mercury 300 MHz . Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are internally referenced to residual protio solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 77.23 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ : 7.15 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 128.4 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: \delta$ 3.31 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 49.1 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). IR spectra were obtained with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer. Mass spectrometry data were obtained from the Emory Mass Spectrometry Center using a Thermo LTQ-FTMS high resolution mass spectrometer. Melting point data was obtained with a Thomas Hoover Unimelt capillary melting point apparatus. Adduct yields for optimization data were obtained via $\mathrm{H}^{1} \mathrm{NMR}$ with an Inova 400 MHz NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard, with relaxation delay set to 5 seconds. Hydrodehalogenated yields for optimization data were obtained via gas chromatography with an Agilent Technologies 7890B Gas Chromatography system (flame-ionization detection) equipped with an Agilent Technologies 19091J-413 HP-5 column ( $30 \mathrm{mx} 0.320 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 5 \%$ phenyl methyl siloxane) and an Agilent Technologies G4513A autoinjector. Enantioenriched samples were analyzed on a 1100 Series Agilent HPLC on Daicel Chiralcel columns ( 250 x 4.6 mm ID). Optical rotations were measured at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a Perkin Elmer Model 341 Polarimeter at $\lambda=589 \mathrm{~nm}$.

### 3.5.2 General Procedure:

A 20-mL screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Hantzsch ester (1.3 - 1.5 equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, dehydroalanine (2 equiv), and halogenated heteroarene (1 equiv). The
tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a Schlenk line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed solvent (5:1 DMSO: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ heteroarene) by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 16 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 60 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography using the indicated solvent mixture to afford the title compound.

### 3.5.3 Optimization Details

## Procedure for In-Text Deviation from Optimal Conditions:

A $15-\mathrm{mL}$ screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Hantzsch ester ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30$ mmol, 1.5 equiv), photoredox catalyst ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv), and 2-bromopyridine ( $31.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a Schlenk line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged degassed solvent $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 16 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were combined and passed through a plug of silica which was flushed with additional ethyl acetate, and the solution was transferred to a $20-\mathrm{mL}$ scintillation vial. An internal standard of dodecane ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was delivered to the vial, and the contents were thoroughly mixed. A sample was analyzed by gas
chromatography, and the integral values were used to calculate hydrodehalogenation product (pyridine) yield. The contents of the vial were concentrated via rotary evaporation and then subject to high vacuum for 2 hours. 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene ( $33.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) was added, and the contents were thoroughly dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. An aliquot was analyzed by $\mathrm{H}^{1} \mathrm{NMR}$, and the integral values were used to calculate pyridylalanine ester yield.

## Gas Chromatography Method Conditions:

The gas chromatography system hardware is reported in section I-B, General Analytical Information. The injection volume for each trial is $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The initial oven temperature was set to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the ramp rate was programmed to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ until reaching $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. With no hold time, the temperature ramp rate is adjusted to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ min until reaching the maximum temperature of $325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Maximum temperature is held for one minute before concluding the run.

## Optimization Table

## Table S3.1. Optimization of heteroaryl RCA to Dha



### 3.5.4 Preparation of Dehydroalanine Substrates:



## methyl (tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-serinate:

To a stirring solution of L-serine, methyl ester hydrochloride ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 128 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 130 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 282 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $37 \mathrm{~mL}, 135 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). After stirring for 30 minutes, the solution was warmed to room temperature, and stirred for an additional 18 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, diluted with ethyl acetate, and washed with 1 M HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic phase was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The reside was passed through a silica plug ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes) to afford the product as a clear, colorless oil ( $37.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{94} \mathrm{\%}$ yield). The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{25}$


## methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate:

To a stirring solution of methyl (tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-serinate (37.2, $120 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in acetonitrile ( 200 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $58.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 281 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv)

[^28]and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $3.12 \mathrm{~g}, 25.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20$ equiv). The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 8 hours. DBU ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for an additional 8 hours. The reaction was concentrated by rotary evaporation then diluted in ethyl acetate. The mixture was washed with 1 M HCl and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by passing through a short plug of silica (5\% - 15\% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $31.5 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ yield) as a white solid. The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{26}$


## benzyl (2S,4R)-4-((benzylthio)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate:

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added $S$-benzyl-L-cysteine ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv.), NaOH ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95$ equiv), and anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes or until nearly homogenous. Pivaldehyde (4.9 g, 57 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 50 g ) were added to the reaction flask, each in one portion. The reaction was placed under nitrogen atmosphere and stirred at room temperature until the starting material had been consumed (determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of a filtered and concentrated aliquot of the reaction solution dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{COD}$ ). The reaction was quickly

[^29]filtered through celite and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was dried under high vacuum for 4 hours to afford the imine as a white solid. The imine was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 500 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oversized, well-insulated ice bath. Benzyl chloroformate ( $10.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added to the cooled reaction dropwise via syringe. The reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a full 18 hours then warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 6 hours. The mixture was washed with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $5 \%-15 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( 8.2 g , $42 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=16.6,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{q}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9$, $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.15,155.69,137.65,135.06,128.96,128.58,128.43,128.38$, $127.00,96.12,68.36,57.44,36.77,36.36,33.19,24.72$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 33063, 3031, 2970, 1791, 1717, 1481, 1454, 1390, 1344, 1324, 1221, 1196, $1170,1118,1036,1016,968,908,728$, and $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NS}, 414.17336$; found, 414.17310.

benzyl (2S,4R)-4-((benzylsulfonyl)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxy-late:

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added benzyl $(2 S, 4 R)-4$ -((benzylthio)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), and DCM ( 200 mL ). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was washed with 1 M aqueous sodium hydroxide ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (5\% - 30\% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield) as a white foam.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.47-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.9,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.75,155.31,134.91,129.07,128.99,128.77,128.72,128.70$, $127.90,96.83,68.82,60.25,53.59,52.65,37.05,24.53$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3066,3034,2972,2874,2256,1791,1719,1456,1392,1312,1285,1119,1039$, $966,908,725$, and $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{~S}, 446.16452$; found, 446.16398 .


## benzyl (S)-2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate (22):

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added (benzyl (2S,4R)-4-((benzylsulfonyl)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate) ( $3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), and DCM ( 100 mL ). The flask was chilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath, and $\mathrm{DBU}(1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added dropwise via syringe. The reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the starting material had been consumed (determined by TLC, about 10 minutes). While still at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 50 mL ), the layers were separated, and the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( $3 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (5\%-15\% ethyl acetate/ hexanes) to afford the product ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil. The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the reported values ${ }^{27}$. Chiral HPLC analysis of the alkene (OJ-H, $5 \%$ IPA/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) indicated $97 \%$ ee for the major enantiomer $\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}(\right.$ minor $)=$ $11.800 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $\left.)=13.225 \mathrm{~min}\right)$.

[^30]
methyl (2S)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-hydroxybutanoate:

To a stirring solution of L-threonine, methyl ester hydrochloride ( $8.2 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 80 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine ( $21 \mathrm{~mL}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $12 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). After stirring 30 minutes, the solution was warmed to room temperature, and stirring was continued for an additional 18 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, diluted with ethyl acetate, and washed with 1 M HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic phase was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was passed through a silica plug to afford the product ( $10.7 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless oil. The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{2}$


## methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate:

To a stirring solution of methyl (2S)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-hydroxybutanoate
( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in acetonitrile $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $19.6 \mathrm{~g}, 90.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) and DMAP ( $510 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv). The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature, and after stirring for 8 hours DBU ( $1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 8.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20$ equiv) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 8 hours. The reaction was concentrated
by rotary evaporation then diluted in ethyl acetate. The mixture was washed with 1 M HCl and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by passing through a short pad of silica (hexane/ethyl acetate $=30 \%)$ to afford the product $(9.59 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.93-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 164.34,150.41,136.58,130.18,82.70,52.06,27.82,13.31$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 2980,2953,2935,1792,1757,1727,1368,1270,1250,1152,1093,1044$, and $730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{6}, 316.17546$; found, 316.17564.


## 2-amino-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoic acid:

To a stirring solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 250 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv) in water ( 50 mL ) was added glycine ( $5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The solution was stirred 10 minutes, then benzaldehyde ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 151$ mmol, 2.9 equiv) was added. The solution was stirred for an additional 30 minutes as an off-white emulsion formed. The precipitant was broken apart in the flask, and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})(130$ mL ) was added slowly while stirring until consumption of the solid was observed to give a clear yellow solution. After stirring an additional 10 minutes, a beige precipitate formed. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration and washed with ether. The solid was dried under high vacuum to give the product as an off-white solid (11.6 g, $72 \%$ yield). The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{28}$

methyl (2S)-2-amino-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate:

To a stirring solution of 3-hydroxyphenylalanine ( $3.62 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in methanol ( 80 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added thionyl chloride ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) dropwise via syringe, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes while gradually warming to room temperature. Upon

[^31]reaching room temperature, a reflux condenser was attached, and the reaction mixture was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred under reflux for an additional 5 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, diluted with chloroform, concentrated by rotary evaporation, washed with ether, and dried under high vacuum for 2 hours to afford the product as a white solid ( $4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ yield). The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{29}$


## phenylalanine, $N$-[(1,1-dimethylethoxy)carbonyl]- $\beta$-hydroxy-, methyl ester:

To a stirring solution of methyl (2S)-2-amino-3-hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate hydrochloride (5.8 $\mathrm{g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dichloromethane $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine ( $7.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 57$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $5.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). After stirring 30 minutes, the solution was warmed to room temperature, and stirred for an additional 18 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, diluted with ethyl acetate, and washed with 1 M HCl , saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic phase was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The reside was passed through a silica plug ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product as a clear, colorless oil ( $5.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{91} \mathrm{\%}$ yield). The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{30}$

[^32]
methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-phenylacrylate:

To a stirring solution of phenylalanine, $N$-[(1,1-dimethylethoxy)carbonyl]- $\beta$-hydroxy-, methyl ester ( $5.01 \mathrm{~g}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in acetonitrile ( 22 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $8.10 \mathrm{~g}, 37.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) and DMAP ( $206 \mathrm{mg}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv). The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature, and after stirring for 8 hours DBU ( 516 mg , 3.4 mmol, 0.2 equiv) was added, and the resulting mixture was allowed to continue stirring for an additional 8 hours. The reaction was concentrated by rotary evaporation then diluted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with 1 M HCl and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-15 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product, $(5.17 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.41,150.02,135.72,133.03,129.99,129.60,128.94,127.19$, 82.99, 52.46, 27.66.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2979, 2952, 2934, 1794, 1752, 1722, 1393, 1317, 1248, 1149, 1113, 1093, 1027, 850 , and $780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 378.19245$; found, 378.19192.


## methyl 2-(phenylamino)but-2-enoate:

To a round-bottom flask equipped with stir bar was added methyl 2-oxobutanoate $(6.1 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), aniline ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $494 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6$ mmol, 0.05 equiv), and benzene ( 150 mL ). A Dean-Stark apparatus and reflux condenser were attached, and the mixture was heated to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirring for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product $(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ yield) as an orange oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.37,144.24,130.33,129.03,119.45,115.35,52.29,14.55$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3375,3053,3026,2971,2951,1708,1647,1599,1497,1434,1266,1244,1175$, 747 , and $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$, 192.10191; found, 192.10.188.


## methyl 2-((4-bromophenyl)(methyl)amino)but-2-enoate:

To a round-bottom flask equipped with stir bar was added methyl 2-oxobutanoate ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), 4-bromo- $N$-methylaniline ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), and benzene ( 50 mL ). A Dean-Stark apparatus and reflux condenser were attached, and the mixture was heated to $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirring for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (5\% - 30\% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.66,146.95,138.29,136.73,131.79,113.92,109.52,51.98$, 38.08, 13.51.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2972, 2950, 2819, 1732, 1589, 1498, 1434, 1371, 1303, 1239, 1206, 808, and $747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NBr}$, 284.02807; found, 284.02850.


## methyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)(methyl)amino)but-2-enoate:

To a round-bottom flask equipped with stir bar was added methyl 2-oxobutanoate ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), 4-methoxy- $N$-methylaniline ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( 95 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{equiv})$, and benzene ( 50 mL ). A Dean-Stark apparatus and reflux condenser were attached, and the mixture was heated to $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirring for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.91(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.26,151.97,142.40,137.65,136.99,114.72,113.36,55.69$, 51.79, 38.31, 13.47.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2992, 2948, 2906, 2832, 1718, 1647, 1507, 1238, 1201, 1123, 1114, 1036, and $817 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 236.12812$; found, 236.12783.

methyl 4-((1-methoxy-1-oxobut-2-en-2-yl)(methyl)amino)benzoate:

To a round-bottom flask equipped with stir bar was added methyl 2-oxobutanoate ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), methyl 4-(methylamino)benzoate ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), and benzene ( 50 mL ). A Dean-Stark apparatus and reflux condenser were attached, and the mixture was heated to $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirring for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (5\% - 50\% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless oil.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.19,165.27,151.43,138.75,136.28,131.27,118.68,111.29$, 52.02, 51.45, 38.01, 13.50.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2990, 2949, 2907, 1705, 1601, 1516, 1433, 1275, 1255, 1177, 1108, 1042, and $768 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}, 264.12303$; found, 264.12269.


## methyl 2-(methyl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)but-2-enoate:

To a round-bottom flask equipped with stir bar was added methyl 2-oxobutanoate ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), 4-trifluoromethyl- $N$-methylaniline ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), and benzene ( 50 mL ). A Dean-Stark apparatus and reflux condenser were attached, and the mixture was heated to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirring for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.39,150.24,139.02,136.28,126.57(\mathrm{q}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.03(\mathrm{q}$, $J=270.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.70(\mathrm{q}, J=33.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.54,52.07,38.01,13.49$.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-61.01$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ 2994, 2953, 2912, 2825, 1720, 1650, 1613, 1524, 1321, 1257, 1205, 1193, 1102, 1067, 1043, 849, and $576 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NF}_{3}$, 274.10494; found, 274.10501.


6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate:

To a stirring solution of 1,2-cyclohexanedione ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dichlormethane ( 100 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine ( $5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The resulting solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 3 hours. The reaction was concentrated by rotary evaporation then diluted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with 1 M HCl and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ - $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline, low-melting solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{p}, J=7.4,6.9$, $5.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 189.87,144.53,139.55,118.53(\mathrm{q}, J=320.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 37.69,24.99$, 21.88.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR $\left(282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-73.99$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : $2953,1702,1419,1349,1202,1137,1070,914$, and $809 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}, 245.00899$; found, 245.00866.

tert-butyl (6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)carbamate:

A three-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with 6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 16.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), tert-butyl carbamate ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 19.6$ mmol, 1.2 equiv, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $372 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.025$ equiv), 2-di-tert-butylphosphino-2', $4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}-$ triisopropylbiphenyl ( $691 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 40.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). A reflux condenser was connected, and each inlet was sealed with a rubber septum. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed toluene ( 40 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 12 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%-70 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-$ 1.76 (m, 2H), 1.39 (s, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 193.77,152.85,132.41,127.22,80.15,37.06,28.16,24.52,22.40$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3402,2977,2933,2871,2832,1784,1721,1672,1638,1507,1355,1227,1151$, $1042,1020,877$, and $867 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 212.12812$; found, 212.12789.


## 2-(methyl(phenyl)amino)cyclohex-2-en-1-one:

To a round-bottom flask equipped with stir bar was added cyclohexane-1,2-dione ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), $N$-methylaniline ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.05 equiv), and benzene ( 50 mL ). A Dean-Stark apparatus and reflux condenser were attached, and the mixture was heated to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirring for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-30 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{qd}, J=6.3,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{p}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 196.49,149.02,144.65,143.16,128.88,118.43,114.79,39.50$, 39.39, 26.01, 22.95.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3058,3024,2942,2874,2813,1680,1596,1497,1323,1128$, and $747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ON}, 202.12264$; found, 202.12252 .


## 4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)hex-4-en-3-one:

To a round-bottom flask equipped with stir bar was added hexane-3,4-dione $(5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv), $N$-methylaniline ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.05 equiv), and benzene ( 50 mL ). A Dean-Stark apparatus and reflux condenser were attached, and the mixture was heated to $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirring for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-30 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.51,147.56,145.05,135.02,129.34,117.32,111.90,38.15$, 31.82, 13.61, 7.92.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3060,2972,2935,2917,1713,1593,1503,1360,746$, and $691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ON}$, 204.13829; found, 204.13814.


## 1-(methoxycarbonyl)piperidine-4-carboxylic acid:

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added piperidine-4-carboxylic acid ( 5.0 g , 39 mmol , 1 equiv), THF ( 100 mL ), and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 100 mL ). Methyl chloroformate ( $6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 77.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) was then added dropwise via syringe. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered over celite then concentrated to remove THF. The remaining solution was acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=2$ using 1 M HCl then extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, then concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $5 \%-40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $5.65 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ yield) as a white solid.

1 H NMR (500 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=10.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

13C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 179.6, 156.0, 52.8, 43.1, 40.6, 27.6.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3003, 2956, 2863, 1674, 1479, 1449, 1411, 1275, 1209, 1182, 1126, 1080, 1033, 930, 758, and $730 \mathrm{~cm}-1$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for C8H14O4N, 188.0917; found, 188.0916.


## 4-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl) 1-methyl piperidine-1,4-dicarboxylate:

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added 1- (methoxycarbonyl)piperidine-4carboxylic acid (5.7 g, $30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), Nhydroxyphthalamide ( $4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), DMAP ( $369 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.1 equiv), and DCM ( 300 mL ). DIC ( $4.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was then added dropwise via syringe. The reaction was allowed to stir at this temperature until the starting material had been consumed (determined by TLC). The reaction mixture was filtered over celite and rinsed with an additional 50 mL of DCM . The filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (5\% - 40\% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $7.52 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ yield) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ $-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=13.3,10.2,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

13C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.5,161.9,155.8,134.9,128.8,124.0,52.7,42.7,38.3,27.7$.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 2956, 2863, 1813, 1785, 1754, 1694, 1468, 1448, 1411, 1373, 1316, 1276, 1233, 1186, 1128, 1076, 1000, 968, 913, 877, 786, 767, 729, $695 \mathrm{~cm}-1$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for C16H17O6N2, 333.1081; found, 333.1081

### 3.5.5 Procedure and Characterization Data


methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(5-hydroxypyridin-2-yl)propanoate (1):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 6-bromopyridin-3-ol (174 mg, $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl $2-(\operatorname{di}($ tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $608 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \operatorname{PF}_{6}(10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( $379 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $361 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield) as an off-white solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $50 \%-75 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.1,4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.58,153.36,151.52,147.69,136.52,125.29,125.01,83.28$, 58.48, 52.32, 36.84, 27.79.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3002,2980,2950,2933,2612,1744,1729,1697,1573,1364,1280,1232,1253$, 1142 , and $1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 397.19693$; found, 397.19670.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoate (2):

## 1 mmol scale:

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-iodopyridine ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

## 25-mmol scale:

A $250-\mathrm{mL}$ Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with Hantzsch ester ( $6.33 \mathrm{~g}, 25$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(22.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.001$ equiv), methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate ( $9.03,30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), 2-bromopyridine ( $3.95 \mathrm{~g}, 25$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), and degassed $\mathrm{DMSO} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 / 1, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V} ; 230 \mathrm{~mL})$. The tube was connected to a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ line, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was streamed over the headspace of the reaction for 10 minutes before sealing with a rubber septum. The suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 18 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution $(1200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were combined, passed through a silica plug, and
concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( 5 $-60 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the title compound ( $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid.

Mp: $49-51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.00$ (m, 2H), $5.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.2,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.82,157.95,151.51,149.34,136.17,123.86,121.42,82.87$, 58.16, 52.21, 38.76, 27.81.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3002,2977,2950,2936,1742,1724,1689,1378,1365,12533,1234,1163$, 1121, 1010, and $776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 381.20201$; found, 381.20181.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(3-methylpyridin-2-yl)propanoate (3):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromo-3-methylpyridine ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $604 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}$,
1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $376 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $2 \%-6 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/dichloromethane).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.33-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.8$, $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.11,156.41,151.69,146.65,137.44,131.65,121.34,82.82$, 57.94, 52.21, 35.36, 27.86, 18.72.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 2979,2952,2935,1793,1743,1698,1575,1451,1436,1366,1227,1167,1141$, 1116 , and $778 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 395.21766$; found, 395.21744 .

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(4-methylpyridin-2-yl)propanoate (4):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromo-4-methylpyridine ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $331 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $377 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $2 \%-6 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/dichloromethane).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.37 (s, 18H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.90,157.72,151.53,149.12,147.15,124.75,122.43,82.84$, 58.21, 52.22, 38.66, 27.82, 20.88.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2978, 2952, 2935, 1794, 1740, 1606, 1366, 1270, 1251, 1227, 1166, 1138, 852, and $778 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 395.21766$; found, 395.21746.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(5-methylpyridin-2-yl)propanoate (5):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromo-5-methylpyridine ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $604 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $3 \%-8 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/dichloromethane).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.38-8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.1$, $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.89,154.92,151.52,149.74,136.74,130.69,123.33,82.85$, 58.31, 52.21, 38.31, 27.83, 17.99.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2978, 2952, 2935, 1793, 174, 1710, 1366, 1270, 1167, 1137, and $808 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 395.21766$; found, 395.21729.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(6-methylpyridin-2-yl)propanoate (6):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromo-6-methylpyridine ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $606 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $334 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $388 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $3 \%-8 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/dichloromethane).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=9.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.89,157.86,157.13,136.44,120.97,120.85,82.80,58.34$, 52.21, 38.69, 27.83, 24.48.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3000,2980,2957,2933,1743,172,1689,1579,1456,1430,1377,1364,1278$, $1246,1231,1166,1011$, and $788 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 395.21766$; found, 395.21699.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)propan-oate (7):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine ( 225 mg , $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $605 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $3 \%-12 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/hexanes).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.76-8.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.59,170.73,151.91,149.99,147.13,140.05,128.86,121.72$, 83.33, 57.75, 52.38, 39.37, 32.46, 27.83, 27.48.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-62.45.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2981, 2954, 2936, 1793, 1745, 1700, 1608, 1367, 1381, 1271, 1161, 1127, 1017, and $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}, 449.18940$; found, 449.18909 .

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(5-iodopyridin-2-yl)propanoate (8):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2,5 -diiodopyridine ( $333 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate (601 mg, $2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $321 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $378 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ yield) as white solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%-30 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/hexanes).

Mp: $59-62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.748 .66(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.62,156.96,155.24,151.58,144.31,125.78,90.78,83.08,57.81$, 52.30, 38.17, 27.86.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 3005, 2980, 2968, 2945, 1746, 1728, 1697, 1363, 1273, 1163, 1128, and 760 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{I}, 507.09866$; found, 507.09763.

methyl 3-(5-aminopyridin-2-yl)-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)propanoate (9):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 6-iodopyridin-3-amine ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $603 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $379 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield) as an off-white solid after purification by flash column chromatography (50\% - 100\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $80-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), $3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.00,151.56,147.17,141.01,136.91,123.81,121.93,82.85$, 58.57, 52.15, 37.70, 27.83.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3452,3367,2983,2970,2943,1730,1718,1488,1366,1218,1141$, and 1115 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}, 396.21291$; found, 396.21126.


## methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-3-yl)propanoate (10):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 3-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate $\quad(609 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 2 ~ e q u i v), ~$ $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $379 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $279 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $20 \%-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: 76-78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.15 (dd, J = 14.3, $10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta 170.36,151.59,150.72,147.95$, $136.93,132.99,123.14,83.25,58.69,52.32,33.34,27.75$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2975, 2951, 1793, 1739, 1392, 1368, 1138, 11121103 , and $718 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 381.20201$; found, 381.20154.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(5-hydroxypyridin-3-yl)propanoate
(11):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 5-iodopyridin-3-ol ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate (610 mg, $2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $265 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ yield) as an off-white solid oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $50 \%-100 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $112-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=14.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.36,154.82,151.64,140.21,135.31,134.98,125.44,83.62$, 58.83, 52.45, 33.27, 27.79.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : $2980,2581,1744,1696,1437,1366,1269,1222$, and $1166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 397.19693$; found, 397.19621.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(2-pivalamidopyridin-3-yl)propanoate (12): Following the general procedure, the reaction of N -(3-iodopyridin-2-yl)pivalamide ( $302 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99$ mmol, 1 equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $609 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $347 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield) as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $20 \%$ - $100 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $104-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $14.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.5,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.59,170.73,151.91,149.99,147.13,140.05,128.86,121.72$, 83.33, 57.75, 52.38, 39.37, 32.46, 27.83, 27.48.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3160,2970,1749,1738,1697,1437,1365$, and $1140 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3}, 480.27043$; found, 480.26943 .

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(6-chloropyridin-3-yl)propanoate (13):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-chloro-5-iodopyridine ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $379 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $318 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $3 \%-25 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/hexanes).

Mp: $87-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20$ (dd, $J=14.2,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.21,151.77,150.50,149.81,139.90,132.05,123.80,83.55$, 58.48, 52.46, 32.69, 27.81.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3007,1970,1954,2937,2916,2848,1743,1729,1690,1340,1274,1201,1161$, 1019 , and $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 415.16438$; found, 415.16376.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(2-chloropyridin-3-yl)propanoate (14):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-chloro-3-iodopyridine ( $239 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $611 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $383 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $306 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%-30 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $71-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.23-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$ (dd, $J=14.2,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.20,151.76,151.28,148.04,140.43,132.15,122.51,83.39$, 56.99, 52.44, 33.73, 27.76.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2980, 2952, 2936, 1794, 1745, 1696, 1367, 1137, 1126, and $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 415.16304$; found, 415.16225.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(2-(methylthio)pyrimidin-4-yl) propano-ate (15):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 4-iodo-2-(methylthio)pyrimidine ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99$ mmol, 1 equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $71-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.2$,
$5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38$ (s, 18H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.34,170.44,166.83,156.94,151.56,116.27,83.26,57.06$, 52.38, 37.99, 27.83, 13.94.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 2983,2940,1948,1703,1565,1550,1451,1296,1162,1136$, and $778 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, 428.18498$; found, 428.18414 .

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-4-yl)propanoate (16):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 4-iodopyridine ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $609 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( $376 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%-80 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $97-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 8.49-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.1$, $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37$ (s, 18H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.32,151.69,149.68,146.72,124.84,83.35,58.26,52.41$, 35.65, 27.80.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3005,2970,2948,1748,1736,1690,1366,1228,1217$, and $1140 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 381.20201$; found, 381.20167.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(5-methoxypyridin-3-yl)propanoate (17):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 4-bromo-2-methoxypyridine ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $604 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester $(385 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $69-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52$ $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.9,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.39,164.30,151.60,149.37,146.61,118.11,111.57,83.24$, 58.24, 53.21, 52.39, 35.48, 27.76.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2979, 2950, 1745, 1697, 1613, 1561, 1380, 1270, 1244, 1136, 1112, and 774 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 411.21258$; found, 411.21182.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(2-chloropyridin-4-yl)propanoate (18):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-chloro-4-iodopyridine ( $244 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $352 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%-30 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $104-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.04,151.74,151.47,150.18,149.47,125.22,123.61,83.59$, 57.91, 52.52, 35.34, 27.80.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3054,3001,2982,2972,1743,1727,1692,1596,1375,1275,1139,1128$, and $1010 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 415.16304$; found, 415.16263.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(2-chloro-3-methylpyridin-4-yl) propanoate (19):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-chloro-4-iodo-3-methylpyridine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99$ mmol, 1 equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester $(381 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%-30 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/hexanes).

Mp: $83-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.7,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.33 (s, 18H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.07,152.30,151.69,147.95,146.12,131.69,124.78,83.48$, 57.40, 52.50, 33.75, 27.72, 15.62.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 2996,2980,2955,2936,1752,1741,1706,1365,1249,1136,1113$, and 1015 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 429.17869$; found, 429.17794.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(isoquinolin-1-yl)propanoate (20):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 1-iodoisoquinoline ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate $\quad(610 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 2 ~ e q u i v), ~$ $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $381 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $1 \%-10 \%$ tetrahydrofuran/dichloromethane).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3,6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77$ (dd, $J=9.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34$ (s, 18H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 171.06, 158.10, 151.73, 141.96, 136.17, 129.83, 127.54, 127.28, 127.13, 124.95, 119.46, 82.85, 58.20, 52.39, 35.13, 27.80.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2978, 1793, 1741, 1696, 1366, 1381, 1273, 1251, 1226, 1135, 1109, and 764 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 431.21766$; found, 431.21682.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl) prop-anoate (21):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 4-bromo-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine ( 199 mg , $1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate ( $611 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $381 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) provided the product ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield) as an off-white crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography (5\% - 50\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=3.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.73,159.19,151.62,151.44,150.75,125.53,118.46,99.57$, 83.12, 57.58, 52.45, 36.01, 27.80.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3127,3002,2974,2852,1745,1691,1583,1379,1346,1142,1119,971$, and $748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4}, 421.20816$; found, 421.20734 .

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (25):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate (638 mg, $2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided an inseparable $3: 1$ mixture of diastereomers ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ - $60 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

Mp: $84-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.56-8.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right)$, $7.55\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 5.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.87(\mathrm{dq}, J=9.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right) 1.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.18 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.05,171.03,164.27,162.63,152.39,151.77,149.31,149.06$, $136.19,135.96,123.26,123.15,121.37,121.14,83.10,82.63,62.12,61.10,52.11,42.72,28.02$, 27.90, 20.26, 18.57

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 2979,2936,1793,1745,1699,1523,1365,1143,1122,1104,845,758$, and 749 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 395.21766$; found, 395.21700.

methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-phenyl-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoate (26):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-phenylacrylate ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester $(340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided an inseparable $4: 1$ mixture of diastereomers ( $246 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ - $60 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

For the mixture of diastereomers:
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.44\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right), 8.40(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.8,1.9,0.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.88-7.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.13(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right)$, $6.99-6.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.54\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.3,4.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 6.50(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5,4.8,1.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right)$, $3.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right), 3.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (150 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 170.44,170.10,161.90,161.21,152.46,152.18,149.07,148.50$, $142.30,140.57,135.87,135.56,129.37,129.05,128.27,128.05,126.63,126.58,124.28,123.71$, $120.92,120.87,81.77,81.76,61.79,60.10,54.88,53.70,51.22,27.67,27.65$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2970, 2941, 1753, 1736, 1719, 1367, 1227, 1139, 1108, 770, and $755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 457.23331$; found, 457.23254 .


## methyl 2-(phenylamino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (27):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), methyl 2-(phenylamino)but-2-enoate ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), [ $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.30$ equiv) provided an inseparable 5:4 mixture of diastereomers ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ yield) as a yellow crystalline solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

Mp: $62-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.64-8.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.65-7.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.23$ $-7.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 6.58-6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 4.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.62$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.53-3.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.88,173.55,161.79,161.66,149.25,149.16,147.22,147.19$, $136.56,136.51,129.20,129.18,122.47,122.38,121.96,118.29,117.88,113.68,113.24,61.90$, 61.74, 51.94, 51.86, 44.35, 43.78, 17.45, 15.43.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3373,3053,2969,2950,1732,1601,1590,1507,1149,908$, and $691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 271.14410$; found, 271.14386.

methyl 2-((4-bromophenyl)(methyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (28):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-((4-bromophenyl)(methyl)amino)but-2-enoate (570 mg, $2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided an inseparable $4: 3$ mixture of diastereomers ( $311 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.56-8.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 8.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.60(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.49\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.40-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right), 7.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.12\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5,4.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.03(\mathrm{ddd}, J$ $\left.=7.5,4.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 6.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 4.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.67-3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 2.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 2.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{drl}}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.45,171.43,162.71,161.95,149.34,149.20,148.88,136.43$, $136.24,131.82,131.41,123.42,122.47,121.71,121.62,115.45,115.08,109.65,109.54,66.70$, 65.52, 51.72, 51.52, 41.75, 41.69, 33.12, 32.78, 18.50, 18.23.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ 2990, 2948, 2904, 2817, 1718, 1648, 1490, 1434, 1252, 1202, 1120, 1108, 1042, 811,776 , and $766 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, 363.07027$; found, 363.07074.

methyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)(methyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoate 29):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)(methyl)amino)but-2-enoate (477 mg, $2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv),
$\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $335 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided an inseparable $3: 1$ mixture of diastereomers ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (5\% - 40\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.53\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 8.49(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,1.9,0.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.59\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.50\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.13-7.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.04\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,4.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 6.98-6.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.87-6.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.75-6.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 4.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.64-3.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.25,174.10,171.73,163.11,162.52,152.44,152.29,149.28$, $149.18,144.91,144.67,136.36,136.15,123.41,122.66,121.57,121.51,116.27,115.58,114.61$, $114.18,83.36,82.77,68.54,67.00,55.69,55.57,52.99,52.82,51.43,51.24,41.69,41.61,33.34$, 33.15, 25.78, 25.65, 18.49, 18.30, 8.04, 7.86.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3043,2949,2832,1730,1589,1509,1242,1165,1034,991,817,786$, and 749 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 315.17032$; found, 315.17028.

methyl 4-((1-methoxy-1-oxo-3-(pyridin-2-yl)butan-2-yl)(methyl)amino)benz-
oate (30):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 4-((1-methoxy-1-oxobut-2-en-2-yl)(methyl)amino)benzoate ( $530 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34$ mmol, 1.3 equiv) provided an inseparable 5:2 mixture of diastereomers ( $267 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield) as a low-melting white solid after purification by flash column chromatography (5\% - 50\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.56\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,1.8,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr1}}\right), 8.40(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,1.8,0.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.99-7.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.82-7.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.62\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.47$ $\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,4.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right), 7.05$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.00\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,4.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right), 6.69$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 4.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right)$, $3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.71-3.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 3.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 2.83$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}$ ), $1.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.33,171.29,167.22,162.51,161.58,153.42,152.98,149.37$, $149.23,136.51,136.30,131.36,130.90,123.42,122.32,121.80,121.72,118.59,118.40,112.04$, $111.93,65.62,64.74,51.95,51.73,51.56,51.50,41.95,41.75,33.27,32.92,18.52,18.19$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2949, 2839, 1735, 1705, 1602, 1518, 1433, 1276, 1186, 1110, and $747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 343.16523$; found, 343.16512.

methyl 2-(methyl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)butanoate (31):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(methyl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)amino)but-2-enoate ( $551 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided an inseparable 5:2 mixture of diastereomers ( $274 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%-25 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

For the mixture of diastereomers:
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.55\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 8.41\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$, $7.62\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$, $7.27-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 7.16-7.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$,
$5.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 4.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.64(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.5,7.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 3.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.20$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{drl}}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.38,171.35,162.54,161.69,152.30,151.92,149.37,136.54$, $136.35,126.51(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.05(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.96(\mathrm{q}, J=268.6), 124.92(\mathrm{q}, J=268.7)$, $123.46,122.41,121.82,118.97(\mathrm{q}, J=32), 118.86(\mathrm{q}, J=33), 112.59,112.35,65.90,64.90,51.91$, 51.71, 41.89, 33.20, 32.83, 18.54, 18.21.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta-61.01$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2971, 2953, 2830, 1735, 1614, 1526, 1454, 1326, 1296, 1163, 1104, 1069, and $819 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}, 353.14714$; found, 353.14797.

( $\pm$ )

( $\pm$ )
tert-butyl (2-oxo-6-(pyridin-2-yl)cyclohexyl)carbamate (32): Following the general procedure, 2-bromopyridine ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was reacted with tert-butyl (6-oxocyclohex-1-en-1-yl)carbamate (421 mg, $2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $331 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv). Analysis of the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated a mixture of stereoisomers (cis:trans $=2: 9$ ), and purification by flash column
chromatography ( $5 \%-100 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) provided the title compounds (trans, 170 mg , $59 \%$ yield; cis, $52 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ yield).

## Major isomer (trans):

$\mathbf{R f}_{\text {: }} 0.3$ (50\% ethyl acetate/hexanes)

Mp: $181-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.67-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5,4.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{dddd}, J=16.9,9.9,8.6,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 207.18,160.21,155.18,149.26,136.35,122.36,121.98,79.31$, 62.50, 54.66, 41.08, 31.54, 28.04, 26.15.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3206,3025,2978,2935,2864,1737,1714,1686,1555,1014$, and $735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{116} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 291.17032$; found, 291.16985 .

## Minor isomer (cis):

$\mathbf{R f}_{\text {: }} 0.7$ (50\% ethyl acetate/hexanes)

Mp: $92-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.52-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dtd}, J=$ $7.5,5.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.2,5.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=$
$5.9,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{dq}, J=11.2$, $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34$ (s, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 206.09,160.80,155.71,148.28,136.57,123.33,121.51,79.39$, 60.08, 48.74, 40.26, 30.79, 28.25, 20.85.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3448,3005,2969,2944,1737,1719,1694,1494,1365,1352,1229$, and 770 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, 291.17032; found, 291.16984 .


2-(methyl(phenyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)cyclohexan-1-one (33): Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine $(160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $)$, 2-(methyl(phenyl)amino)cyclohex-2-en-1-one ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ( $9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield) as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%-80 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).

Mp: $111-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.52-8.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{tdd}, J=7.7,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-$ $6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{td}, J=7.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-$ $3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.72(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 196.49,149.02,144.65,143.16,128.88,118.43,114.79,39.50$, 39.39, 26.01, 22.95.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 2950,2933,2866,1710,1596,1505,745$, and $690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ON}_{2}, 281.16484$; found, 281.16465 .


## 4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)-5-(pyridin-2-yl)hexan-3-one (34):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)hex-4-en-3-one (406 mg, $2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(p p y)_{2}(d t b b p y)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%-30 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.46(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.9,1.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,4.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81$ (s, 3H), $2.37(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.96-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.42,163.84,149.80,136.35,129.41,123.79,121.22,117.36$, 112.76, 68.84, 39.67, 34.46, 32.65, 18.53, 7.42.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3061,3027,2976,2937,2906,2816,1682,1625,1597,1499,1355,1339,1310$, $1221,1184,1164,1109,747$, and $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ON}_{2}, 283.18049$; found, 283.18040.

benzyl
(2S,4S)-2-(tert-butyl)-4-((5-hydroxypyridin-2-yl)methyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3carboxylate (33):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 6-bromopyridin-3-ol (43 mg, $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 32 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ - $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.57 (s, 1H), $5.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=56.7,14.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.8,156.0,153.2,146.9,136.0,135.2,128.7,128.7,128.5$, $125.5,125.3,96.6,68.5,58.0,39.5,37.2,25.1$.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3066, 3033, 2960, 2925, 2872, 1790, 1717, 1575, 1481, 1392, 1346, 1335, $1272,1228,1198,1172,1121,1037,976,908,839,720$, and $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 385.1758$; found, 385.17753 .

benzyl
(2S,4S)-2-(tert-butyl)-4-((2-chloropyridin-4-yl)methyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3carboxylate (34):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-chloro-4-iodopyridine ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 32 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (5\% - 30\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.6,2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=70.4,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.4,155.7,151.8,149.7,149.1,134.8,129.1,129.0,128.8$, 125.1, 123.5, 96.6, 68.9, 58.1, 38.4, 37.3, 25.0.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3063, 3033, 2970, 2873, 1790, 1720, 1594, 1549, 1481, 1388, 1341, 1305, $1230,1200,1173,1122,1087,1036,980,899,878,839,746$, and $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 403.1419$; found, 403.1414.

benzyl (2S,4S)-4-((7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5- oxooxazolid-ine-3-carboxylate (35):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 4-bromo-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine ( 50 mg , 0.25 mmol , 1 equiv), 32 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.01 equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $20 \%-70 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.8,157.8,155.8,151.5,150.9,135.0,128.6,128.5,128.3$, $125.5,117.9,99.5,96.7,68.2,56.7,39.0,37.3,25.1$.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3202, 3133, 2969, 1792, 1721, 1585, 1393, 1349, 1307, 1230, 1194, 1119, $1043,976,903,733$, and $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}, 409.1870$; found, 409.1866.


## benzyl (2S,4S)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxo-4-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)oxazolidine-3-carboxylate (36):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 32 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ - $40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}$ $=4.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,5.3$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.38(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 172.0,156.7,155.9,149.4,136.2,135.3,128.6,128.4,128.3$, 123.7, 121.9, 96.4, 68.1, 57.5, 41.4, 37.2, 25.0.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3064, 3034, 3010, 2970, 2873, 1791, 1716, 1593, 1475, 1438, 1391, 1347, $1305,1231,1173,1190,1121,1036,977,932,825,731$, and $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 369.1809$; found, 369.1804.

benzyl (2S,4S)-2-(tert-butyl)-4-((1-(methoxycarbonyl)piperidin-4-yl)methyl)-5-
oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate (38):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 4-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl) 1-methyl
piperidine-1,4-dicarboxylate ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 32 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv),
$\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33$
mmol, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ yield) as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography (5\% - 30\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).

H NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.59-5.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-$
$1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

13C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.5,156.0,153.9,134.6,129.7,129.1,128.8,95.6,71.7$,
68.1, 52.5, 44.1, 43.6, 38.8, 37.1, 31.5, 26.9.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 2959, 2852, 1792, 1724, 1701, 1472, 1448, 1396, 1309, 1258, 1193,
$1125,1043,966,916,757,731$, and $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for C23H33O6N2, 433.2333; found, 433.2333.

benzyl (2S,4S)-4-(but-3-en-1-yl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate
Following the general procedure, the reaction of 3-bromoprop-1-ene ( $22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 32 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) provided the product ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (5\% - 30\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=16.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.22-5.12(\mathrm{td} \mathrm{J}=16.9,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30$ $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.7,156.1,137.0,135.3,128.8,128.8,128.6,115.9,96.4,68.5$, 56.5, 37.1, 32.5, 30.3, 25.0.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3068, 3034, 2960, 2873, 1790, 1716, 1641, 1391, 1324, 1282, 1195, 1117, 1041, 979, 914, and $968 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}, 332.1856$; found, 332.1859.


## benzyl (2S,4S)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxo-4-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)oxazolidine-3-carboxylate (40):

A 20-mL screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with $\mathbf{3 2}(289 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65$ mmol. 1.3 equiv). The tube was sealed PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a Schlenk line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). The reaction vial was disconnected from the nitrogen line and massed. The trifluoromethyl iodide lecture bottle outlet was fitted with a rubber septum, and a cannula needle (cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was used to condense trifluoromethyl iodide into the reaction tube. The reaction tube and contents were massed once more to measure the loading of
trifluoromethyl iodide ( $861 \mathrm{mg}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4$ equiv). The reaction was then stirred for 18 hours under irradiation by blue LEDs. The reaction was quenched with aqueous sodium bicarbonate then extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried over sodium sulfate then concentrated by rotary evaporation to provide the product ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d6, $\left.80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=15.5,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO-d6, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\delta 165.3,152.5$, 133.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 124.3 (q, J = $278.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 95.9,68.0,66.7,37.6,33.8(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=28.5), 26.0$.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-59.92$.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3035, 2965, 1799, 1732, 1483, 1394, 1333, 1290, 1230, 1200, 1138, 1117, $1044,1018,976,916,819,798,767,755$, and $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NF}_{3}, 360.1417$; found, 360.1421.

benzyl (2S,4S)-2-(tert-butyl)-4-(2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-2,2-difluoroethyl)-5- oxooxazol-idine-3-carboxylate (41):

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromo-2,2-diethyl (bromodifluoromethyl)phosphonate ( $89 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 32 ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester $(164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ - 50\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{tddd}, \mathrm{J}=12.4,6.8,5.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,1.0$ Hz, 6H), 0.95 (s, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.1,155.6,135.1,128.6,128.5,121.0,119.6,119.3,117.9$, $117.6,116.1,96.8,68.5,64.9,64.8,64.8,64.8,51.1,51.1,51.0,51.0,37.3,37.1,37.0,36.9,24.8$, 16.4, 16.3.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR (282 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-113.20(\mathrm{dddd}, \mathrm{J}=299.8,103.3,32.6,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR $\left(243 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.81(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=104.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 2976, 2875, 1796, 1720, 1482, 1392, 1291, 1270, 1236, 1197, 1177, 1105, $1010,977,791,732$, and $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{NF}_{2} \mathrm{P}, 478.1801$; found, 478.1802.

benzyl (2S,4S)-4-(3-amino-2,2-difluoro-3-oxopropyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine3carboxylate (42): Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromo-2,2difluoroacetamide ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 32 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ and Hantzsch ester $(82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (5\%-30\% ethyl acetate/hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26$ $-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.0,165.4(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=29.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.9,135.1,128.8,128.8,128.7$, $116.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=254.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 97.1,68.8,52.3,37.0,36.7(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=24.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 24.9$.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-101.11--104.68(\mathrm{~m})$.

FTIR (neat) vmax: 3446, 3354, 3198, 2964, 2875, 1793, 1719, 1607, 1394, 1319, 1196, 1037, $1014,909.731$, and $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}, 385.1570$; found, 385.1570.

### 3.5.6 Deprotection Procedures and Characterization Data



## methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoic acid (2A):

To a stirring solution of methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoate (2) ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 2,5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{LiOH}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The resultant solution was stirred until consumption of starting material was observed by thin layer chromatography. The reaction mixture was extracted once with ethyl acetate, and the organic extract was discarded. The aqueous phase was gently acidified with 0.1 M HCl to pH 4 and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to provide the product ( $172 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield) as a clear, colorless crystalline solid.

Mp: $164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ - $7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3$, $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.68,158.02,152.08,147.19,138.60,124.41,122.43,83.24$, 58.25, 39.20, 27.94.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3456,3068,2970,2930,2853,1748,1708,1366,1108,1062$, and $778 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 367.18636$; found, 367.18591.


## 2-(2-ammonio-3-methoxy-3-oxopropyl)pyridin-1-ium ditrifluoroacetate (2B):

To a stirring solution of of methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoate (2) ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) was added trifluoroacetic acid ( 1.5 mL ) dropwise. The resultant solution was allowed to continue stirring, and after 10 minutes consumption of starting material was observed by thin layer chromatography. The reaction mixture was concentrated directly by rotary evaporation. The solution was re-dissolved in dichloromethane and concentrated once more to quantitatively provide the product as a pale, yellow low-melting solid (203 mg, >99\% yield).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{COD}\right) \delta 8.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{td}, J=5.9,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{COD}\right) \delta 167.82,159.86(\mathrm{q}, J=37.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 151.49,144.91,143.13,127.44$, $125.33,117.61(\mathrm{q}, J=289.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 52.60,51.59,33.60,26.25$.
${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR $\left(282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{COD}\right) \delta-77.45$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 2964,2563,2111,1746,1666,1651,1172,1157,1127,836,798$, and $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 181.09715$; found, 181.09670.


2-amino-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoic acid dihydrochloride (2C):

A $20-\mathrm{mL}$ scintillation vial equipped with stir bar was charged with methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoate (2) (190 mg, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), EtOH ( 5 mL ) and $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resultant solution was stirred until consumption of starting material was observed ( $\sim 1$ hour). The reaction mixture was acidified with 1 M HCl and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was reconstituted in EtOH , and precipitated NaCl was removed by vacuum filtration. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Concentrated HCl (3 mL ) was added dropwise to the residue and stirred 10 minutes. The mixture was concentrated directly to provide the product ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 169.65,149.60,147.27,141.42,128.21,126.15,51.60,33.20$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3399,2780,2934,1702,1567,1477,1403,1367,1243,1139,1102,1101,921$, and $750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 167.08150$; found, 167.08110.

(S)-2-amino-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoic acid dihydrochloride (42):

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added $23(20.2 \mathrm{mg})$, and concentrated aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes then concentrated by rotary evaporation to afford the product $(12.8,98 \%)$ as a white solid. The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the values of the racemate (2C) reported herein, with the exception of optical rotation.
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+31.7(c 0.1,1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl})\left(\right.$ lit., $\left.{ }^{31}+46.0(c 0.1,1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl})\right)$

methyl (S)-2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-(pyridin-2-yl)propanoate (42A):

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added $\mathbf{2 4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was placed under nitrogen atmosphere then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Trimethylsilyl)diazomethane solution ( 2.0 M in ether, $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added dropwise via syringe and the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{AcOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ then concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was dissolved in saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 1 mL ) and THF ( 1 mL ). The

[^33]solution was set to stir and chilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzyl chloroformate ( $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added dropwise via syringe, and the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred until HPLC indicated the starting material had been consumed. The reaction was concentrated to remove THF then diluted with water ( 1 mL ). The aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 2 mL ), and the combined extracts were concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC to afford the product as a colorless oil. Chiral HPLC analysis (ODH, $15 \% \mathrm{IPA} /$ hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) indicated $97 \%$ ee for the major enantiomer ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major) $=14.949 \mathrm{~min}, t_{\mathrm{R}}($ minor $\left.)=21.299 \mathrm{~min}\right)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.4,5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 172.19,157.10,156.18,149.30,136.71,136.52,128.59$, 128.22, 128.20, 123.81, 121.99, 67.00, 53.48, 52.46, 39.06.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3333,3032,2951,1716,1593,1507,1436,1340,1210,1050,911,752$, and 670 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 315.13393$; found, 315.13349.

### 3.5.7 Chiral HPLC Data



Figure S3.1. Chiral HPLC of Racemic Dha


This is a result compounds table. Use the footer button in the table format dialog box to define the summations in the last table line.

| \# | Compound Name | Amount | Resp. | Resp.\% | Exp. RT |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Meas.RT

Figure S3.2. Chiral HPLC of Enantioenriched Dha


Figure S3.3. Chiral HPLC of Racemic Cbz-pyridylalanine methyl ester


Figure S3.4 Chiral HPLC of Enantioenriched Cbz-pyrdylalanine methyl ester

### 3.5.8 Stern-Volmer Fluorescence Quenching Experiments:

All fluorescence measurements were recorded using a Horiba Scientific Dual-FL Fluorometer. Quenching studies were conducted in DMSO:H2O (5:1) at $20 \pm 0.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Peltier temperature controller) with an $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ concentration of $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Raw fluorescence intensity was measured at $\lambda=591 \mathrm{~nm}$ after excitation at $\lambda=450 \mathrm{~nm}$ in a quartz cuvette with a path length of 1 cm. Measurements using Hantzsch ester, dehydroalanine, or 2-bromopyridine as quenchers were taken in triplicate at concentrations of $0,50,100,250$, and $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$. At quencher concentration of $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$, additional duplicate measurements were collected prior to successive quenchers to maintain accuracy. SternVolmer plots were generated using Igor Pro 7; data points were fit with a linear trend line.


Figure S3.5 Overlay of Stern-Volmer Plots


Figure S3.6 Stern-Volmer Quenching Plot of Hantzsch Ester


Figure S3.7 Stern-Volmer Quenching Plot of Dehydroalanine


Figure S3.8 Stern-Volmer Quenching Plot of 2-bromopyridine

| $[\mathbf{H E H}](\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M})$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | avg | stdev | $\mathbf{I}_{0} / \mathbf{I}$ | error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 5966.1219 | 5849.4855 | - | 5907.8037 | 82.4743 | 1.0000 | 0.0140 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 5819.8148 | 5929.6318 | 5902.7293 | 5884.0586 | 57.2398 | 1.0040 | 0.0098 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 5841.5493 | 5819.6902 | 5813.9702 | 5825.0699 | 14.5553 | 1.0142 | 0.0025 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | 5374.5285 | 5468.2221 | 5461.4238 | 5434.7248 | 52.2422 | 1.0870 | 0.0104 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | 5200.5652 | 5253.6675 | 5331.9516 | 5262.0614 | 66.0942 | 1.1227 | 0.0141 |


| $[\mathbf{D H A}](\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M})$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | avg | stdev | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{0}} / \mathbf{I}$ | error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 5839.3979 | 5914.3043 | 5903.3400 | 5885.6807 | 40.4553 | 1.0519 | 0.0072 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 6095.6538 | 6197.9486 | 6307.0152 | 6200.2059 | 105.6987 | 0.9985 | 0.0170 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 6173.1678 | 6181.4130 | 6138.4126 | 6164.3311 | 22.8216 | 1.0043 | 0.0037 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | 6057.7748 | 6100.8092 | 5884.9329 | 6014.5056 | 114.2576 | 1.0294 | 0.0196 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | 5968.5599 | 5976.2475 | 5967.5891 | 5970.7988 | 4.7436 | 1.0369 | 0.0008 |


| $[\mathbf{2 - B r P y}](\boldsymbol{\mu})$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | avg | stdv | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{0}} / \mathbf{I}$ | error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 6151.0503 | 6231.0219 | - | 6191.0361 | 56.5485 | 0.9507 | 0.0087 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 5805.9303 | 5890.0415 | 5918.6204 | 5871.5308 | 58.5812 | 1.0024 | 0.0100 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 5951.2881 | 5923.7698 | 5915.9019 | 5930.3199 | 18.5802 | 0.9925 | 0.0031 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | 5829.0025 | 5848.4549 | 6057.4723 | 5911.6432 | 126.6656 | 0.9956 | 0.0213 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | 5840.9751 | 5825.5125 | 5946.4111 | 5870.9662 | 65.7930 | 1.0025 | 0.0112 |

Figure S3.9. Measured Fluorescence Intensities at $\lambda=591 \mathbf{n m}$ (counts)

### 3.5.9 $\alpha$-Deuteration With $\mathbf{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Solvent:

Following the general procedure, the reaction of 2-bromopyridine ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)acrylate (120 mg, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0021 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) and Hantzsch ester $(76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv) provided the product ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield) as white solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%-40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes). Integration of the alpha proton 1 H NMR signal was used to determine the percent of deuterium incorporation ( $94 \% \mathrm{D}$ ).


Figure S3.10. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR: $\alpha$-protio-pyridylalanine ester


Figure S3.11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-deutero-pyridylalanine ester

## Chapter 4

# Aminoalkyl Radicals as Powerful Intermediates for the Synthesis of Unnatural Amino Acids and Peptides 

Adapted from: R. A. Aycock, C. J. Pratt, and N. T. Jui Aminoalkyl Radicals as Powerful Intermediates for the Synthesis of Unnatural Amino Acids and Peptides. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 9115-9119.
C. J. Pratt contributed the scope of $\alpha$-amino radical conjugate addition to chiral DHA radical accepto and the preparation of peptide 20.

### 4.1 Abstract

A robust method for the direct addition of unactivated tertiary amines to dehydroalanine (Dha) derivatives has been developed. This method, which is driven by a photoredox catalyst and light, operates through $\alpha \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization mechanism, where $\alpha$-amino radical formation triggers highly chemoselective radical conjugate addition. This mild protocol effectively activates highly complex amine structures for coupling with a range of Dha substrates to furnish unnatural amino acids and peptides.

### 4.2 Introduction

Chemical modification of biomolecules is an important challenge in modern organic synthesis. Elegant biochemical strategies that involve enzymatic ligation systems ${ }^{1}$ or programmed incorporation of non-natural amino acids ${ }^{2}$ have been developed. These methods, in conjunction with biorthogonal "click" reactions, ${ }^{3,4}$ play a central role in nonnatural protein and/or peptide synthesis. In addition, there are many tremendously impactful chemical approaches that leverage the native reactivity of specific residues (e.g., cysteine, lysine, N -terminal amine) to achieve bioconjugation. ${ }^{5}$ However, the abundance of nucleophilic species, the requirement for aqueous media, and strict thermal inflexibility are daunting barriers in the translation of other reaction

[^34]modes to synthetic peptide manipulation. Recent advances involving olefin metathesis, ${ }^{6,7}$ nucleophilic aromatic substitution (SNAr), ${ }^{8,9}$ and transition-metal mediated processes ${ }^{10}$ have yielded synthetic methods that operate in very complex environments with impressive efficiency and selectivity.


Figure 4.1. Strategy for direct conjugate addition of unactivated amines to Dha.

[^35]Radical intermediates are well-known for their unique propensities to chemoselectively engage olefinic species in polar, heteroatom-rich environments. MacMillan has shown the remarkable ability of photoredox catalysis to perform selective activation of C-terminal carboxylates. ${ }^{11,12}$ Upon single electron oxidation and decarboxylation, the resulting radicals react with conjugate acceptors in macrocyclization processes or site-selective intermolecular ligations with very high efficiency. Davis ${ }^{13}$ and Park ${ }^{14}$ independently demonstrated that primary alkyl radicals (formed through stoichiometric reduction of the corresponding halides) undergo radical conjugate addition to dehydroalanine (Dha), thereby introducing a new strategy for backbone alteration through $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation. The power of this approach is underscored by the fact that numerous methods exist for the efficient production of Dha residues, even in intact proteins. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{We}$ describe the development of an alternative strategy for site-selective peptide conjugation that utilizes unactivated tertiary amines as substrates that effectively engage Dha, through a photoredox $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization mechanism (illustrated in Figure 1).

Photochemical activation of amine substrates, through the formation of $\alpha$-amino radicals, has emerged as a powerful synthetic pathway. Building on the pioneering work of Mariano and

[^36]Pandey, ${ }^{16,17}$ in addition to studies by MacMillan, ${ }^{18}$ Reiser, ${ }^{19}$ Nishibayashi, ${ }^{20}$ Yoon ${ }^{21,22}$ and others ${ }^{23}$ have demonstrated that $\alpha$-amino radicals, generated by visible-light photoredox catalysis, ${ }^{24,25}$ can be utilized in a diverse array of powerful synthetic transformations. These radical intermediates engage a range of olefin substrates, where the most general systems involve the reaction of functionalized amine substrate classes (e.g., $\alpha$-silylamines, amino acids, or aminoalkylboronates) with electron-poor olefins. Unfunctionalized amine derivatives also serve as precursors to these radical intermediates, either through direct hydrogen atom abstraction ${ }^{26}$ or sequential singleelectron oxidation/ $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ deprotonation ${ }^{27}$ Importantly, asymmetric amine radical conjugate addition

[^37]processes have recently been described by Yoon ${ }^{22}$, Melchiorre ${ }^{23 \mathrm{~d}}$, Kang, ${ }^{28}$ Gong, ${ }^{29}$ and Meggers ${ }^{30}$ using anilines or amines containing fragmentable groups. Here, we demonstrate that a wide range of unactivated, complex amine subtypes undergo reaction with Dha substrates with exceptional efficiency. This method operates under mild conditions, giving rise to unnatural amino acid derivatives with complete diastereocontrol. Furthermore, the highly chemoselective nature of this process enabled the development of a new system for peptide functionalization.

### 4.3 Results and discussion

To evaluate the feasibility of this amine-Dha conjugate addition, we studied the coupling of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline and the Karady-Beckwith chiral Dha substrate ${ }^{31}$ (see the Supporting Information (SI) for detailed reaction development). Under irradiation by a commercial blue LED in acetonitrile, the iridium-based photoredox catalyst $\left.\operatorname{Ir}\left[\mathrm{dF}^{\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)}\right)_{p p y}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})^{+}$mediates the desired amine conjugate addition with excellent efficiency. According to the mechanistic blueprint that is illustrated in Figure 4.1, oxidative $\alpha$-amino radical formation is followed by radical conjugate addition to the Dha substrate. After reduction of the resulting radical species, protonation of the corresponding enolate delivers the desired product. Inspired by a report by Molander, ${ }^{32}$ we elected to utilize an additive survey to rapidly assess the chemoselectivity of the outlined process. The outlined catalytic amine conjugation was tolerant of indole, phenol, sodium propionate, and

[^38]imidazole, (as models for tryptophan, tyrosine, carboxylates, and histidine, respectively). This process also functioned with added butyl mercaptan (as a proxy for cysteine), although thiol conjugate addition was competitive.

We investigated the scope of the amine substrate, again employing the Karady-Beckwith Dha as a coupling partner. As shown in Table 4.1, a wide range of aniline derivatives with varied electronic properties smoothly undergo activation and subsequent aminoalkyl conjugate addition, giving the corresponding unnatural amino acid derivatives with complete selectivity for the cisisomer (in accord with previous findings, ${ }^{31 \mathrm{c}}$ see the SI for deprotection conditions, analysis of

## Table 4.1. Amine C-H Activation/Conjugate Addition to Chiral Dehydroalanine (Dha):

## Tertiary Amine Scope


enantiopurity). We found that aniline substrates that contain electron-withdrawing groups are especially active under these conditions. For example, the benzaldehyde function, which is an important biorthogonal coupling unit, was cleanly retained, and the desired adduct $\mathbf{1}$ was produced in $91 \%$ yield. The 3- methoxy-substituted aniline was smoothly transformed to oxazolidinone 2 ( $81 \%$ yield), although the corresponding ortho- or para-methoxyaniline derivatives were unreactive, which is analogous to previous findings. As expected, the aryl bromide was preserved under these conditions giving rise to $\mathbf{3}$ in $84 \%$ yield, containing a handle for further functionalization. Importantly, the arylamine unit is not required for effective coupling with Dha. Specifically, methyldicyclohexylamine reacted to give 5 as the exclusive product, but the use of Nmethylmorpholine gave rise to three isomeric products. In this case, the major product ( $\mathbf{6}$, formed as a single diastereomer) resulted from methyl activation, and two minor products came from addition from either prochiral face of the endocyclic $\alpha$-methylene position. We observed selective formation of allylic and propargylic amines 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ (in $82 \%$ and $25 \%$ yield, respectively), although conversion of the clickable alkyne substrate was low.

Encouraged by these results, we applied this system to the direct functionalization of complex bioactive tertiary amines. Selective activation of the N-methyl groups in the morphinan dextromethorphan and calcium channel blocker diltiazem occurred under standard conditions, giving rise to $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ in acceptable yields ( $64 \%$ and $62 \%$, respectively). Regioselective reaction of the N -arylpiperidine repaglinide gave two diastereomers in equal amounts ( $71 \%$ combined yield), arising from nonselective methylene activation. Finally, standard conditions smoothly delivered $\mathbf{1 2}$ from the complex alkaloid strychnine. In this case, activation of the tertiary amine led to regioisomeric products (indicated by the asterisk in Table 4.1) that arose from the addition
of the olefin to the convex face of both the D and E rings. Unless otherwise indicated, the shown products were formed with complete regiocontrol, as determined by NMR and HPLC analysis.

Next, we investigated the direct addition of complex amines to Dha peptides, and the results are shown in Table 4.2. We prepared Dha peptide $\mathbf{1 3}$ using standard solution-phase peptide-coupling procedures and subsequent elimination of the internal cysteine thiol. Under slightly modified conditions (5.0 equiv amine, $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ photocatalyst, blue light, DMSO, room temperature), effective conjugate addition of amine substrates was observed by HPLC. Regiochemical assignments (i.e., amine activation sites) were made in analogy to RCA adducts from Table 4.1, and yields refer to isolated yields of diastereomeric mixtures that were obtained by preparative HPLC. Site-repaglinide could be directly appended to this peptide backbone with good efficiency (15: $49 \%$ yield, $1: 1$ diastereomeric ratio (dr); 16: $41 \%$ yield, 2:2:2:1 dr). The strychnine-peptide conjugate $\mathbf{1 7}$ was furnished as an inseparable mixture of regioisomers and diastereomers. Again, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation occurred at both of the $\alpha$-amino methylene positions within this natural product. Importantly, exclusive activation of the desired aniline (or amine) was observed and a range of acidic or otherwise challenging groups were well-tolerated.

This mild tertiary amine-Dha conjugate addition protocol is also useful for site-selective delivery of small molecules to peptides using a linker-based strategy. More specifically, we envision a system where installation of a tertiary amine (or aniline) linker to a chemical scaffold (payload) would enable site-selective delivery to a peptide backbone. As a first example, cholic acid was transformed to the corresponding 4-(dimethylamino)benzylamide and subjected to standard reaction conditions to give the ternary peptide-linker-drug adduct 18 in good yield (54\%, 2:1 dr). These results demonstrate the uniquely effective ability to achieve selective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization in the presence of other weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, acidic groups, hydrolytically labile
moieties, oxidizable elements, electron-rich aromatics, and heterocycles.

To further examine the tolerance of this process to other potentially reactive residues, we constructed a small series of Dha-containing tripeptides. Reaction of these substrates with dimethylaniline gave rise to products 19-22. Specifically, $\mathbf{1 9}$ was produced in $86 \%$ yield from

## Table 4.2. Amine C-H Activation/Conjugate Addition to Dha Peptides: Substrate Scope





Boc-Trp-Dha-Tyr-OH, where the desired alkylamine conjugate addition was not significantly impacted by the indole, phenol, or terminal carboxylate. Also effective was Cbz-Glu-Dha-AlaOMe under this protocol, which gave rise to $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( $50 \%$ yield, $1: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ), further exemplifying chemoselective aniline activation (in the presence of an unprotected side-chain carboxylate). $\mathbf{2 1}$ was efficiently prepared from Cbz-Lys-Dha-Met-OMe under slightly acidic conditions (such that the alkylamine was preferentially protonated, allowing for aniline activation), thus preserving the unprotected side chain amine and oxidizable thioether groups ( $67 \%$ yield, $1: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ). Finally, reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$-Val-DhaPhe-OMe (again, as the corresponding trifluoroacetic acid salt) afforded 22, where conjugation was unaffected by unprotected N -terminal amine, and slightly higher diastereoselectivity was observed (63\% yield, 4:1 dr).

### 4.4 Conclusion

We have described a robust catalytic system for the direct union of a wide range of tertiary amine structures with Dha derivatives. This photoredox system is highly chemoselective. It operates effectively at room temperature and requires light as the only stoichiometric additive. Consequently, we have shown that this reactivity can be applied in the synthesis of highly complex unnatural amino acids and peptides with good efficiency. Preliminary studies indicate that this protocol can be conducted in aqueous solvent mixtures and at high dilution (olefin concentration $=0.001 \mathrm{M}$, see the SI for details). However, we recognize that the lack of diastereocontrol is a primary limitation of this method in its current form. Further mechanistic studies, designs aimed at achieving diastereoselectivity, and the development of related processes are ongoing in our laboratory.

### 4.5 Experimental Information

### 4.5.1 General Information

## General Reagent Information:

All reactions were set up on the bench top and conducted under nitrogen atmosphere while subject to irradiation from blue LEDs (PARsource PowerPAR LED Bulb-Blue 15 Watt/440 nm, available at www.1000bulbs.com). Flash chromatography was carried out using Siliaflash ${ }^{\circledR}$ P60 silica gel obtained from Silicycle. Photoredox catalysts, $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{33}$ and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { ppy })_{2}(\right.$ dtbbpy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{34}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. Anilines, amino acids, HBTU, DIPEA, Ellman's reagent, methanesulfonyl chloride, triethylsilane, DBU, piperidine, TFA, and tertiary amines were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Alfa Aesar, Combi Blocks, or Oakwood Products and were used as received. Boc-Trp-Dha-Tyr-OH used to prepare 19 was prepared according to literature procedure. ${ }^{35}$ Methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2enoate and benzyl (S)-2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate was prepared according to literature procedure ${ }^{36,37}$. DMSO and MeCN were purified on a Pure Process Technologies solvent purification system. Reaction solvents were degassed in a sidearm flask under weak vacuum while subject to sonication.
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## General Analytical Information:

All yields refer to isolated yields. New compounds were characterized by NMR, IR spectroscopy, and HRMS. NMR data were recorded on one of four spectrometers: Bruker 600 MHz , INOVA 600 MHz , INOVA 500 MHz , and INOVA 400 MHz . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are internally referenced to residual protio solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ and 77.23 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}: 2.05 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 29.84, 206.26 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: \delta 3.31 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 49.1 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). IR spectra were obtained with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer. Mass spectrometry data were obtained from the Emory Mass Spectrometry Center. Adduct yields for optimization and deviation data was obtained via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR with an INOVA 600 MHz NMR using 1,3-benzodioxole as the internal standard. Enantioenriched samples were analyzed on a Varian Prostar instrument and used isopropanol/hexane as gradient.

### 4.5.2 General Procedures:

## Radical Conjugate Addition Procedure with MeCN as Solvent

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1$ mol\%), benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate (1 equiv), and amine or aniline if solid (3-5 equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed solvent (MeCN, $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3carboxylate) and amine or aniline if liquid (3-5 equiv) by syringe. The resulting suspension was
stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 12-16 hours. The reaction mixture was passed through a plug of silica which was flushed with ethyl acetate, and the solution was transferred to a $20-\mathrm{mL}$ scintillation vial. The contents of the vial were concentrated via rotary evaporation and then subject to high vacuum for 2 hours. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography using the indicated solvent mixture to afford the title compound.

## Radical Conjugate Addition Procedure with DMSO as Solvent

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with $\left.\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}_{\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1$ mol\%), benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate (1 equiv), and amine or aniline if solid (3-5 equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed solvent (DMSO, $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3carboxylate) and amine or aniline if liquid (3-5 equiv) by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 12-16 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 5 x 5 mL ). The extracts were combined and passed through a plug of silica which was flushed with additional ethyl acetate, and the solution was transferred to a $20-\mathrm{mL}$ scintillation vial. The contents of the vial were concentrated via rotary evaporation and then subject to high vacuum for 2 hours. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography using the indicated solvent mixture to afford the title compound.

## Procedure for Removal of Tertbutyl Carbamate

The N-Boc protected peptide was treated with neat trifluoracetic acid (10 equiv) and allowed to stir for 10 minutes. Reaction progress was monitored by LCMS, and upon completion, the mixture was concentrated directly and excess solvent was azeotropically removed with chloroform three times. The product was taken forward without further purification.

## Peptide-Coupling Procedure

A round-bottom flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged with N -protected free carboxylic acid (1.0 equiv) and DMF ( 0.5 M ) and was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HBTU (1.0 equiv) was added in a single portion, followed by DIPEA (3.5 equiv). The amine coupling partner was dissolved in DMF ( 10 mL ) and added to the reaction mixture dropwise. After stirring 10 minutes, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Reaction progress was monitored by LCMS, and complete conversion was typically observed within two hours. The reaction mixture was partitioned between a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{x}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{x})$, and brine (1x). The organic layer was passed through a short pad of silica and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The resultant white solid was taken forward without further purification.

## Radical Conjugate Addition Procedure with Dha Peptide

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with Dha peptide(1 equiv), and amine or aniline if solid (5 equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a
vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with a stock solution of degassed solvent with catalyst (DMSO, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ Dha peptide, $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6},(0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ and amine or aniline if liquid (5 equiv) by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 12-16 hours. A $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot was diluted with methanol $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and subjected to LCMS analysis. The LCMS sample was recombined with the reaction mixture and purified directly by preparative HPLC and lyophilized to afford the title compound.

### 4.5.3 Optimization Details

## Optimization Procedure:

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with photoredox catalyst (1-5 mol\%) and methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed solvent ( 2.0 mL ) and triethylamine ( $84 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) by syringe. The resulting solution was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 16 hours. The reaction was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were combined and passed through a plug of silica which was flushed with additional ethyl acetate, and the solution was transferred to a $20-\mathrm{mL}$ scintillation vial. The contents of the vial were concentrated via rotary evaporation. An internal standard of 1,3-benzodioxole ( $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1$ equiv)
was delivered to the vial, and the contents were thoroughly dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. An aliquot was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and the integral values were used to calculate yield.

## Optimization Table:

Table S4.1. The influence of solvent, catalyst, and amine equivalents on yield of triethylamine mono-adduct ( $\pm$ )-A.

|  <br> triethylamine | H <br> Dha (1.0 equiv) | 1 mol\% photocatalyst solvent, blue LED |  <br> ( $\pm$ )-A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | photocatalyst | solvent | amine equivalent | \% yield A |
| 1 | $\left[\mathrm{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMSO (0.1 M) | 3 | 72 (77:23 dr) |
| 2 | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMF (0.1 M) | 3 | 84 (" dr) |
| 3 | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMA ( 0.1 M ) | 3 | 87 (" dr) |
| 4 | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | NMP (0.1 M) | 3 | 75 (" dr) |
| 5 | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ | 3 | 24 (" dr) |
| 6 | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ | 3 | 83 (" dr) |
| 7 | eosin $Y^{\text {a }}$ | MeCN (0.1 M) | 3 | 12 (" dr) |
| 8 | $\operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbpy})\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ | $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ | 3 | 81 (" dr) |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ | MeCN ( 0.1 M ) | 3 | 23 (" dr) |
| 10 | PDI ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MeCN (0.1 M) | 3 | 10 (" dr) |
| 11 | PTH ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MeCN (0.1 M) | 3 | 3 (" dr) |
| 12 | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | MeCN ( 0.1 M ) | 1 | 39 (" dr) |
| 13 | $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | MeCN (0.1 M) | 2 | 77 (" dr) |
| 14 | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | MeCN (0.1 M) | 3 | 86 (" dr) |
| 15 | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | MeCN (0.1 M) | 5 | 88 (" dr) |
| 16 | $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | MeCN (0.1 M) | 10 | > 99 (" dr) |
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## Deviation Procedure when MeCN is used as Solvent:

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with photoredox catalyst ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), and solid deviation as indicated ( 0.2 mmol , 1 equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed $\mathrm{MeCN}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline ( $76 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and liquid deviation as indicated ( 0.2 mmol , 1 equiv) by syringe. The resulting solution was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 12 hours. After 12 hours, the reaction mixture was transferred to a 20-mL scintillation vial. The contents of the vial were concentrated via rotary evaporation. An internal standard of 1,3-benzodioxole ( $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1$ equiv) was delivered to the vial, and the contents were thoroughly dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. An aliquot was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ and the integral values were used to calculate yield.

## Deviation Procedure when DMSO is used as Solvent:

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with photoredox catalyst ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with degassed DMSO (2.0-1.0 mL), N,Ndimethylaniline ( $76 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and water as indicated by syringe. The resulting solution was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 12 hours. After 12 hours, the reaction
mixture was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extracts were concentrated via rotary evaporation into a 20 ml scintillation vial. An internal standard of 1,3-benzodioxole ( $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1$ equiv) was delivered to the vial, and the contents were thoroughly dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. An aliquot was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ and the integral values were used to calculate yield.

## Deviation Table:

Table S4.2. Results of yield of amine-Dha conjugate addition product caused by deviations

## from optimized conditions.



| entry | deviation from optimal conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | chiral Dha remaining | yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | none | 0\% | 81\% |
| 2 | without light | 100\% | 0\% |
| 3 | without catalyst | 100\% | 0\% |
| 4 | 1 eqv. indole | 0\% | 92\% |
| 5 | 1 eqv. phenol | 60\% | 28\% |
| 6 | 1 eqv. sodium propionate | 0\% | 81\% |
| 7 | 1 eqv. imidazole | 0\% | 87\% |
| 8 | 1 eqv. butyl mercaptan | 0\% | $31 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 9 | 1 eqv. acetic acid | 0\% | 73\% |
| 10 | 1 eqv. sodium acetate | 0\% | 75\% |
| 11 | 1 eqv. pyridine | 0\% | 87\% |
| 12 | 1 eqv. ethanol | 0\% | 93\% |
| 13 | DMSO as solvent | 0\% | 81\% |
| 14 | DMSO : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1)$ as solvent | 24\% | 75\% |
| 15 | DMSO : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1)$ as solvent | 67\% | 33\% |
| 16 | DMSO : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ as solvent | 76\% | 12\% |
| 17 | eosin Y as catalyst | 53\% | 26\% |
| 18 | neat (20 eqv. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline) | 0\% | 99\% |
| 19 | MeCN [1.0] | 0\% | 81\% |
| 20 | MeCN [0.01] | 0\% | 80\% |
| 21 | MeCN [0.001] | 0\% | 71\% |
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### 4.5.4 Preparation of Starting Materials



S-7benzyl (2S,4R)-4-((benzylthio)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate: To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added S-benzyl-L-cysteine (10 g, $47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.), NaOH ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95$ equiv), and anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. Trimethylacetaldehyde ( $6.18 \mathrm{ml}, 57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and activated $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 50 g ) were added to the reaction flask, each in one portion. The reaction was placed under nitrogen atmosphere and stirred at room temperature until the starting material had been consumed (determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of a filtered and concentrated aliquot of the reaction solution dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{COD}$ ). The reaction was quickly filtered through celite and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was dried under high vacuum for 24 hours to afford the imine as a white solid. The imine was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 500 mL ) and cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzyl chloroformate ( $10.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added to the reaction dropwise via syringe. The reaction was allowed to reach $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for a full 18 hours then warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 6 hours. The mixture was washed with 1 M aqueous NaOH ( $1 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $0 \%-$ $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product ( $8.3 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil. The physical properties and spectral data were consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{5}$ The racemate was synthesized from the racemic amino acid using the same procedure.


## benzyl (2S,4R)-4-((benzylsulfonyl)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxy-late:

To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added benzyl (2S,4R)-4-((benzylthio)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $6.3 \mathrm{~g}, 15.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $6.6 \mathrm{~g}, 38.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), and DCM ( 205 mL ). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was washed with 1 M aqueous sodium hydroxide ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \%-30 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) to afford the product $(5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ yield) as a white foam. The physical properties and spectral data were consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{5}$ The racemate was synthesized from the racemic amino acid using the same procedure.

benzyl (S)-2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate(22): To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added (benzyl (2S,4R)-4-((benzylsulfonyl)methyl)-2-(tert-butyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate) ( $5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 12.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), and DCM ( 155 mL ).The flask was chilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath, and $\mathrm{DBU}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added dropwise via syringe. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the starting material had been consumed
(determined by TLC, about 10 minutes). While still at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 50 mL ), the layers were separated, and the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $5 \%-10 \%$ ethyl acetate/ hexanes) to afford the product ( 3.4 g , $98 \%$ yield) as a white solid. The physical properties and spectral data are consistent with the reported values. ${ }^{5}$ Chiral HPLC analysis of the alkene (OJ-H, 5\% IPA/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254$ $\mathrm{nm})$ indicated $99 \%$ ee for the major enantiomer $(\mathrm{tR}($ minor $)=11.560 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{tR}($ major $)=13.130 \mathrm{~min})$. The racemate was synthesized from the racemic amino acid using the same procedure.


Aniline-tethered cholic acid: following the general procedure $D$, the reaction of cholic acid ( $250.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminobenzylamine ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), HBTU ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and DIPEA ( $0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ equiv) provided the product ( $268 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield) as a yellow solid after purification by flash column chromatography 0-10\% DCM/Methanol.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, Methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{q}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{tt}, J=11.2,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) 2.32-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-$
$1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{dp}, J=13.1,3.7,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.08$ (qd, $J=11.9,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 151 MHz, Methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 175.01,150.15,128.27,127.02,112.88,72.64,71.48,67.64$, $46.70,46.10,42.45,42.32,41.80,41.58,39.78,39.63,39.07,35.36,35.10,34.51,34.48,32.87$, $32.81,32.02,29.79,28.17,27.28,26.47,22.86,21.77,16.33,11.67$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2962, 2940, 2909, 2873, 1788, 1717, 1599, 1573, 1506, 1481, 1467, 1455, 1391, $1369,1336,1295,1228,1198,1188,1114,1067,1040,1012,991,971,943,931,910,891,866$, $842,824,803,785,747,733$, and $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, 541.4000; found, 541.4002.


Scheme S4.1. Boc-Cys(Trt)-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe: prepared by sequential peptide coupling and deprotection steps (beginning from the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Leu}-\mathrm{OMe} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ) following general procedure D . The series of reactions provided the product as a white crystalline solid (5.1g) after passing through a short pad of silica (100 \% ethyl acetate).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{td}, J=8.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.34-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{td}, J=8.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.53-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $12.1,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=32.4,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=27.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{NaS}$, 946.4395; found, 946.4389.


Scheme S4.2. Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-SBn: prepared by sequential peptide coupling and deprotection steps (beginning from Boc-Ala-OH) following general procedure D . The series of reactions provided the product as a white crystalline solid ( 4.1 g ) after passing through a short pad of silica ( $100 \%$ ethyl acetate).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.94-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.86(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{dt}$,
$J=14.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}, 617.2621$; found, 617.2616.


## Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-Cys-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe:

Boc-Cys(Trt)-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was treated with TFA ( 5 mL ), forming a dark yellow solution, and mixture allowed to stir 5 minutes until all solids were dissolved. To the stirring solution was added triethylsilane ( 0.1 mL ) dropwise. All color faded from the mixture, and a white precipitate was observed. The mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation and azeotropically removed with chloroform ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resultant solids were dissolved in rigorously degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), transferred to a screw-top test tube equipped with stir bar, capped with PTFE/silicon septum, connected to a Schlenk line under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and extracted with hexanes ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) via syringe. The hexanes layers were discarded. Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-SBn ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in degassed DMF, combined with the extracted DMF layer, and the mixture was allowed to stir ( 5 min ). To the stirring solution was added triethylamine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, thiophenol $(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$, and benzyl mercaptan ( 0.05 mL ). The mixture was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir 12 hours, after which a white precipitate had begun to form. $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture to fully precipitate the desired peptide,
and the white solid was collected by vacuum filtration. The solid was rinsed thoroughly with 1 M HCl , hexanes, and water. Finally, the solid was finely ground and triturated by stirring in MeCN at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes, before returning to room temperature. The suspension was filtered once more, and the solid was collected, yielding the title compound as a white solid ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ $-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{td}, J=8.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.8,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29$ (dddd, $J=17.8,14.9,8.8,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84$ $-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{tdd}, J=17.0,10.3$, $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.5,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.31(\mathrm{dt}, J=26.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.6,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{td}, J=10.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{NaS}, 1074.5152$; found, 1074.5187.


## Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-DHA-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe:

To a stirring solution of Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-Cys-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe (230 mg, 0.22 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in degassed DMSO under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added dibromoethane ( $270 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) and triethylamine ( $630 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv). The solution was allowed to continue stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 14 hours. The resultant peptide was precipitated with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, collected by vacuum filtration, and washed with 1 M HCl , water, and hexanes, providing the title compound as a white solid ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-}\right) \delta 9.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.09-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=6.6,5.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.03(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,7.9,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66$ $-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $15 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{~N} 9 \mathrm{Na}, 1040.5275$; found, 1040.5261.


Scheme S4.3. Cbz-Glu-Dha-Ala-OMe: prepared by sequential peptide coupling and deprotection steps (beginning from the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$-Ala- $\mathrm{OMe} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ) following general procedure D to afford Cbz -$\mathrm{Glu}(\mathrm{OtBu})-\mathrm{Cys}(\mathrm{Trt})-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{OMe} . \mathrm{Cbz-Glu}(\mathrm{OtBu})-\mathrm{Cys}(\mathrm{Trt})-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{OMe}(986 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with TFA ( 3 mL ), forming a dark yellow solution, and allowed to stir for 5 minutes until all solids were dissolved. To the stirring solution was added triethylsilane ( 0.3 mL ) dropwise. All color faded from the mixture, and a white precipitate was observed. The mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation and excess solvent was azeotropically removed with acetonitrile ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The flask was charged with Ellman's reagent ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and the solids were dissolved in DMF ( 10 mL ). The mixture was allowed to stir for 10 minutes. To the stirring solution was added $\mathrm{DBU}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv), forming a dark red solution, and allowed to stir for 15 additional minutes. The mixture was quenched with aqueous 1 M HCl solution. The solution was partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous 1 M HCl solution ( 25 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (15 $\mathrm{mL} \times 2$ ), the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by sequential flash column chromatography (5-10\% $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}+2 \%$ acetic acid) and preparative HPLC ( $30-99 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes) to afford the title compound ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ yield) as a clear colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, Acetone- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{p}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40$ - $4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ 436.1714; found, 436.1723 .


$\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { DCM, }-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT} \\ 30 \text { min } \\ 94 \% \text { yield }}]{\substack{\mathrm{MsCl}(1.1 \mathrm{eq}) \\ \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{eq})}}$




Scheme S4.4. Cbz-Lys(Boc)-Dha-Met-OMe: prepared by sequential peptide coupling and deprotection steps (beginning from the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Met}-\mathrm{OMe} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ) following general procedure D to afford Cbz-Lys(Boc)-Ser-Met-OMe. To a stirring solution of Cbz-Lys(Boc)-Ser-Met-OMe (312 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(72 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $42 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 30 minutes. The mixture was partitioned between DCM and aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 50 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), the organic layers were combined, passed through a short pad of silica (flushed with EtOAc) to afford Cbz-Lys(Boc)-Ser(OMs)-Met-OMe ( $316 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield). To a stirring solution of Cbz-Lys(Boc)-Ser (OMs)-Met-OMe ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in
$\mathrm{MeCN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{DBU}(112 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The mixture was allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The mixture was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 20 mL ), MeCN was removed by rotary evaporation. The solution was partitioned between DCM ( 25 mL ) and aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 50 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (0$8 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) to afford the title compound ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{td}$, $J=7.3,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dtd}, J=14.6,7.4,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 14 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z: ~[M+H]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N} 4 \mathrm{~S}, 595.2796$; found, 595.2810.


$$
\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { DCM, }-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT} \\ 30 \text { min } \\ 84 \% \text { yield }}]{\substack{\mathrm{MsCl}(1.1 \mathrm{eq}) \\ \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{eq})}}
$$



$$
\xrightarrow[\substack{0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \text { min } \\ 80 \% \text { yield }}]{\mathrm{DBU}(2 \mathrm{eq})} \mathrm{MeCN}
$$



Scheme S4.5. Boc-Val-Dha-Phe-OMe: prepared by sequential peptide coupling and deprotection steps (beginning from the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$-Phe- $\mathrm{OMe} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ) following general procedure D to afford $\mathrm{Boc}-\mathrm{Val}$ -Ser-Phe-OMe. To a stirring solution of Boc-Val-Ser-Phe-OMe ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in DCM ( 25 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(144 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $84 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 30 minutes. The mixture was partitioned between DCM and aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 50 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (25 mL x 2), the organic layers were combined, passed through a short pad of silica (flushed with $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to afford Boc-Val-Ser(OMs)-Phe-OMe ( $488 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ yield). To a stirring solution of Boc-Val-Ser(OMs)-Phe-OMe (480 mg, 0.82 mmol , 1 equiv) in MeCN ( 25 mL ) at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{DBU}(248 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The mixture was allowed to stir for 30 minutes. The mixture was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 20 mL ), MeCN was removed by rotary evaporation. The solution was partitioned between $\mathrm{DCM}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 50 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $20-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) to afford the title compound ( $298 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield) as a white crystalline solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}, 448.2442$; found, 448.2441.

### 4.5.5 Procedure and Characterization Data



1: following the general procedure A , the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $59.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde ( $89.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0020 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a single diastereomer ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate $=20: 3$, then 20:4).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 190.15,172.4,153.2,135.0,132.1,128.8,128.78,128.59,125.50$, $111.45,111.0,96.5,68.6,54.7,49.9,38.04,37.07,30.6,24.8$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2969, 2911, 2360, 2342, 1787, 1717, 1664, 1593, 1557, 1526, 1497, 1481, 1467, $1456,1439,1388,1369,1336,1312,1300,1288,1230,1194,1166,1113,1068,1040,1011,970$, $943,909,869,856,835,816,785,767,728,698$, and $669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 439.2228$; found, 439.2225 .


2: following the general procedure A , the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $57.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 3-methoxy- N ,-dimethylaniline ( 92.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0020 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided the product as a single diastereomer $(71 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by crude NMR analysis) as a clear colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (10 25\% EtOAc/Hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35-6.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.31(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.7,160.9,155.7,150.5,135.2,129.9,128.7,128.7,128.6$, $105.4,101.7,98.8,96.4,77.3,68.4,55.1,54.8,49.5,37.9,37.1,30.5,24.8$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2960, 1787, 1716, 1609, 1574, 1500, 1481, 1453, 1391, 1368, 1330, 1285, 1229, $1198,1161,1041,1012,971,890,823,749,697,687,636$, and $579 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 441.2384$; found, 441.2388 .


3: following the general procedure A, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-bromo- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline (123 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided the product as a single diastereomer $(85.3 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by crude NMR analysis) as a clear colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ EtOAc/Hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), $2.17-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96,(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.6,155.7,148.0,135.1,131.9,128.8,128.8,128.6,114.0$, 108.5, 96.4, 68.5, 54.7, 49.4, 37.9, 37.1, 30.4, 24.8.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 2968,2942,2908,2873,1787,1717,1590,1497,1481,1392,1369,1336,1301$, $1291,1229,1188,1113,1040,1012,971,907,807,728$, and $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$, 489.1384; found, 489.1383.


4: following the general procedure A, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $28.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyladenine ( $270 \mathrm{ml}, 0.5$ mmol, 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.001 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided the product as a single diastereomer $(72.9 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by crude NMR analysis) as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( 0 - 20\% $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM})$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR} \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.60$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 172.45,155.97,154.54,151.52,151.02,136.79,135.26$, $128.64,128.58,128.35,119.71,96.65,68.36,55.38,53.82,37.04,31.75,29.29,24.89$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3067,2962,2873,2821,2690,1787,1715,1581,1369,1334,1196,910$, and $729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6}, 453.2245$; found, 453.2250.


5: following the general procedure A, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate $(58.1 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), N -cyclohexyl- N methylcyclohexanamine $(130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv $)$, and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.2$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a single diastereomer ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield, >20:1 d.r. determined by crude NMR analysis) as a clear colorless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (5-20\% EtOAc/Hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{ddt}, J=30.1,14.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $1.27-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.0,155.9,128.6,128.5,128.3,96.2,68.1,58.0,55.0,43.0$, 37.0, 32.1, 31.3, 26.4, 26.4, 26.2, 24.9.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2927, 2852, 1791, 1717, 1449, 1391, 1369, 1362, 1347, 1331, 1291, 1271, 1227, $1196,1170,1118,1106,1045,1030,1016,977,910,891,732$, and $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 485.3374$; found, 485.3367.


6: following the general procedure A, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $58.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-methylmorpholine ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.6$ mmol, 3 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a single diastereomer ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by crude NMR analysis) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (70 - 95\% EtOAc/Hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.8,155.7,146.9,139.3,135.2,128.7,128.6,128.5,126.0$, $112.3,96.4,68.4,54.9,49.7,38.0,37.1,33.7,31.5,30.6,24.9$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 2960,2920,2894,2870,2853,2811,1789,1716,1482,1456,1447,1393,1344$, 1334, 1297, 1268, 1229, 1196, 1171, 1150, 1137, 1116, 1070, 1042, 1035, 1009, 971, 945, 916, $891,861,823,801,784,764,751,698$, and $665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 391.2228$; found, 391.2224 .


6*: following the general procedure A, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $58.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-methylmorpholine ( $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.6$ mmol, 3 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided an inseparable $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield, $1: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (70-95\% EtOAc/Hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.23-5.12$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.70-2.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$, $2.38-2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.24-2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.79-1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 0.96$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 13C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 172.39,172.30,155.86,155.68$, $135.00,134.94,129.05,128.97,128.87,128.82,128.77,128.75,116.61,112.65,96.31,96.23$, $70.15,70.04,68.71,68.62,66.86,66.43,58.74,55.37,54.96,53.82,53.48,42.59,42.56,40.62$, 37.01, 37.00, 24.91, 24.86.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2960, 2852, 2798, 1790, 1718, 1456, 1482, 1393, 1345, 1323, 1282, 1229, 1121, $1033,986,892,780$, and $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 391.2228$; found, 391.2229.


7: following the general procedure A , the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $58.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylallylamine ( $71 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.6$ mmol, 3.0 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0020 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a single diastereomer $(61.4 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by crude NMR analysis) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0-5 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM})$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{ddt}, J=16.8,10.2,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), $5.20-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=12.6,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{tdd}, J=7.8,5.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 0.96 (s, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.7,155.9,135.5,128.7,128.6,128.6,128.5,117.4,96.2,68.3$, 60.7, 55.0, 53.4, 41.7, 37.0, 31.3, 24.9 .

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 2971, 2961, 2912, 2874, 2794, 1790, 1716, 1498, 1482, 1456, 1392, 1369,1335, $1294,1229,1198,1171,1119,1070,1042,1031,1015,971,917,893,842,825,787,775,766$, $751,733,697$, and $664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 375.2278$; found, 375.2274.


8: following the general procedure A, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $58.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), 3-dimethylamino-1-propyne ( $65 \mu \mathrm{l}$, $0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0020 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a single diastereomer ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by crude NMR analysis) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $15-45 \%$ EtOAc/Hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.5,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}$, 3), $1.99(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.7,155.9,135.2,128.7,96.2,78.3,73.1,68.4,54.6,53.9,51.5$, 45.1, 41.5, 40.9, 37.0, 31.3, 24.9.

FTIR (neat) $\imath_{\max }: 3287,2968,2961,2917,2872,2805,2788,1789,1716,1497,1482,1465,1456$, $1393,1369,1361,1344,1334,1309,1291,1269,1229,1198,1179,1118,1073,1041,1015,970$, $934,917,893,843,827,785,765,752,698$, and $675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 373.2122$; found, 373.2117.


9: following the general procedure B , the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), dextromethorphan ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85$ mmol, 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0020 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a single diastereomer ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR analysis) as a yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (40-90\% EtOAc/Hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.09-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-$ $1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.13-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.0,158.2,155.9,141.9,135.3,129.9,128.7,128.6,128.5$, $128.4,111.1,110.6,96.3,77.2,77.0,76.8,68.3,56.4,55.3,55.2,51.6,45.2,45.1,42.0,37.9,37.1$, 36.7, 32.0, 26.9, 26.6, 24.9, 24.7, 22.3.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ : 2927, 2855, 1788, 1713, 1494, 1481, 1462, 1452, 1432, 1391, 1329, 1296, 1265, $1232,1196,1067,1154,1040,970,910,852,802,784,728,696,646$, and $579 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 561.3323$; found, 561.3323.


10: following the general procedure B , the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), diltiazem ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0020 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a single diastereomer ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR analysis) in a combination of regioisomers (r.r. $93: 7,67 \%$ overall) after purification by flash column chromatography ( $20-80 \%$ EtOAc/Hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-$ $4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10$, (s, 3H), $1.82-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.9,169.9,166.8,159.7,155.7,146.1,135.4,135.2,131.0$, $130.8,128.7,128.6,128.6,126.9,126.7,125.1,113.8,95.9,71.1,68.1,56.2,55.2,54.5,54.1,53.5$, 48.5, 42.3, 37.1, 31.0, 24.8, 20.5.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 2960,1788,1711,1678,1609,1584,1513,1444,1394,1360,1296,1220,1199$, $1180,971,918,837,763,735,699,663,580$, and $529 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, 704.3023$; found, 704.3023


11: following the general procedure $B$, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), repaglinide ( $339 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0015 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided an inseparable $1: 1.1$ mixture of diastereomers $(79 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield, $1: 1.1$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a amber oil after purification by preparative HPLC (30-99\% MeCN/H2O, 0.1\% TFA over 20 minutes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), \mathbf{8 . 1 3}(\mathbf{d}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{8 . 0} \mathbf{H z}, \mathbf{1 H d r}), \mathbf{8 . 1 0}(\mathbf{d}, \boldsymbol{J}=$ 8.0 Hz, 1H $\mathrm{dr} 2^{2}$ ), $7.46-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.25-6.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+6 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.52-5.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right.$ $\left.+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.25-5.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.34-4.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.61-3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+\right.$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.17-2.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 2.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right)$, $2.22-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.78-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.62-1.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+7 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.44$ $-1.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 0.96-0.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+6 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), \mathbf{0 . 6 8}\left(\mathbf{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), \mathbf{0 . 6 4}\left(\mathbf{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 13 \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 172.9,172.0,168.2,167.8,165.3$, $157.5,157.5,156.3,155.5,150.1,149.8,143.2,139.8,135.2,135.1,133.9,133.8,129.0,128.8$,
128.7, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 126.1, 125.6, 125.1, 123.8, 123.1, 122.8, 116.4, 113.8, 113.5, $96.8,96.0,68.7,68.4,66.1,66.0,58.3,57.6,54.8,54.3,49.7,46.7,46.6,43.9,43.7,39.1,37.6$, $36.8,36.6,32.5,32.3,29.7,26.8,26.4,25.3,25.2,24.6,24.6,22.9,22.6,22.5,14.6,14.6$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3270,2955,1788,1712,1649,1535,1238,1194,1167,1110,1034,1016,980$, $750,730,700,633$, and $532 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{3}, 742.4062$; found, 742.4067.


12: following the general procedure $B$, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), strychnine ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a single diastereomer ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR analysis) as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ).

Mp: $248{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.)
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-2.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.17$ (m, 3H), $0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.4,170.3,155.6,141.6,138.0,134.1,128.7,128.6,128.4$, $128.0,127.8,127.7,124.4,122.0,116.1,95.9,78.1,72.8,68.4,64.6,59.2,54.6,53.6,53.3,52.0$, 46.3, 41.4, 40.2, 37.2, 32.8, 27.2, 25.6, 24.9.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 3663,2925,2158,1788,1713,1673,1315,1287,159,1085,1045,1017,967$, $885,780,754,706,681,642,624$, and $541 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} 624.3068$; found, 624.3065 .


12*: following the general procedure $B$, the reaction of benzyl 2-(tert-butyl)-4-methylene-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), strychnine ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided the product as a single diastereomer ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR analysis) as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes) followed by subsequent by preparative HPLC ( $30-99 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes).

Mp: $176^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $19.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{t}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 1.19-1.15 (m, 2H), $0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.8,169.4,155.6,142.3,140.1,134.8,132.3,128.8,128.6$, $128.6,127.6,124.2,122.3,116.3,96.3,77.4,68.5,64.6,60.3,59.0,56.0,54.9,52.5,50.7,48.7$, 48.0, 42.4, 40.1, 37.1, 31.4, 29.7, 27.0, 24.8.

FTIR (neat) $\nu_{\max }: 3661,2919,1664,1649,1631,1596,1461,1390,1227,1097,958,836,818$, $781,772,751,730,697,626,578,568$, and $535 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ 624.3068; found, 624.3067.


14: following the general procedure E , the reaction of Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-DHA-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), N,N-dimethyladenine ( $8.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$
equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided a $3: 1$ mixture of diastereomers ( $6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield, d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio) as a white solid after purification by preparative HPLC ( $30-99 \% \mathrm{MeCN}^{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes $)$.

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1}$ H NMR Characteristic Signals ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $23.6,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{qt}, J=11.3$, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{td}, J=8.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-$ 3.77 (m, 2H), 3.70 (dd, $J=16.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{dh}, J=$ $14.2,7.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.76(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{85} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{14}, 1181.6308$; found, 1181.6313.

Major Diastereomer ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR Characteristic Signals ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.10$ (s, 1 H ), 7.01
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Minor Diastereomer ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR Characteristic Signals ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$
(s, 1H), $1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.



Figure S4.1. LCMS data for purified peptide 14.


15: following the general procedure E , the reaction of Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-DHA-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), diltiazem ( $20.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided the product (7.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield, $1.1: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as the TFA salt, a white solid, after purification by preparative HPLC (30-99\% MeCN/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR characteristic signals $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.13 (ddd, $J=31.2,16.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.62$ (m, 2H), $7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{td}, J=8.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.1,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{1 . 8 4}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{3 H}), \mathbf{1 . 7 6}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{3 H}), 1.63-1.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.5$, 6.9 Hz, 9H), $0.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \mathbf{0 . 7 6}-\mathbf{0 . 6 9}\left(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{3 H}_{\mathrm{dr}}, \mathbf{3 H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{~S}$, 1432.7069; found, 1432.7044.



Figure S4.2. LCMS data for purified peptide 15.


16: following the general procedure E , the reaction of Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-DHA-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), repaglinide ( $22.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided a mixture of four diastereomers over four fractions ( $6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield, 1:2:2:2 d.r. determined by HPLC integral ratio) as a white solid after purification by preparative HPLC ( $30-99 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes).



Figure S4.3. LCMS data for crude peptide 16.

## Fraction A: Diastereomer 1

${ }^{1}$ H NMR Characteristic Signals ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.07$ (s, 4H), $8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3), 3.80$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2), 1.98-$ $1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{112} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{11}, 1470.8131$; found, 1470.8099.


Figure S4.4. LCMS data for purified peptide 16 diastereomer 1.

## Fraction B: Diastereomers 2 and 3

For the mixture of diastereomers:
${ }^{1} H$ NMR Characteristic Signals ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$, $8.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 8.18-7.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 7.94-7.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right)$, $7.55-7.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 7.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 7.29-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.26-7.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.8 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+8 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 7.13-7.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 7.05-6.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 6.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 4.54\left(\mathrm{td}, J=8.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 4.46$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.37-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 3.84-3.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+6 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 3.62$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 3.53-3.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.10-2.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 2.87-2.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 2.35-2.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 2.22-2.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 1.99-1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right.$ $\left.+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 1.77-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 1.65-1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+8 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 1.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.33-1.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 1.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 0.94-0.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right.$
$\left.+24 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 0.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 0.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 0.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7$
$\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right)$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{112} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{11}, 1470.8131$; found, 1470.8095.


Figure S4.5. LCMS data for crude peptide 16 diastereomer 2 and 3.

## Fraction C: Diastereomers 2, 3, and 4

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} H$ NMR Characteristic Signals ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+\right.$ $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 8.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 8.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 8.01-7.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 7.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 7.58-753\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 7.36$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 7.26-7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+8 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+8 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 7.05-6.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right.$ $\left.+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 6.88-6.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 6.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 4.57-$ $4.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 32}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 4.36-4.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 4.08-3.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+\right.$
$\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 3.82-3.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right)$, $3.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}\right), 3.11-2.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 2.83-2.75$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 2.34-2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 1.97-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+\right.$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 1.65-1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 1.39-1.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 1.35-1.30$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 1.28-1.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 0.92-0.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right.$ $\left.+24 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+24 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right), 0.72-0.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 3}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 4}\right)$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{112} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{11}, 1470.8131$; found, 1470.8094.



Figure S4.6. LCMS data for crude peptide 16 diastereomer 2, 3, and 4.

## Fraction D: Diastereomer 4

${ }^{1} H$ NMR Characteristic Signals $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80$ (dd, $J=14.1,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.11$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{112} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{11}, 1470.8131$; found, 1470.8096.



Figure S4.7. LCMS data for purified peptide 16 diastereomer 4.


17: following the general procedure E, the reaction of Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-Dha-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe (10 mg, 0.01 mmol , 1 equiv), strychnine ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided a mixture of regioisomers as the TFA salt ( $8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ yield), a white solid after purification by preparative HPLC (30-99\% MeCN/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes).
${ }^{1} H$ NMR Characteristic Signals $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28-8.17$ (m), 8.16 $7.98(\mathrm{~m}), 7.97-7.77(\mathrm{~m}),, 7.50-7.34(\mathrm{~m}), 7.30-7.21(\mathrm{~m}), 7.19-7.07(\mathrm{~m}), 7.06-6.93(\mathrm{~m}), 6.71$ $-6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34-6.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.56(\mathrm{~m}), 4.51-4.42(\mathrm{~m}), 4.35-3.58(\mathrm{~m}), 3.55-3.52$ $(\mathrm{m}), 3.51-3.46(\mathrm{~m}), 3.46-3.37(\mathrm{~m}), 3.37-3.22(\mathrm{~m}), 3.17-3.03(\mathrm{~m}), 3.02-2.82(\mathrm{~m}), 2.79-$ $2.69(\mathrm{~m}), 2.30-2.19(\mathrm{~m}), 2.18-2.02(\mathrm{~m}), 2.02-1.93(\mathrm{~m}), 1.91-1.76(\mathrm{~m}), 1.72-1.64(\mathrm{~m}), 1.62$ $-1.35(\mathrm{~m}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.08(\mathrm{~m}), 0.83-0.73(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.73-0.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.68-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{98} \mathrm{O}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{11}, 1352.7137$; found, 1352.7115.



Figure S4.8. LCMS data for purified peptide 17.


18: following the general procedure E , the reaction of Boc-Val-Gly-Glu(OMe)-Ala-DHA-Val-Ser-Phe-Leu-OMe ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), aniline-tethered cholic acid ( $27.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) provided a mixture of diastereomers ( $8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield, $2: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio) as a white solid after purification by preparative $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(30-99 \% \mathrm{MeCN}^{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA over 20 minutes $)$.

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR characteristic signals ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.08(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04$ $-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=24.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{td}, J=8.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-0.73(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.55-0.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Major diastereomer characteristic signals: ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.51-0.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Minor diastereomer characteristic signals: ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{81} \mathrm{H}_{128} \mathrm{O}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{11}, 1558.9383$; found, 1558.9392.



Figure S4.9. LCMS data for purified peptide 18.


19: following the general procedure B , the reaction of Boc-Trp-Dha-Tyr-OH ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided an inseparable mixture of diastereomers of the product ( 28 $\mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ yield, 1.2:1 d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography $(0-20 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM})$.

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \operatorname{MeOD} \delta 7.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+7 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27\right.$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-6.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 6.72-6.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.47-4.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.92-2.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), \mathbf{2 . 7 9}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{3 H}), 2.73-2.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), \mathbf{2 . 6 3}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{3 H}), 1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}, \mathbf{1 . 3 7}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{9 H}), \mathbf{1 . 3 4}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{9 H})\right.$

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5}, 658.3235$; found, 658.3229.



Figure S4.10. LCMS data for purified peptide 19.


20: following the general procedure B , the reaction of Cbz-Glu-Dha-Ala-OMe ( $20.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05$ mmol, 1 equiv), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline $(29.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, \quad 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided an inseparable mixture of diastereomers of the product $(12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ yield, $1: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a blue oil after purification by preparative HPLC ( $30-$ $99 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.53-7.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.44-7.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.39$
$-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+7 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.38(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.5,7.3$
$\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.09\left(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right), 4.05\left(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.68-3.56$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$, $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{3 H} \mathbf{d r})$, $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{3 H} \mathrm{dr}^{2}\right), 2.45-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.17-1.97(\mathrm{~m}$,
$\left.3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.89-1.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), \mathbf{1 . 3 8}\left(\mathrm{d}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{7 . 3} \mathbf{~ H z}, \mathbf{3 H} \mathrm{Hr}_{\mathrm{dr}}\right), \mathbf{1 . 3 6}(\mathbf{d}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{7 . 3} \mathbf{~ H z}$, 3Hdr1).

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ 557.2606; found, 557.2618.



Figure S4.11. LCMS data for purified peptide 20.


21: Cbz-Lys(Boc)-Dha-Met-OMe ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with neat TFA ( 5 mL ) and allowed to stir at room temperature until complete Boc deprotection was observed by LCMS. The mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, diluted in $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and concentrated once more by rotary evaporation. The residue was carried forward as the TFA salt of Cbz-Lys-Dha-Met-OMe. Following the general procedure B, the reaction of Cbz-Lys-Dha-Met-OMe, N,Ndimethylaniline ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0005$ mmol, 0.01 equiv) provided an inseparable mixture of diastereomers of the product as the TFA salt ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ yield, $1.1: 1$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a white solid after purification by preparative HPLC ( $20-99 \% \mathrm{MeCN}^{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1}$ H NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.55-7.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.45-7.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right)$,
$7.35-7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 7.30-7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 5.11-5.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.58$
$-4.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 4.49-4.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), \mathbf{4 . 0 6}-\mathbf{3 . 9 3}\left(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{H d r}_{\mathrm{dr}}+\mathbf{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.75-$
$3.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 3.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.92-2.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.60-2.35(\mathrm{~m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 2.14-1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+6 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.91-1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.82-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, \\
& \left.4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right), 1.53-1.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 1}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dr} 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$, 616.3163 ; found, 616.3164 .


Figure S4.12. LCMS data for purified peptide 21.


22: Boc-Val-Dha-Phe-OMe ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was treated with neat TFA ( 5 mL ) and allowed to stir at room temperature until complete Boc deprotection was observed by LCMS. The mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation, diluted in $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and concentrated once
more by rotary evaporation. The residue was carried forward as the TFA salt of Val-Dha-PheOMe. Following the general procedure B, the reaction of Val-Dha-Phe-OMe, N,N-dimethylaniline ( $6.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided two diastereomers of the prduct (Major: $17 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield, Minor: $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ yield, $4: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ) as the TFA salt, a white solid, after purification by preparative HPLC ( $20-99 \%$ $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 minutes).

## Major Diastereomer:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dtd}$, $J=13.5,8.1,7.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}, 469.2810$; found, 469.2813.


Figure S4.13. LCMS data for purified peptide 22 major diastereomer.

## Minor Diastereomer:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.40-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{tq}, J=13.8,6.9,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{ddt}, J=12.6$, $10.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}, 469.2809$; found, 469.2812.


Figure S4.14. LCMS data for purified peptide 22 minor diastereomer.

### 4.5.7 Deprotection Procedure and Characterization Data



Methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)butanoate: A screw-top test tube equipped with a sti9r bar was charged with $\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right)_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)(3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) and methyl-2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)but-2-enoate ( $91.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with acetonitrile ( 3 mL ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylaniline ( $115 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred
under irradiation with blue LEDs for 12 hours. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $5-15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes ) to afford the product ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-$ $2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.2,152.0,149.1,129.2,116.4,112.4,83.3,56.2,52.3,50.0$, 38.3, 28.0, 27.3.

FTIR (neat) $\nu_{\max }: 2974,1753,1735,1710,1600,1504,1032,998,847,818,794,782,757,692$, and $607 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, 445.2309$; found, 445.2317.


Methyl 2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)butanoate: To a stirring soloution of methyl 2-(di(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)butanoate (13 mg, $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 9.8 mL ) was added trifluoroacetic acid ( 0.2 mL ) dropwise. The reaction was stirred 10 minutes and then concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (10 - 20\% EtOAc/Hexanes) to afford the product ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=14.3,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.9,155.4,149.0,129.2,116.8,112.6,80.1,52.3,51.8,49.3$, 38.7, 29.7, 28.3.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }$ : 3341, 2976, 1745, 1712, 1642, 1601, 1507, 1336, 1162, 1051, 990, 868, 749, 689 , and $659 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 323.1963$; found, 373.1963.

(S)-2-amino-4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)butanoic acid hydrochloride: To a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added $(+) /(-)$ benzyl-2-(tert-butyl)-4-(2-(methyl(phenyl)amino)ethyl)-5-oxooxazolidine-3-carboxylate ( $75.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and concentrated aqueous HCl ( 2 $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes then concentrated by rotary evaporation to afford the product ( $39.1 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.62-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.72(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.26 (s, 3H), $2.21-1.98$ (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 170.9,139.3,130.9,130.8,121.0,55.4,50.5,45.5,25.5$.

FTIR (neat) $v_{\max }: 2826,2508,1737,1730,1573,1487,1203,1127,1078,765$, and $551 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

HRMS (NSI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 243.0895$; found, 243.0903.


Methyl (S)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)butanoate: To a round bottom flask equipped with a stirbar was added 2-amino-4-(methyl(phenyl)amino)butanoic acid dihydrochloride ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and a ether/methanol solution (1:1, 10 mL ). The reaction was placed under a nitrogen atmosphere and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Trimethylsilyl)diazomethane solution ( 2.0 M in diethyl ether, $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe and the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction was quenched with acetic acid ( 2 mL ) and then concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was transferred to another round bottom flask equipped with a stirbar and was dissolved in an acetonitrile/water solution (3:1, 12ml). To this stirring solution, di-tert-butyl decarbonate ( 0.13 ml , 0.56 mmol , 3 equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), and sodium bicarbonate ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) were added and allowed to stir until HPLC indicated the starting material had been consumed. The reaction was concentrated to remove acetonitrile and then diluted with water ( 2 mL ). The aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined extracts were concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $10-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes $)$ to afford the product as a colorless oil. Chiral HPLC analysis (OD-H, 10\% IPA/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) indicated $96 \%$ ee for the major enantiomer $(\mathrm{tR}($ major $)=11.833 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{tR}($ minor $)=9.180 \mathrm{~min})$. The physical properties and spectral data match the values of the racemate reported herein.

## Chapter 5

# Radical $\alpha$ - $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ Cyclobutylation of Aniline Derivities 

Adapted from: C. J. Pratt, R. A. Aycock, M. D. King, and N. T. Jui Radical $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ Cyclobutylation of Aniline Derivities. Synlett. 2019, 31,51-54.
C. J. Pratt prepared products 3-8 and 14. M. D. King prepared starting materials.

Adapted with permission, copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry.

### 5.1 Abstract

A catalytic system has been developed for the direct alkylation of $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of aniline derivatives with strained $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$-bonds. This method operates through a photoredox mechanism in which oxidative formation of aminoalkyl radical intermediates enables addition to a bicyclobutane derivative, giving rise to $\alpha$-cyclobutyl N -alkylanilineproducts. This mild system proceeds through a redox- and proton-neutral mechanism and is operational for a range of substituted arylaminederivatives.

### 5.2 Introduction

The high stability of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bonds typically renders them chemically inert; however, conformationally strained-ring systems display unique reactivity profiles. Early work by Wiberg and co-workers and by Gaoni demonstrated that a range of strained polycyclic hydrocarbons (some of which are shown in Figure 1) can be accessed synthetically, ${ }^{1}$ and that they can serve as effective alkylating agents. ${ }^{2,3}$ Indeed, because installation of cyclobutyl groups (cyclobutylation) can be accomplished through direct addition to bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (BCB) derivatives, this represents a potentially valuable method for the synthesis of cyclobutane-containing natural products or drug candidates. In addition to anionic nucleophiles, ${ }^{4}$ organometallics, ${ }^{5}$ and

[^42]| Bicyclic alkanes: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strain energy: | $68 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \quad 64 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| $55 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |

Goal: Amine cyclobutylation with strained carbocycles
(

Figure 5.1. Strategy for cyclobutylation through addition to strained bicyclic alkanes. The strain energy for each molecule is derived by comparing $\delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ with a hypothetical strainless model, as discussed by Wiberg. ${ }^{6}$

[^43]amines, ${ }^{7,8}$ strained BCBs are effective coupling partners for radical species. ${ }^{4 b, 9}$ Over the past few years, our laboratory has been interested in developing new methods that permit selective reactions through the use of photoredox catalysis. As part of this program, we recently developed a system for the direct addition of nonactivated amines to peptides through the formation of the corresponding $\alpha$-amino radicals. ${ }^{10}$ This process is highly selective and it functions through a redox- and proton-neutral mechanism, such that stoichiometric additives are not required. We questioned whether these aminoalkyl radical intermediates ${ }^{11}$ could be intercepted by strainactivated BCB derivatives in a direct cyclobutylation reaction with amines. Although a number of impressive methods for cyclobutylation of radical intermediates have been described, most recently by the groups of $\operatorname{Lin}{ }^{12}$ and Aggarwal, ${ }^{13}$ this approach (outlined in Figure 1) would be unique, in part because it would potentially function on a range of nonactivated amine-containing substrates, without the need for programmed radical formation (i.e., halogen installation, etc.). We considered the catalytic pathway shown in Figure 1, in which photoinduced electron transfer from the amine substrate to a photoredox catalyst would give rise to a radical cation. Deprotonation of the newly acidified $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond would afford a key $\alpha$-amino radical species. ${ }^{14}$ Attack of this

[^44]intermediate species on a strain-activated bicyclo[1.1.0]butane would afford a new $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond, and single electron reduction and protonation would deliver the desired -cyclobutylamine This system would operate in a redox- and proton-neutral manner, without the need for exogenous chemical additives

### 5.3 Results and discussion

To evaluate the feasibility of this proposed transformation, we treated $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline with a small series of BCB reagents $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ to afford the corresponding cyclobutylated products $2 \mathrm{a}-$ c (Table 5.1). In the presence of $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\operatorname{Ir}[\mathrm{dF}(\mathrm{CF} 3) \mathrm{ppy}] 2(\mathrm{dtbbpy}) \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}[\mathrm{P} 1 ; \mathrm{dF}=2-(2,4-$ difluorophenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine; dtbbpy $=4,4^{\prime}$-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine] and blue light in N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMA), phenylsulfone 1a gave the desired product 2a in a promising yield ( $28 \%$, as determined by NMR with an internal standard). Increasing the electronwithdrawing capacity of the sulfonyl activating group resulted in improved reactivity with aminoalkyl radicals. Specifically, the fluorinated phenylsulfone derivatives 1 b and 1 c gave the corresponding cyclobutane products 2 b and 2 c in yields of 64 and $69 \%$, respectively. In this system, the aniline substrate was used as the excess reagent (five equivalents with respect to the BCB reagent) to suppress further activation and cyclobutylation of the desired products 2 .

Importantly, this process was not operational in the absence of a photoredox catalyst or light

[^45]Table 5.1 Optimal Conditions for $\alpha$-Cyclobutylation of $\mathbf{N}$,N-Dimethylaniline

| Entry | Deviation from above conditions (with 1c) | Yield (\%) of 2c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Reaction without catalyst | 0 |
| 2 | Reaction without blue light | 0 |
| 3 | $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ir}\left[(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{\text {a }}$ (P2) as catalyst | 35 |
| 4 | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{5CzBn}^{\text {b }}$ (P3) as catalyst | 64 |
| 5 | MeCN as solvent | 48 |
| 6 | DCE as solvent | 46 |
| 7 | DMSO as solvent | 51 |

[^46](Table 5.1, entries 1 and $2 ; 0 \%$ yield). Other catalysts could also be employed to accomplish this transformation, although less-oxidizing iridium catalysts (such as P2; entry 3: 35\% yield) or organic dyes [including penta9H-carbazol-9-ylbenzonitrile (5CzBn; P3) (entry 4: 64\% yield)] were less efficient across an array of substrates. A variety of aprotic organic solvents could be utilized here with acceptable levels of reaction efficiency (entries 5-7; 46-51\% yield). Having identified an effective protocol for the $\alpha$-alkylation of anilines with BCB 1 c , we evaluated the substrate scope of this process (again utilizing 1 c as the limiting reagent with five equivalents of
the aniline substrate). ${ }^{15}$ As shown in Table 5.2, electron-deficient dimethylaniline derivatives reacted under standard conditions to give the corresponding products 3 and 4 in yields of 65 and $46 \%$, respectively. Cyclic aniline derivatives were also effectively transformed into the corresponding cyclobutylated products. For example, N-phenylpyrrolidine reacted well to afford 5 in $83 \%$ isolated yield. These conditions were less effective for the coupling of N phenylpiperidine (which gave 6 in $33 \%$ yield, with $55 \%$ remaining starting material), presumably due to increased steric constraints. However, seven- and eight-membered saturated nitrogen heterocycles were excellent substrates, giving rise to the corresponding cyclobutanes 7 and 8 in near-quantitative yield ( $96 \%$ in each case). ${ }^{16}$ In accord with earlier reports, ${ }^{21}$ aniline derivatives with electron-donating groups in the ortho- or para-positions were not reactive in this system, where coupling attempts uniformly returned the starting materials. However, these conditions were effective for the transformation of electron-deficient aniline derivatives. Alkylation of a variety of N -arylpyrrolidines occurred smoothly; a methoxy substituent was well tolerated in the metaposition ( $9 ; 61 \%$ yield), as were fluoro and bromo substituents ( $10-12 ; 57-79 \%$ yield). As expected, the methoxycarbonyl- and nitrile-substituted phenylpyrrolidines were excellent substrates for this transformation, giving rise to products 13 and 14 in yields of 77 and $90 \%$, respectively. In most cases, the products were isolated as roughly equal ( $<2: 1$ ) mixtures of cis- and

[^47]trans-cyclobutane stereoisomers. Slightly higher selectivity was observed in a few cases (7, 8, and 11: ~2.3:1 ratio); although chromatographic separation of these

Table 5.2. Scope of Anilines. ${ }^{\text {a }}<\mathbf{2 : 1 ~ d r},{ }^{\text {b }} 2.3: 1 \mathrm{dr}$.

diastereomers was often difficult, we were able to purify the major isomer of 7. Crystallization of this isomer permitted its analysis by X-ray crystallography, which demonstrated that the major isomer in this case was the cis-cyclobutane, consistent with protonation occurring from the less sterically hindered face of the cyclobutene ring. The synthetic utility of arylsulfonylcyclobutanes has been extensively demonstrated by the Baran group. ${ }^{13}$ In line with these reports, reductive desulfonylation of product 5 occurred smoothly in the presence of excess magnesium in methanol at room temperature to give $71 \%$ yield of the cyclobutyl product 15, as shown in Scheme 5.1.


## Scheme 5.1 Reductive desulfonation of arylsulfonylcyclobutane 5

### 5.4 Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a mild system for the direct $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cyclobutylation of aniline derivates. This process operates through formation of an $\alpha$-amino radical, followed by direct addition to a strained BCB derivative. The disclosed protocol readily functionalizes a range of N aryl amines and heterocyclic compounds of various ring sizes. In accord with previous reports, electron-deficient aniline derivates were shown to be efficient coupling partners. Because the resulting sulfonyl cyclobutane products have been shown to capable of being manipulated in a
number of ways, we anticipate this process will be an attractive method for the generation of a range of $\alpha$-cyclobutyl amine derivatives

### 5.5 Experimental Information

### 5.5.1 General Information

## General Reagent Information:

All reactions were set up on the bench top and conducted under nitrogen atmosphere while subject to irradiation from blue LEDs (PARsource PowerPAR LED Bulb-Blue 15 Watt/440 nm, available at www.1000bulbs.com). Flash chromatography was carried out using Siliaflash® P60 silica gel obtained from Silicycle. Anilines, sulfonyl chlorides, 4-bromobut-1-ene, Oxone, L-proline, CuI, and secondary amines were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Alfa Aesar, Combi Blocks, or Oakwood Products and were used as received. Photoredox catalysts, $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{17}\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6},{ }^{18} 5 \mathrm{CzBN},{ }^{19} 4 \mathrm{CzIPN},{ }^{18}$ and 3DPAFIPN ${ }^{18}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. DMSO, DMF, and MeCN were purified on a Pure Process Technologies solvent purification system. Reaction solvents were degassed in a sidearm flask by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times) while subject to sonication.

## General Analytical Information:

All yields refer to isolated yields. New compounds were characterized by proton, carbon, and
fluorine NMR spectroscopy. NMR data were recorded on one of three spectrometers: Bruker 600

[^48]MHz , INOVA 600 MHz , INOVA 500 MHz and INOVA 400 MHz . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are internally referenced to residual protio solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 77.23 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). Adduct yields for comparison of bicyclobutane (BCB) reagents (1a-1c) were obtained via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR with an INOVA 600 MHz NMR using 1,3-benzodioxole as the internal standard. Adduct yields for further optimization of $\mathbf{1 c}$ were obtained via ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR with an INOVA 400 MHz NMR using fluorobenzene as the internal standard.

### 5.5.2 General Procedures:

## Procedure for Radical Conjugate Addition

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}^{\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)} \mathbf{)} \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(1$ mol\%), 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (1 equiv), and aniline if solid (5 equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with previously degassed solvent (DMA, $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol} 1$-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane) and aniline if liquid (5 equiv) by syringe. The resulting solution was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs ( 4 cm from lamp) for 16 hours at a stirring speed of 700 rpm . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 15 mL ). The combined extracts were washed with $5 \% \mathrm{LiCl}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by silica gel chromatography to give the cyclobutylsulfone products.

## Procedure for Removal of Arylsulfone Handle

The $\alpha$-cyclobutyl aniline product was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.04 \mathrm{M})$ and refluxed with freshly activated Mg turnings (40 eqiv). After completion of the reaction (2 hours), the mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with EtOAc , washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by silica gel chromatography to afford the title compound.

### 5.5.3 Optimization Details

## Optimization Procedure:

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with or without photoredox catalyst (1$5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with previously degassed solvent (200 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and dimethylaniline ( $63 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) by syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with or without blue LEDs ( 4 cm from lamp) for 16-24 hours at room temperature, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion, an internal standard of fluorobenzene ( $9.4 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.1$ mmol, 1 equiv) was delivered to the test tube and the contents were thoroughly mixed in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. An aliquot of the mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, and the integral values were used to calculate yield.

## Optimization Table:

Table S5.1. The influence of solvent, catalyst, temperature, and time on yield of cyclobutane product $A$.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catalyst | Solvent | Temperature | Time | \% Yield $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMF | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 63\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DCM | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 27\% |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy}) \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$ | Toluene | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 42\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DCE | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 46\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | MeCN | $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 48\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy}) \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$ | DMSO | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 51\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMA | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 69\% |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMA | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 35\% |
| 5 CzBn (5\%) | DMA | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 64\% |
| 4CzIPN | DMA | $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 56\% |
| 3DPAFIPN | DMA | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 26\% |
| EOSIN Y | DMA | $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 14\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMA | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 57\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy}) \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$ | DMA | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 53\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMA | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 24 hr | 67\% |
| none | DMA | $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 0\% |
| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | DMA | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 hr | 0\% ${ }^{2}$ |

[^49]
### 5.5.4 Preparation of Starting Materials

1-Phenylpyrrolidine, 1-phenylpiperidine, 1-phenylazepane, 1-phenylazocane, substituted phenylpyrrolidines, and BCB reagents ( $\mathbf{1 a - 1 c}$ ) were prepared according to literature procedures. ${ }^{20}$

### 5.5.5 Procedure and Characterization Data



## $N$-((3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)methyl)- $N$-methylaniline, 2c:

Following general procedure A, the reaction of 1-((3,5-
difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $114.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), dimethylaniline ( $320 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers $(151 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ yield, $5: 6$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $25 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes to $40 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

[^50]
## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta 7.40\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.15.1,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.08\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.72-6.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 7 8}(\mathbf{t t d}$, $\boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{9 . 0 , ~ 6 . 0 , ~ 1 . 1 ~ H z , ~} \mathbf{1 H a}), \mathbf{3 . 6 6}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{8 . 6} \mathbf{H z}, \mathbf{1 H b}), 3.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.67-2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.35-2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, $2.14-2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.8(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 162.1(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $149.6,149.1,141.5(\mathrm{dt}, J=21.6,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.3,129.2,117.0,116.8,112.7,112.5,111.8$ (ddd, $J=21.6,19.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.4(\mathrm{t}, J=24.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 57.4,57.2,54.8,53.6,39.2,39.0,29.7,28.3,27.5$, $26.4,22.8,16.9$
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-104.9$ (dd, $J=8.0,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $-104.9(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$.


4-(((3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)methyl)(methyl)amino)benzonitrile, 3:
Following general procedure A , the reaction of 1-((3,5difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $115.6 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4(dimethylamino)benzonitrile ( $367 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ $(6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( 123 mg ,
$65 \%$ yield, 2:3 d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $40 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta 7.39\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.36-7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ $\left.+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.03\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.56\left(\mathrm{td}, J=6.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 7 2}(\mathbf{d d d}, J=$ $\mathbf{1 4 . 8}, \mathbf{9 . 4}, \mathbf{5 . 6} \mathrm{Hz}, \mathbf{1 H a}), \mathbf{3 . 6 3}(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{8 . 5} \mathbf{H z}, \mathbf{1 H} \mathbf{b}), 3.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) 2.63-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, J$ $\left.=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.05\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.6,9.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 162.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 151.6,151.4$, $141.3(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 141.1(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.6,120.4,120.4,111.8(\mathrm{ddd}, J=26.0,21.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $111.5,111.5,109.6(\mathrm{t}, J=25.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 98.1,98.0,56.4,56.3,54.6,53.3,39.2,39.0,29.7,28.1,27.1$, 26.4
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta-104.58--104.61(\mathrm{~m}),-104.66--104.69$ (m)


4-(((3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)methyl)(methyl)amino)benzonitrile, 4 : Following general procedure A , the reaction of 1-((3,5difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $116.1 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 2-
(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $310 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(6.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a mixmixture of diastereomers ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ yield, 2:3 d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography (30\% ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta \mathbf{8 . 0 6}(\mathrm{dd}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{5 . 1}, 1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{1 H a}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{5 . 1}, 1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{H b}), 7.41-7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{tdt}, J=8.4,4.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.53-6.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ $\left.+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.39\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.83-3.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.83\left(\mathrm{~h}, J=7.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.64-2.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.33-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.08$ $\left(\mathrm{td}, J=11.4,9.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 162.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.2$, 147.6 , $141.5(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.4,112.3-111.4(\mathrm{~m}), 109.3(\mathrm{td}, J=24.9,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 105.7,105.5$, 54.8, 54.6, 53.6, 37.6, 36.6, 29.7, 28.9, 27.5, 26.1
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-104.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}),-105.06(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$


2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylpyrrolidine, 5: Following general procedure A, the reaction of 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (115.6 mg, 0.5
mmol, 1 equiv), 1-phenylpyrrolidine $(360 \quad \mu 1, \quad 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield, $2: 3$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (30\% ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.47-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.25-7.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, $7.12-7.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.72-6.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 9 1}\left(\mathbf{t}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{6 . 1} \mathbf{H z}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 8 6}(\mathbf{q}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{5 . 2}$ $\mathbf{H z}, \mathbf{1 H} \mathbf{b}), 3.67\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.64-3.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.52-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.14$ $\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.4,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.99\left(\mathrm{dq}, J=16.3,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.64-2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, $2.29-2.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.14\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.5,7.8,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.04-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, $1.79-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.9(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 161.8(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $148.7,148.0,141.4(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.2,129.1,116.2,116.2,112.5,112.3,111.9(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5$, $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.8(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.3(\mathrm{td}, J=25.0,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 60.0,60.0,54.9,53.2,50.1,49.3$, $36.4,33.7,28.6,27.8,27.0,25.7,25.7,25.6,23.9,23.9$
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-104.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $-104.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz})$


2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylpiperidine, 6a: Following general procedure A, the reaction of 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (115.1 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-phenylpiperidine $(400 \quad \mu 1, \quad 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv $)$ provided the product $(37.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $19 \%$ yield) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography (5\% ethyl acetate:hexanes to $20 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the major diastereomer:

${ }^{1} H$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.26-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.4$, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{p}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.2,9.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $18.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{t}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.1,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{ddt}, J=9.2,7.5$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 162.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 151.7,141.5$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.1, 119.9, 118.2, $112.4-111.2(\mathrm{~m}), 109.2(\mathrm{t}, J=24.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 62.5,53.1,45.1$, 29.5, 28.7, 26.9, 25.6, 25.0, 20.2
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-105.09$ (dd, $J=8.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )


2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylpiperidine, 6b: Following general procedure A, the reaction of 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (114.8 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-phenylpiperidine $(400 \quad \mu \mathrm{l}, \quad 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv $)$, and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product ( 27 mg , $14 \%$ yield) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $5 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes to $20 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

For the minor diastereomeromer:
${ }^{1} H$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{tt}, J=$ $8.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.9,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.57(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{ddt}$, $J=13.1,8.3,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.3,4.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.8,8.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.5,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.3,10.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 162.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 151.7,151.7$, $141.5(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.1,118.9,117.2,112.0,111.9(\mathrm{dd}, J=21.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.3(\mathrm{t}, J=25.0$ Hz), 61.8, 54.5, 44.0, 30.8, 27.0, 26.5, 25.0, 24.6, 19.7.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-105.06(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )


2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylazepane, 7 ${ }_{\text {trans }}$ : Following general procedure A, the reaction of 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (116.6 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-phenylazepane ( $450 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left.\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}_{\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ( $6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ yield) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes to $20 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta=7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ $(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=9.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=15.7,11.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53$ $-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 162.9(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=255.9,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $148.8,141.3$ (t, J = 8.0 $\mathrm{Hz}), 129.4,115.1,111.9(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=21.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 110.9,109.4(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=24.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 58.6,54.7,43.0$, 36.2, 32.2, 29.6, 26.0, 25.7, 25.7, 24.7.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta-105.01--105.04$ (m)


2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylazepane, 7cis: Following general procedure A, the reaction of 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (116.6 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-phenylazepane ( $450 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left.\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}_{\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ( $6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product ( $128.8 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes to $20 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-$ $6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.63-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.23(\mathrm{dp}, J=11.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dtd}, J=12.0,7.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 162.8(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=255.8,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 148.5,141.3(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 129.4,115.1,112.1$ - $111.7(\mathrm{~m}), 110.8,109.4(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=24.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 58.6,53.2,43.2,34.3,31.9$, 29.8, 26.9, 26.3, 25.9, 24.8.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-104.95--104.96$ (m)


2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylazocane, 8: Following general procedure A, the reaction of 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (116 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-phenylazocane ( $472 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}\right.$ (dtbbpy) $] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ $(6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( 202 mg , 96\% yield, 3:7 d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (15\% ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta 7.42-7.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 7.37-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.21-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 7.08\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.78$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 6.65\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 9 3}$ (ddd, $J=\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$, 8.5, $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{1 H} \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{3 . 8 6}(\mathbf{t d}, \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{1 0 . 3}, \mathbf{3 . 9} \mathbf{H z}, \mathbf{1 H a}), 3.66\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.59(\mathrm{p}, J=8.6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.52\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.5,4.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.49-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.26-3.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+\right.$ $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.77\left(\mathrm{dtd}, J=16.4,9.3,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.69\left(\mathrm{dtd}, J=13.0,6.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.44(\mathrm{~h}, J=8.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.40-2.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.29-2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.13(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.0,8.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{Ha})$, $2.09-2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.96\left(\mathrm{ttd}, J=18.2,10.2,9.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.77-1.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ $+9 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ )
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 151 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.7(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 162.0(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $148.8,148.4,142.3-141.0(\mathrm{~m}), 129.4,129.3,115.4,115.3,112.0-111.6(\mathrm{~m}), 109.3(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=$
$24.9,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 60.6,60.0,54.3,53.0,42.2,41.6,35.3,33.6,27.3,27.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 26.8$, $26.5,26.5,26.4,26.2,26.2,25.8,25.8,25.4,24.9,24.6$
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-104.98$ (dd, $J=8.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -105.03 (dd, $J=8.3,5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz})$


## 2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)pyrrolidine, 9:

Following general procedure A , the reaction of 1-((3,5difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane $(115 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 1-(3methoxyphenyl)pyrrolidine (443 mg, 2.5 mmol , 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ yield, 2:3 d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes to $50 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta 7.40\left(\mathrm{tp}, J=9.3,7.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.15-7.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.29-6.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 9 0}-\mathbf{3 . 8 3}\left(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathbf{1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{b}}\right), 3.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+\right.$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.70-3.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.20-3.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.96\left(\mathrm{~h}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.61-$
$2.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.95\left(\mathrm{dddd}, J=22.9,10.5,7.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.4,4.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ )
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.9,161.9,160.7,150.1,149.3,141.3,129.8,112.3$ $-111.3(\mathrm{~m}), 109.4(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 105.8,105.6,100.9,99.2,99.0,60.2,60.0,55.1,54.9$, $53.2,50.1,49.3,36.5,33.7,28.6,27.8,27.0,25.8,25.7,23.8,23.8$
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-104.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $-104.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,5.4$ Hz )


## 2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine, 10:

Following general procedure A , the reaction of 1-((3,5-
difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv), 1-(4-
fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine ( $413 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ yield, 2:3 d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes to $50 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.39\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=18.9,4.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.08(\mathrm{tdd}, J=$
$\left.8.4,5.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{q}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.53\left(\mathrm{td}, J=9.2,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$,
$\mathbf{3 . 8 5}-\mathbf{3 . 7 5}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{1 H a}+\mathbf{1 H} \mathbf{b}), 3.70-3.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.08\left(\mathrm{p}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}^{2} 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.97(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.62-2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.95\left(\mathrm{dp}, J=20.8,6.8,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.80-$ $1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 161.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 156.0$, $154.1,145.4,144.7,141.4,115.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $112.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 112.4-111.6(\mathrm{~m}), 109.4(\mathrm{t}, J=25.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 60.5,60.4,54.9,53.2,50.7$, $50.0,36.2,33.7,28.5,28.0,26.9,25.7,25.6,25.5,24.0$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-104.90$ (dd, $J=8.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -104.96 (dd, $J=8.4,5.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}),-129.62(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}),-129.82(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.


## 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)pyrrolidine, 11:

Following general procedure A , the reaction of 1-((3,5difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $114.8 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 1-(3bromophenyl)pyrrolidine ( $565 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.8$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$
yield, 7:3 d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.27\left(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=20.9,4.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ ), $7.17-7.10$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.95\left(\mathrm{tdq}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,4.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.35\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 7 5}-$
$3.64(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{1 H a}+\mathbf{1 H}), 3.64-3.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=69.5,9.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.46-$ $1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.92-1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.65-1.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.8,163.7,162.1,162.0,147.6,146.9,141.4$, $141.3,131.8,131.7,114.1,113.9,112.0,111.9,111.7,109.6,109.4,109.2,108.0,108.0$, $60.2,60.1,54.9,53.2,50.1,49.4,36.3,33.6,28.5,27.9,27.0,25.8,25.7,25.6,23.9$, 23.8 .
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$-104.78--104.84 (m), -104.88--104.95 (m).


1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)pyrrolidine, 12:

Following general procedure A , the reaction of 1-((3,5difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $73.0 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 1-(4-
bromophenyl)pyrrolidine ( 360 mg , $1.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(3.8$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0032 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield, 2:3 d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear brown oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.39 \mathrm{z}-7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.25-7.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, $7.08-7.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{t}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 8 3} \mathbf{- 3 . 7 7}\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{a}}+\mathbf{1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.61(\mathrm{tt}, J$ $\left.=9.2,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.1,9.4,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.44-$ $3.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.10-3.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.98-2.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.58-2.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.43$ $-2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.06-1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.76-1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $-d$ ) $\delta 163.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 161.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 147.5,146.9$, $141.4,141.3,131.8,114.1,113.9,112.3-111.5(\mathrm{~m}), 109.4(\mathrm{t}, J=24.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 60.2,60.1,54.8,53.2$, $50.1,49.4,36.2,33.6,28.5,27.9,27.0,25.8,25.7,25.6,23.8,22.8$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-104.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}),-104.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$

methyl 4-(2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)benzoate, 13:

Following general procedure A , the reaction of 1-((3,5-
difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), methyl 4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)benzoate ( $513 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005$ mmol, 0.01 equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield, $2: 3$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes to $50 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta 7.85\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.50-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ $\left.+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{tq}, J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.56\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), \mathbf{4 . 0 2} \mathbf{- 3 . 9 2}\left(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+\right.$ $\mathbf{1 H} \mathbf{b}), 3.88-3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.70-3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{q}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.98$ $\left(\mathrm{p}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.60-2.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+5 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.07-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 167.3,167.3,163.8,161.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 151.5,150.9$, $141.3,131.3,131.3,117.2,112.3-111.6(\mathrm{~m}), 111.5,111.4,109.4(\mathrm{t}, J=24.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 60.2,60.0$, 54.7, 53.0, 51.5, 49.3, 48.8, 36.2, 33.4, 28.4, 27.8, 27.1, 26.1, 25.7, 23.6, 23.4
${ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta-104.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}),-104.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$


4-(2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)benzonitrile, 14:

Following general procedure A , the reaction of 1-((3,5difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ( $114.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 4-(Pyrrolidin-1yl)benzonitrile ( $432 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005$ mmol, 0.01 equiv) provided the product as a mixture of diastereomers ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield, $2: 3$ d.r. determined by NMR integral ratio of the bolded resonances below) as a clear yellow foam after purification by flash column chromatography (35\% ethyl acetate:hexanes).

## For the mixture of diastereomers:

1H NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.43-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.06\left(\mathrm{tq}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+\right.$ $\left.1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 6.55\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{Ha}+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.93\left(\mathrm{tdd}, \mathrm{J}=9.5,6.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), \mathbf{3 . 6 3}(\mathbf{t t d}, \mathbf{J}$ $=9.5,4.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{1 H a}), \mathbf{3 . 5 6}(\mathbf{t t}, \mathbf{J}=\mathbf{9 . 3}, \mathbf{7 . 8} \mathbf{H z}, \mathbf{1 H} \mathbf{b}), 3.52-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 3.18(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.98\left(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=16.4,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.57-2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.42-2.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.06-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 1.82-1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 163.7,162.0,150.7,150.3,141.3,133.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $120.5,112.2,112.2,111.7(\mathrm{td}, J=22.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.4(\mathrm{t}, J=24.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 97.5,60.2,60.1,54.6,52.9$, $49.1,48.7,35.8,33.2,28.2,27.8,27.0,26.1,25.7,25.5,23.4,23.3$
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz, Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta-113.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}),-114.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$


2-cyclobutyl-1-phenylpyrrolidine, 15: Following general procedure B, the reaction of 2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylpyrrolidine ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and magnesium turnings ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$, 40 equiv) provided the product ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography (5\% ethyl acetate:hexanes).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform- $d) \delta 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{q}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.0,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.63$ (m, 10H)
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 148.6, 128.9, 115.3, 112.2, 61.0, 49.3, 40.8, 28.3, 26.5, 25.4, 23.9, 18.6.

### 5.5.6 X-Ray Crystallography Data


cis-2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylazepane, $7_{\text {cis }}$ and trans-2-(3-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)cyclobutyl)-1-phenylazepane, $7_{\text {trans }}$ : Following general procedure A, the reaction of 1-((3,5-difluorophenyl)sulfonyl)bicyclo[1.1.0]butane (116.6 mg, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 1-phenylazepane ( $450 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5 equiv), and $\left.\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}_{\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(6.4 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) provided $7_{\text {cis }}$ ( $128.8 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield) as a clear oil and $7_{\text {trans }}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ yield) as a clear oil after purification by flash column chromatography ( $0 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes to $20 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes). $7_{\text {cis }} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.26$ ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes) $7_{\text {trans }} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.35$ ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate:hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ data previously reported in "Procedure and Characterization Data" (section V). X-ray quality crystals were obtained for $\mathbf{7}_{\text {cis }}$ from diethyl ether and hexane vapor diffusion.

X-Ray Crystallography Experimental: A suitable crystal $0.55 \times 0.32 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was selected and mounted on a loop with paratone oil on an XtaLAB Synergy-S diffractometer. The crystal was kept at a steady $\mathrm{T}=102(4) \mathrm{K}$ during data collection. The structure was solved with the ShelXT (Sheldrick, 2015) structure solution program using the Intrinsic Phasing solution method and by using Olex2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009) as the graphical interface. The model was refined with version 2018/3 of ShelXL (Sheldrick, 2015) using Least Squares minimization.

X-Ray Crystallography Data: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, M_{r}=405.49$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$ (No. 14), $\mathrm{a}=$ $9.56610(10) \AA, \mathrm{b}=21.4256(3) \AA, \mathrm{c}=19.3969(2) \AA, \beta=96.1240(10), \alpha=\gamma=90^{\circ}, V=3952.89(8)$ $\AA^{3}, T=102(4) \mathrm{K}, Z=8, Z=2, \mu\left(\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}\right)=0.200,135731$ reflections measured, 20640 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}$ $=0.0684$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $w R_{2}$ was 0.1495 (all data) and $R_{1}$ was 0.0566 (I > $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$


Figure S5.1. X-ray crystal structure of compound $7_{\text {cis. }}$. Black $=$ carbon, grey $=$ hydrogen, blue $=$ nitrogen, red $=$ oxygen, orange $=$ sulfur, green $=$ fluorine.

## Appendix

## Site-selective modification of jessenipeptin via $\alpha$-amino radical conjugate addition

## A-I Introduction

A global rise in multidrug-resistant bacteria has led to a growing demand for new antibiotics. Fewer novel modes of action combined with significant decrease in new approvals entering the market has led to physicians more commonly resorting to treatments that were previously seen as a last resort. ${ }^{1}$ With increasing use, these drugs of last resort are in turn becoming less effective. ${ }^{2}$ The urgent need for medicines to combat these deadly bacteria has been highlighted recently by the Centers for Disease Control who categorized it as a serious threat to society ${ }^{3}$ and World Health Organization (WHO) who characterized it as a matter of utmost urgency. ${ }^{4}$ Particularly vital are anti-Gram-negative therapeutics, as drug resistant Gram-negative bacteria comprise $75 \%$ of the priority pathogens list for the development of new antibiotics (as published by WHO). ${ }^{5}$ Particular importance is placed on those strains of bacteria that are resistant to broad spectrum $\beta$-lactam based therapies and other treatments such as vancomycin, ${ }^{6}$ tetracyclines, ${ }^{7}$ and

[^51]fluoroquinolone. ${ }^{8}$ Currently, cyclic lipopeptides (CLPs) such as the polymyxins (Polymyxin B1 + B2, Colistin A + B) are the therapeutic approaches of last resort. ${ }^{9}$

Key to the activity of the CLPs is the presence of the charged diaminobutyric acid (Dab) residues which bind competitively to membrane bound phosphates that allow recognition of the Gram-negative bacteria. The charged phosphate esters present on the outer membrane coating,


Figure A1. Polymyxin B1, a last resort antibiotic treatment, contains key structural features that lead to its antimicrobial potency

[^52]or lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which are usually bound to $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ counterions typically present a barrier for cell penetration. The impermeability of the LPS is a primary reason for the difficulty in the development of anti-Gram-negative antibiotics. The presence of charged Dab residues in CLPs allows the displacement of the counterions, bringing the CLP in close contact with the membrane. The second key feature of these macrocyclic peptides is their lipophilicity. A high concentration of lipophilic amino acids in addition to a carbogenic pendant tail is required for membrane penetration and ultimately cell death. Although effective for the treatment of Gramnegative bacterial infections, the toxicity of these drugs is high, as is the probability that resistance to them will emerge, so the discovery of new anti-Gram-negative antibiotic therapies is imperative.

We recently discovered that aminoalkyl radicals, easily generated through sequential single electron oxidation and deprotonation of the acidified $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond, undergo highly effective intermolecular coupling with dehydroalanine (Dha) derivatives. ${ }^{10}$ Through the use of photoredox catalysis, ${ }^{11}$ this process operates with extremely high fidelity with respect to both the amine (radical precursor) and Dha (radical acceptor) systems to deliver $\alpha, \gamma$-diaminobutyric acid residues that are structurally similar to the Dab residues observed in polymyxins. Antimicrobial peptides containing Dha or 2,3-dehydro-2-aminobutyric acid (Dhb) residues are common in nature. ${ }^{12}$ Because radical methods have proved to be effective for the selective functionalization of $\alpha, \beta-$ unsaturated amino acid residues in peptides and proteins, ${ }^{13}$ we questioned the ability of our method

[^53]for amino alkyl radical addition to forge new CLPs through selective functionalization of antimicrobial peptides containing these $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated residues. Moreover, we questioned the ability of this technology to reprogram the activity of antimicrobial CLPs (more specifically, to augment their activity against Gram negative microorganisms), as this semisynthetic approach would deliver peptides that contain the key features that lead to the potency of the polymyxin class of antibiotics.

To interrogate this hypothesis, we chose to study jessenipeptin, an antimicrobial CLP recently characterized by Stallforth. ${ }^{14}$ Native jessenipeptin displays antibiotic activity towards nine different bacteria including MRSA and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, both of which are deemed to be serious threats to society. ${ }^{3}$ Jessenipeptin also exhibits structural similarities to polymyxins, as it


Figure A2. Antimicrobial CLP jessenipeptin contains two

## synthetically targetable Dhb residues

[^54]contains numerous lipophilic residues and a carbogenic tail group in addition to as single Dab residue. Intriguingly, and of key importance to this work, jessenipeptin contains two Dhb residues which can be targeted for installation of amine groups to mimic the effects of the dab residues that influence the reactivity of polymyxin type antibiotics. Together, these features make jessenipeptin an ideal platform to showcase the utility of our method for radical-based peptide conjugation.

## A-II Results and Discussion

Exposure of jessenipeptin to conditions very similar to those outlined in our previous report, using 300 equivalents of trimethylamine hydrochloride in a mixture of DMSO and aqueous sodium phosphate buffer, yielded $57 \%$ of the alkyl amine modified peptide as a mixture of four diastereomers by HPLC analysis. The mass balance consisted of a small amount of starting material in addition to demethylated addition product. Interestingly, inspection of the product by tandem mass spectrometry analysis showed complete selectivity for the internal Dhb residue.


Scheme A1. Synthetic modification of jessenipeptin via $\alpha$-amino radical conjugate addition

Based on the SAR around the polymyxins we expect a significant increase in potency against Gram negative bacteria, but with a different toxicity and resistance profile to existing therapies.

## A-III Conclusion

From these promising results and the pending biological assays, this technology may be applicable to the semi-synthetic reprogramming of other Dha (and related residues) containing CLPs. The possibility of addition of a second radical source to the remaining Dhb residue is currently unexplored but will be an avenue of future research to further explore the SAR around this promising antibiotic.

## A-IV Experimental Information

## General Information

Reactions were set up on the bench top and conducted under nitrogen atmosphere while subject to irradiation from blue LEDs (LEDwholesalers PAR38 Indoor Outdoor 16-Watt LED Flood Light Bulb). Jessenipeptin was isolated from a cell culture by the laboratory of Pierre Stallforth at the Leibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and Infection Biology. ${ }^{15}$ Trimethylamine hydrochloride was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. Photocatalyst $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \text { ppy }\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was prepared in accordance with a literature procedure. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{DMSO}$ was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and degassed by sonication under weak vacuum.

## Procedure for synthetic modification of jessenipeptin

A screw-top test tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with jessenipeptin ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 equiv), trimethylamine hydrochloride ( $150.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 300$ equiv). The tube was sealed with PTFE/silicon septum and connected to a vacuum line. The atmosphere was exchanged by applying vacuum and backfilling with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (this process was conducted a total of three times). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, the tube was charged with a stock solution of of $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left\{\mathrm{dF}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppy}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{dtbbpy})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.59 \mathrm{mg}, 5.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in a mixture of degassed DMSO and 0.1 M pH 7 sodium phosphate buffer by syringe $(5.9 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~mL})$, . The resulting suspension was stirred under irradiation with blue LEDs for 16 hours. A $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of the crude reaction mixture was submitted for LC-MS/MS analysis. Integration of the ion count signals that correspond to peptide-derived materials was indicative of a combined $57 \%$ yield of the observed jessenipeptin$\mathrm{NMe}_{3}$ adduct as a mixture of four diastereomers.

[^55]
## LC-MS/MS Data for jessenipeptin-NMe $e_{3}$ adduct



Figure A-S1. Analytical liquid chromatogram of conjugate addition reaction with jessenipeptin


Figure A-S2. LC-MS Analysis of jessenipeptin-NMe3 adduct


Figure A-S3. LC-MS/MS analysis of jessenipeptin-NMe3 adduct

Integration of LC-MS/MS Analysis of jessenipeptin-NMe $3_{3}$ adduct


Figure A-S4. Integrated HPLC Zoom 38-46 min


Figure A-S5. Integrated HPLC Zoom 44-59 min


Figure A-S6. Integrated HPLC Zoom 49-50 min


Figure A-S7. Integrated HPLC Zoom 51-52 min
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