# **Distribution Agreement**

In presenting this thesis or dissertation as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for an advanced degree from Emory University, I hereby grant to Emory University and its agents the non-exclusive license to archive, make accessible, and display my thesis or dissertation in whole or in part in all forms of media, now or hereafter known, including display on the world wide web. I understand that I may select some access restrictions as part of the online submission of this thesis or dissertation. I retain all ownership rights to the copyright of the thesis or dissertation. I also retain the right to use in future works (such as articles or books) all or part of this thesis or dissertation.

Signature:

Gabrielle K. Delima

Date

Coinfection Dynamics and Host Restriction in Influenza A Virus Infection

By

Gabrielle K. Delima Doctor of Philosophy

Graduate Division of Biological and Biomedical Sciences Microbiology and Molecular Genetics

> Anice C. Lowen, Ph.D Advisor

> > John Steel, Ph.D Advisor

Graeme Conn, Ph.D Committee Chair

Bernardo Mainou, Ph.D Committee Member

Gregory Melikian, Ph.D Committee Member

Accepted:

Kimberly Jacob Arriola, Ph.D., MPH Dean of the James T. Laney School of Graduate Studies

Date

# Coinfection Dynamics and Host Restriction in Influenza A Virus Infection

By

Gabrielle K. Delima B.S., Delaware State University, 2015

Advisor: Anice C. Lowen, Ph.D. Advisor: John Steel, Ph.D.

An abstract of A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the James T. Laney School of Graduate Studies of Emory University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Graduate Division of Biological and Biomedical Sciences Microbiology and Molecular Genetics 2023

#### Abstract

## Coinfection Dynamics and Host Restriction in Influenza A Virus Infection

### By Gabrielle K. Delima

Genetic exchange between coinfecting influenza A viruses can drive viral evolution and is particularly important in facilitating adaptation to new host species. Thus, we investigated how coinfection and host restriction impact IAV replication in novel hosts. We have previously demonstrated that IAVs benefit from virus-virus interactions during homologous coinfection, indicating an intrinsic reliance on coinfection. However, whether IAV virus-virus interactions during coinfection with a heterologous strain are similarly beneficial had not been explored. To address this, we assessed the outcomes of heterologous virus-virus interactions by evaluating the extent to which a coinfecting IAV could augment the replication of a focal IAV. We found that virus-virus interactions within cells were largely beneficial, with IAVs having the lowest intrinsic reliance on coinfection most effectively augmenting replication of a focal IAV. However, competitive virus-virus interactions were dominant during multi-cycle infection. These findings suggest that coinfecting IAVs are significantly impacted by virus-virus interactions, with differing dominant virus-virus interactions between the within-cell and within-host scales. We have previously shown that the M segments of avian IAVs support aberrantly high M2 expression in mammalian cells. The M segment of the 2009 pandemic strain, although descended from that of an avian IAV, does not show this phenotype. However, the mechanism that reestablishes optimal M gene expression, was not well understood. Thus, we undertook to elucidate the mechanism underlying differential M segment gene regulation during avian and human IAV infection of a mammalian host. We found that avian IAV circulation in swine was associated with decreased M2 expression in mammalian cells. However, introducing M segment mutations that arose during IAV swine circulation into an avian precursor did not change M2 protein expression. Introducing regions of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic IAV did decrease avian M2 protein, but not mRNA, expression. These findings suggest that re-establishing regulation of M gene expression is necessary to overcome host restriction. Together, these studies further our understanding of the impacts of coinfection dynamics and host restriction on IAV replication in novel hosts.

# Coinfection Dynamics and Host Restriction in Influenza A Virus Infection

By

Gabrielle K. Delima B.S., Delaware State University, 2015

Advisor: Anice C. Lowen, Ph.D. Advisor: John Steel, Ph.D.

A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the James T. Laney School of Graduate Studies of Emory University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Graduate Division of Biological and Biomedical Sciences Microbiology and Molecular Genetics 2023

#### Acknowledgements

" It takes a village to raise a child" is an old saying that I could not truly appreciate until now. I cannot understate the amount of support and guidance that made this achievement possible, because without the following people, I could not have come this far:

Anice Lowen: Thank you for mentoring me not only in techniques and hypotheses, but also in what it means to be a strong woman in science. Thank you for helping me grow both as a scientist and person.

John Steel: Thank you for starting me on this journey and fostering my passion for science.

**My committee**: Thank you Grame, Bernardo, and Greg for always having great advice, both scientific and professional, and supporting my growth as a scientist.

**Lowen lab**: Shamika, Kayle, Jessica, Kate, Meher, Vedhika, Nahara, Ketaki, Camden, Lucas, Dave, Young, Christina, Lisa, Courtney, Meg, Kara, Nate, Maria, and Hui. Thank you all, both current and past, for the advice, support, friendship, and laughs. I see you all as my brothers and sisters in science and I can't wait to see what you all achieve in the future.

**Dwight Lacy**: Thank you for supporting my decision to come to Emory and every step after. Thank you for loving and believing in me when times got hard, or when I got on your last nerves. You bring out the best in me, and I'm looking forward to spending the rest of our lives together.

**Marie Mombrun**: Mom, there are not enough words to properly thank you for everything that you have done. Thank you for pushing me to be my best self, for showing me what it means to work hard, and to never give up. Thank you for always being in my corner and being my greatest role model.

Tabl	le of	Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction1
Introduction1
Influenza viruses1
Fig 1. influenza A virus structure2
IAV life cycle2
Fig. 2 influenza A virus life cycle4
IAV evolution5
IAV hosts
Overcoming species barriers6
Pandemic IAVs7
Virus-virus interactions8
Dissertation aims9
References11
Chapter 2: Influenza A virus coinfection dynamics are shaped by distinct virus-virus
interactions within and between cells2
Abstract24
Introduction25
Results27
Fig 1. Intrinsic reliance on multiple infection in mammalian cells is strain dependent30
Fig 2. Coinfection with a virus with low reliance on multiple infection results in a greater
increase in GFHK99 virus replication31

Fig 3. The extent to which a coinfecting virus augments replication of GFHK9	9 is defined
by its intrinsic reliance on multiple infection	32
Fig 4. At the level of the whole host, GFHK99 replication is diminished by coi	nfection.34
Fig 5: GFHK99 genome incorporation into progeny virions is enhanced durin	g
homologous and heterologous coinfection	
Fig 6. Competitive virus-virus interactions dominate during viral propagation	between
cells	
Discussion	
Fig 7. Dominant virus-virus interactions differ at the within-cell and within-ho	ost
scales	40
Methods	44
Supplemental Information	51
S1 Fig. Coinfection with NL09 strongly enhances GFHK99wt replication in N	HBE
cells	51
S2 Fig. At the level of the whole host, GFHK99 replication is suppressed by	
coinfection	53
S3 Fig. At the level of the whole host, GFHK99 replication is suppressed by pr	rior IAV
infection	53
S1 Table. Genotypes of modified viruses	54
S4 Fig. Quantification of defective viral genomes in virus stocks	55
S2 Table. Primers for the quantification of vRNA by ddPCR	56
References	

Chapter 3: Regulation of gene expression from the influenza A virus M segment is a		
feature of host adaptation64		
Abstract64		
Introduction65		
Results		
Table 1. Origin of M segments used in study		
Fig. 1 High M2 protein expression of viruses carrying avian IAV M segments is seen in		
mammalian but not avian cells69		
Fig. 2 High M2 protein expression of viruses incorporating avian, but not human, M		
segments in mammalian cells70		
Fig. 3 Increased duration of avian IAV circulation in swine is associated with decreased		
M2 protein expression72		
Fig. 4 Mutations identified in M segment of swine isolates increase viral replication, but		
not M2 protein expression73		
Fig. 5 Chimeric M segments yield decreased M2 protein, but not M2 mRNA		
expression75		
Discussion76		
Methods79		
Supplemental Information84		
Table 2. Primers used for chimeric M segment Assembly		
Table 3. Primers used for the quantification of viral mRNA by ddPCR85		
References		
Chapter 4: Discussion		

IAV virus-virus interactions	92
M segment gene regulation	94
Conclusion	95
References	97

### **1** Chapter 1: Introduction

## 2 Introduction

3 Influenza is a respiratory disease caused by influenza A and B viruses. In humans, influenza is seasonal as cases tend to increase in the winter months in temperate regions or rainy seasons in 4 tropical climates (1). Each year, influenza results in an estimated 9-41 million cases, 140-5 6 710,000 hospitalizations, and 12-52,000 deaths and over \$87 billion in total economic burden the 7 United States (2, 3). Vaccines are available to protect against seasonal influenza, however 8 influenza strains included in the vaccine must be updated each year due to the virus' ability to 9 evade pre-existing immunity (1, 4). Moreover, vaccines against seasonal influenza do not protect against infections caused by novel zoonotic influenza viruses. While influenza zoonoses are 10 infrequent, novel variants that can infect, then transmit from human to human, can cause 11 influenza pandemics which have even greater morbidity and mortality in humans than seasonal 12 influenza (5-7) For this reason, the mechanisms behind influenza virus evolution and host 13 14 adaptation continue to be an important area of research.

15

#### 16 Influenza viruses

Influenza viruses belong to the family *Orthomyxoviridae* and include four types; A, B, C and D.
Of these subtypes, influenza A viruses (IAVs) have the largest impact on humans but infect a
wide range of avian and mammalian hosts. The IAV genome is made up of eight negative sense,
single stranded RNA gene segments that encode at least 11 proteins. In the virion, each gene
segment is packaged as viral ribonucleoproteins (vRNPs) bound by nucleoproteins (NP) and a
polymerase complex, which comprises the polymerase basic 1 (PB1), polymerase basic 2 (PB2),
and polymerase acid (PA) proteins (8-10). The vRNPs, that make up the viral core, and nuclear

- export protein (NEP) are encased by a layer of matrix 1 (M1) proteins, which in turn is
- enveloped by a lipid bilayer derived from the host cell plasma membrane. The virion envelope is
- 26 decorated with viral transmembrane proteins hemagglutinin (HA), neuraminidase (NA), matrix 2
- 27 (M2). There are 17 HA (H1-H17) and 9 NA (N1-N9) known subtypes which are used in
- combination to classify IAV strains (e.g. H1N1, H3N2) (11).



29

Fig. 1 influenza A virus structure. This schematic illustrates the general composition and
 structure of an influenza virion (8-11). (Graphic: G. Delima)

32

```
33 IAV life cycle
```

34 IAV infection begins with HA mediated attachment to terminal sialic acids on host cell

- receptors, resulting in endocytosis of the virion (12). As the endosome traffics inside the
- 36 cytoplasm, the pH decreases triggering viral uncoating in two parts. One, the HA trimeric protein
- undergoes a conformational change exposing a fusion peptide that inserts into the endosomal
- membrane, then additional conformational changes in HA results in the formation of a pore (13,
- 14). Two, the tetrameric M2 proton channel activates, resulting in the acidification of the viral

core, which disassociates vRNPs from the M1 protein inner layer (15, 16). These two events 40 result in vRNP release into the cellular cytoplasm where NP nuclear localization signals direct 41 42 cellular importin proteins to transport vRNPs into the host cell nucleus (11, 17). Once inside the nucleus, IAV replication begins. The vRNP polymerase complex, an RNA-43 dependent RNA polymerase, transcribes the negative sense RNA into one of two RNA species. 44 45 Viral mRNA, which is used for protein synthesis, and complementary RNA, which is then used as a template to generate viral RNA (vRNA) gene segments. The IAV polymerase complex 46 cannot generate the 5' mRNA cap needed for translation. Instead, PB2 binds to cellular mRNA 47 caps and the endonuclease of PA cleaves the cellular mRNA 10-13 bases downstream of the 5' 48 end, in a process known as "cap snatching" (18-20). PB1 then polymerizes extension of the 49 capped primer along the vRNA template and "stutters" upon reaching a stretch of uradine 50 residues at the 5' end of the vRNA which produces a poly-A tail at the 3' end of the mRNA (20-51 52 22). Notably, the viral mRNAs of certain gene segments are spliced to generate alternative 53 transcripts. Alternative splicing of the non-structural (NS) and M segment mRNA transcripts produce the NEP and M2 proteins, respectively. 54

55 Regulation of gene expression from the M segment, to form M1 and M2 proteins, has important implications in IAV host adaptation and will be discussed further in chapter three. The transcript 56 57 of the M segment, mRNA<sub>7</sub>, encodes M1. Alternative splicing of mRNA<sub>7</sub> forms mRNA<sub>10</sub>, which 58 encodes M2, and mRNA<sub>11</sub>, which encodes no known protein. For mRNA<sub>7</sub>, the following model has been supported. Nuclear speckles are the site of mRNA<sub>7</sub> splicing, which is regulated by host 59 factors (23-27). The viral NS1 protein and the host NS1 binding protein (NS1-BP) bind and 60 61 transport mRNA7 into nuclear speckles (28, 29). Once in the nuclear speckle, NS1 dissociates 62 from mRNA7, and heterogenous ribonuclear protein K (hnRNP K) binds to the mRNA7 intron.

Then hnRNP K recruits U1 small nuclear ribonuclear protein (U1 snRNP) to the mRNA<sub>10</sub> 5'
splice site, displacing NS1-BP, U1 snRNP together with the spliceosome then splices the
mRNA<sub>7</sub> to form mRNA<sub>10</sub> (28, 29). Additionally ASF/SF2, a member of the SR RNA splicing
protein family, has been described to be involved in mRNA<sub>7</sub> splicing (30).



67

Fig. 2 influenza A virus life cycle. Influenza virus attachment is mediated by viral HA protein 68 interaction with sialic acid (SA) receptors on the cell surface (12). The virion is internalized via 69 receptor-mediated endocytosis. The formed endosome containing the virion becomes acidified 70 triggering HA fusion of the viral and endosomal membranes, M2 proton channel mediated 71 acidification of the viral core (13-16). This uncoating of the virion results in the release of viral 72 73 ribonucleoproteins (vRNPs) into the cytoplasm and are imported into the nucleus (11, 17). In the nucleus, vRNPs are transcribed into positive sense viral mRNA or cRNA. mRNAs are exported 74 from the nucleus and translated by ribosomes in the cytoplasm or endoplasmic reticulum (ER), 75 while cRNAs are used as a template to generate vRNAs (18, 20). vRNAs form vRNPs with viral 76 proteins imported into the nucleus which are exported from the nucleus toward the cell surface 77 (10, 38). There vRNPs of each of the eight viral gene segments are packaged into budding 78 79 virions that are released once viral assembly is complete (11, 48, 49). (Graphic: G. Delima) 80 Viral mRNAs are exported from the nucleus to be translated by cellular ribosomes. The viral

81 proteins that make up the envelope, HA, NA, and M2, are synthesized on ribosomes bound to the

endoplasmic reticulum. There they are inserted in the membrane, folded, and oligomerized
before being trafficked to the Golgi apparatus for post-translational modification, and finally to
the host cell plasma membrane (11). Newly synthesized NP, PB1, PB2, PA, M1, and NEP
proteins are directed back into the nucleus by nuclear localization signals to engage in viral
replication and vRNP formation (31-33). Nuclear export of vRNPs is mediated through viral
NEP and M1 proteins and the cellular CRM1 pathway (34-37). vRNPs are then trafficked to the
plasma membrane via recycling endosomes (10, 38).

At the plasma membrane, HA, NA, M1, and M2 mediate viral budding and release. The HA trimers and NA tetramers are targeted to lipid raft domains that lead to membrane curvature and budding (39-41). M1 proteins, which are bound to vRNPs, bind to HA, NA, and the plasma membrane, providing structural support and shape to the budding virion (42, 43). M1 also binds to the M2 tetramer which mediates virion scission from the plasma membrane (44-47). Finally, NA facilitates virion release by removing sialic acid residues from the virus envelope and cell surface, freeing the newly formed virion (11, 48, 49).

## 96 IAV evolution

97 IAVs evolve by two mechanisms, mutation and reassortment. The RNA-dependent RNA

98 polymerase encoded by IAVs lacks proofreading which results in frequent mutations, at a rate of

approximately  $2.5 \times 10^5$  substitutions per nucleotide per cell infection, during replication of the

100 viral genome (50). Reassortment can occur when two or more IAVs coinfect the same cell,

- 101 producing progeny that incorporate gene segments from either parental strain (51-53). While
- 102 mutation and reassortment can occur readily, these processes are often deleterious, resulting in
- less fit progeny (50, 54-56). However, in an environment where selection is acting, rare
- beneficial mutations may arise leading to the emergence of progeny with enhanced fitness. Such

is the case with seasonal influenza, where variants that can evade pre-existing immunity,

106 particularly HA neutralizing antibodies, become the new circulating strain (1, 57). Another

107 context in which IAV evolution is critical for its ecology and epidemiology is adaptation of an

108 IAV to a new host (5, 58).

#### 109 IAV hosts

110 The natural reservoir of IAVs are waterfowl, in which nearly all of the HA and NA subtypes have been found (59-62). IAVs have spread from this reservoir and established host-specific 111 lineages in humans, poultry, swine, and other mammals. In humans, seasonal influenza A is 112 currently caused by human H1N1 and H3N2 IAVs (1, 63). While uncommon, human infection 113 114 with IAVs that circulate in non-human hosts occur. Usually, these infections are limited to the index case, however in rare cases, sustained transmission from person to person occurs, resulting 115 in an IAV pandemic (7, 59). Avian IAVs in poultry have become a concern due to human 116 117 infection with these strains. Particularly, highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) A(H5) and 118 A(H7) viruses, bearing a multibasic cleavage site in the HA protein increasing pathogenicity in poultry, have resulted in human infections, deaths, and some instances of human to human 119 transmission (64-66). Another host of concern for zoonotic potential is swine, as it has proven to 120 121 be an intermediate host for IAVs. Significantly, the most recent IAV pandemic strain arose from reassortment in swine in 2009 (67, 68). 122

123

## 124 Overcoming species barriers

Species barriers impede transmission of IAVs into new hosts (5, 7, 69, 70). However, IAVs can overcome these barriers and adapt to a new host via mutation and reassortment. The HA and the polymerase complex have been well-described as important determinants of viral host range (71-

73). Receptor availability poses a barrier to transmission as human IAV HAs preferentially bind 128 to sialic acid with  $\alpha 2,6$  linkage to galactose, but avian IAV HAs prefer  $\alpha 2,3$  linked sialic acid to 129 mediate attachment. Humans express  $\alpha 2.6$  sialic acid receptors in the upper respiratory tract, 130 while  $\alpha 2,3$  sialic acid receptors are present in the lower respiratory tract, which are more difficult 131 to reach (74-76). However, a variety of mutations have been identified that alter receptor 132 133 preference to  $\alpha 2.6$  sialic acid (7, 77-79). Additionally, circulation in swine has been shown to mediate avian IAV adaptation to the human receptor, as swine harbor both avian and human IAV 134 receptors (68, 80, 81). Once inside a cell, IAV polymerase activity or interactions with host 135 136 factors can be diminished during infection of a new species (82-84). Nevertheless, mutations to PB2, PB1, or PA can re-establish polymerase activity in the new host (85-88). Thus, mutation 137 has an important role in overcoming IAV barriers through host adaptation. Similarly, IAVs can 138 rapidly overcome species barriers by acquiring gene segments pre-adapted to the host through 139 140 reassortment (5, 58). This mode of host adaptation was particularly important for the emergence 141 of several pandemic IAV strains.

142

143

## 144 Pandemic IAVs

Pandemic IAVs pose a substantial detriment to human health. The first pandemic caused by an
IAV happened in 1918, an H1N1 virus known as the "Spanish flu" and killed an estimated 50
million people globally (89, 90). The 1957 H2N2 "Asian flu" virus and the 1968 H3N2 "Hong
Kong flu" virus each killed approximately one million people worldwide. The most recent IAV
pandemic occurred in 2009, caused by the H1N1 "Swine flu" virus, resulting in about 150500,000 deaths. Both the 1968 H3N2 and 2009 H1N1 virus lineages continue to circulate in

humans as seasonal influenza strains (91). Notably, the past three IAVs pandemics share a 151 common feature; they harbor gene segments from both human and non-human IAVs(5, 6, 67, 152 92). Both the 1957 H2N2 and 1968 H3N2 strains were reassortants between avian IAVs and the 153 contemporary seasonal strain (7, 84). The 2009 H1N1 strain was a reassortant between avian, 154 swine, and human IAVs. The 2009 H1N1 strain arose from a series reassortment events in swine. 155 156 In 1978, an avian H1N1 virus entered and stably circulated in European swine, establishing the 157 Eurasian avian-like swine IAV lineage. In 1998, an H1N2 triple reassortant IAV was found in 158 north American swine containing swine, human, and avian IAV gene segments. In the 2000s, the 159 Eurasian avian-like swine strain and the North American triple reassortant swine strain reassorted in swine. The resulting reassortant H1N1 IAV strain would then emerge in humans 160 causing the 2009 H1N1 IAV pandemic (68, 93, 94). These events suggest that reassortment in 161 coinfected hosts plays an important role in the emergence of pandemic IAVs (58). 162

163

#### 164 Virus-virus interactions

Virus-virus interactions occur when two or more viruses infect the same host or cell. Depending 165 on the context, these interactions range from antagonistic to beneficial. Antagonistic interactions 166 167 can take the form of competition for naïve cells, then for cellular resources within a cell during coinfection. Indirectly, an IAV that triggers antiviral responses in the host may lead to viral 168 169 suppression (95). Notably, the presence of defective viral genomes (DVGs), virions 170 incorporating gene segments with large internal deletions, can further these antagonistic effects. 171 The defective gene segment encoded by DVGs can be replicated rapidly and packaged in place 172 of an intact segment, suppressing the production of fully infectious virus particles, and DVGs 173 can potently activate antiviral responses (96-101).

On the other hand, coinfection is sometimes necessary for productive infection and can result in 174 enhanced viral replication. It follows that the outcome of these interactions can vary and affect 175 176 viral replication, progeny production, and diversity (102-104). For IAVs, cellular coinfection is a largely beneficial interaction between coinfecting viruses. A large portion of IAV particles 177 initiate replication of incomplete viral genomes, requiring complementation through coinfection 178 179 to initiate a productive infection (105-107). Thus, IAVs have an intrinsic reliance on coinfection, though the extent of reliance varies with virus strain and host species (108). Moreover, an IAV's 180 181 reliance on coinfection may increase if there is a reduction in replicative capacity. An increase in 182 the number of viral polymerases to replicate the genome can overcome this inefficiency. Thus, these viruses exhibit a density dependence in order to replicate (88). 183

IAV reassortment is dependent on virus-virus interactions. Within coinfected cells, the exchange
of viral gene segments and incorporation into progeny virions is highly efficient (107, 109).
Within a host, reassortment dynamics vary. High virus dose and the presence of incomplete viral
genomes can enhance the frequency of reassortment (107, 110, 111). However, reassortment
becomes limited when infection dose is discordant or infection is asynchronous between distinct
variants (109). Thus, the frequency of reassortment is strongly modulated by virus-virus
interactions.

### 191 **Dissertation aims**

The first aim of this dissertation was to evaluate what viral traits determine the extent to which a coinfecting IAV can augment the replication of another. Specifically, we examined the impact of a coinfecting virus's intrinsic reliance on coinfection, the host species to which it adapted, and its homology to the focal virus. Using virus strains that had distinct combinations of these traits, we found that IAVs with low intrinsic reliance on coinfection could most potently augment the replication of a coinfecting virus. However, during multi-cycle infection in cell culture or *in vivo*,
competition between coinfecting viruses was the dominant virus-virus interaction. These
findings suggest that virus-virus interactions have significant impacts on coinfecting IAV
populations with dominant virus-virus interactions differing between the within-cell and withinhost scales.

202 The second aim of this dissertation was to elucidate the underlying mechanism of differential M segment gene regulation that can occur when an IAV infects a new host species. To this end, we 203 focused on the 2009 pandemic IAV M segment, which has avian origins, to identify M sequence 204 205 determinants that result in aberrantly high M2 protein and mRNA expression. By examining M segments derived from the Eurasian avian-like swine lineage, we showed that avian IAV 206 207 circulation in a mammalian host could re-establish M2 protein expression level to that exhibited in avian IAVs in avian hosts and mammalian IAVs in mammalian hosts but were unable to 208 identify specific mutations that emerged in this lineage that contributed to this phenotype. 209 210 Nevertheless, we found that introducing specific regions of the 2009 pandemic IAV M segment into an avian IAV precursor could reduce M2 protein expression but did not reduce M2 mRNA 211 expression. These results suggest that the regulation of M gene expression occurs after the 212 213 generation of M1 or M2 mRNAs. Together, our work supports the idea that M gene regulation has a role in IAV adaptation to new hosts. 214

215

## 216 **References Cited**

- Petrova VN, Russell CA. 2018. The evolution of seasonal influenza viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:47-60.
- 219 2. Prevention CfDCa. October 4, 2022. Disease Burden of Flu.

220 https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/burden/index.html. Accessed

- 3. Molinari NA, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Messonnier ML, Thompson WW, Wortley PM,
- Weintraub E, Bridges CB. 2007. The annual impact of seasonal influenza in the US:
- measuring disease burden and costs. Vaccine 25:5086-96.
- 4. Ahmed R, Oldstone MB, Palese P. 2007. Protective immunity and susceptibility to
- infectious diseases: lessons from the 1918 influenza pandemic. Nat Immunol 8:1188-93.
- 5. Scholtissek C. 1994. Source for influenza pandemics. Eur J Epidemiol 10:455-8.
- 6. Kilbourne ED. 2006. Influenza pandemics of the 20th century. Emerg Infect Dis 12:9-14.
- 2287.Taubenberger JK, Kash JC. 2010. Influenza virus evolution, host adaptation, and
- pandemic formation. Cell Host Microbe 7:440-51.
- 8. Compans RW, Content J, Duesberg PH. 1972. Structure of the ribonucleoprotein of
- influenza virus. J Virol 10:795-800.
- 9. Murti KG, Webster RG, Jones IM. 1988. Localization of RNA polymerases on influenza
  viral ribonucleoproteins by immunogold labeling. Virology 164:562-6.
- 10. Eisfeld AJ, Neumann G, Kawaoka Y. 2015. At the centre: influenza A virus
- ribonucleoproteins. Nat Rev Microbiol 13:28-41.
- 11. Bouvier NM, Palese P. 2008. The biology of influenza viruses. Vaccine 26 Suppl 4:D4953.

238	12.	Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. 2000. Receptor binding and membrane fusion in virus entry: the
239		influenza hemagglutinin. Annu Rev Biochem 69:531-69.
240	13.	Sieczkarski SB, Whittaker GR. 2005. Viral entry. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 285:1-
241		23.
242	14.	Stegmann T. 2000. Membrane fusion mechanisms: the influenza hemagglutinin paradigm
243		and its implications for intracellular fusion. Traffic 1:598-604.
244	15.	Pinto LH, Holsinger LJ, Lamb RA. 1992. Influenza virus M2 protein has ion channel
245		activity. Cell 69:517-28.
246	16.	Wharton SA, Belshe RB, Skehel JJ, Hay AJ. 1994. Role of virion M2 protein in influenza
247		virus uncoating: specific reduction in the rate of membrane fusion between virus and
248		liposomes by amantadine. J Gen Virol 75 (Pt 4):945-8.
249	17.	Martin K, Helenius A. 1991. Transport of incoming influenza virus nucleocapsids into
250		the nucleus. J Virol 65:232-44.
251	18.	Krug RM. 1981. Priming of influenza viral RNA transcription by capped heterologous
252		RNAs. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 93:125-49.
253	19.	Dias A, Bouvier D, Crépin T, McCarthy AA, Hart DJ, Baudin F, Cusack S, Ruigrok RW.
254		2009. The cap-snatching endonuclease of influenza virus polymerase resides in the PA
255		subunit. Nature 458:914-8.
256	20.	Te Velthuis AJ, Fodor E. 2016. Influenza virus RNA polymerase: insights into the
257		mechanisms of viral RNA synthesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:479-93.
258	21.	Luo GX, Luytjes W, Enami M, Palese P. 1991. The polyadenylation signal of influenza
259		virus RNA involves a stretch of uridines followed by the RNA duplex of the panhandle
260		structure. J Virol 65:2861-7.

- 261 22. Li X, Palese P. 1994. Characterization of the polyadenylation signal of influenza virus
  262 RNA. J Virol 68:1245-9.
- 263 23. Lamb RA, Lai CJ, Choppin PW. 1981. Sequences of mRNAs derived from genome RNA
  264 segment 7 of influenza virus: colinear and interrupted mRNAs code for overlapping
- 265 proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 78:4170-4.
- 266 24. Valcárcel J, Portela A, Ortín J. 1991. Regulated M1 mRNA splicing in influenza virus267 infected cells. J Gen Virol 72 (Pt 6):1301-8.
- 268 25. Shih SR, Nemeroff ME, Krug RM. 1995. The choice of alternative 5' splice sites in
- 269 influenza virus M1 mRNA is regulated by the viral polymerase complex. Proc Natl Acad
  270 Sci U S A 92:6324-8.
- 26. Mor A, White A, Zhang K, Thompson M, Esparza M, Muñoz-Moreno R, Koide K,
  Lynch KW, García-Sastre A, Fontoura BM. 2016. Influenza virus mRNA trafficking
  through host nuclear speckles. Nat Microbiol 1:16069.
- 274 27. Galganski L, Urbanek MO, Krzyzosiak WJ. 2017. Nuclear speckles: molecular
- organization, biological function and role in disease. Nucleic Acids Res 45:10350-10368.
- 276 28. Tsai PL, Chiou NT, Kuss S, García-Sastre A, Lynch KW, Fontoura BM. 2013. Cellular
- 277 RNA binding proteins NS1-BP and hnRNP K regulate influenza A virus RNA splicing.
- 278 PLoS Pathog 9:e1003460.
- 279 29. Zhang K, Shang G, Padavannil A, Wang J, Sakthivel R, Chen X, Kim M, Thompson
- 280 MG, García-Sastre A, Lynch KW, Chen ZJ, Chook YM, Fontoura BMA. 2018.
- 281 Structural-functional interactions of NS1-BP protein with the splicing and mRNA export
- machineries for viral and host gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E12218-
- 283 e12227.

30. Shih SR, Krug RM. 1996. Novel exploitation of a nuclear function by influenza virus: the 284 cellular SF2/ASF splicing factor controls the amount of the essential viral M2 ion 285 channel protein in infected cells. Embo j 15:5415-27. 286 31. Mukaigawa J, Nayak DP. 1991. Two signals mediate nuclear localization of influenza 287 virus (A/WSN/33) polymerase basic protein 2. J Virol 65:245-53. 288 289 32. Nieto A, de la Luna S, Bárcena J, Portela A, Ortín J. 1994. Complex structure of the nuclear translocation signal of influenza virus polymerase PA subunit. J Gen Virol 75 (Pt 290 1):29-36. 291 292 33. York A, Hengrung N, Vreede FT, Huiskonen JT, Fodor E. 2013. Isolation and characterization of the positive-sense replicative intermediate of a negative-strand RNA 293 virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:E4238-45. 294 Chaimayo C, Hayashi T, Underwood A, Hodges E, Takimoto T. 2017. Selective 295 34. incorporation of vRNP into influenza A virions determined by its specific interaction 296 297 with M1 protein. Virology 505:23-32. 35. Huang S, Chen J, Chen Q, Wang H, Yao Y, Chen J, Chen Z. 2013. A second CRM1-298 dependent nuclear export signal in the influenza A virus NS2 protein contributes to the 299 300 nuclear export of viral ribonucleoproteins. J Virol 87:767-78. 36. Neumann G, Hughes MT, Kawaoka Y. 2000. Influenza A virus NS2 protein mediates 301 302 vRNP nuclear export through NES-independent interaction with hCRM1. Embo j 303 19:6751-8. Paterson D, Fodor E. 2012. Emerging roles for the influenza A virus nuclear export 304 37. 305 protein (NEP). PLoS Pathog 8:e1003019.

306	38.	Momose F, Sekimoto T, Ohkura T, Jo S, Kawaguchi A, Nagata K, Morikawa Y. 2011.
307		Apical transport of influenza A virus ribonucleoprotein requires Rab11-positive recycling
308		endosome. PLoS One 6:e21123.
309	39.	Takeda M, Leser GP, Russell CJ, Lamb RA. 2003. Influenza virus hemagglutinin
310		concentrates in lipid raft microdomains for efficient viral fusion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
311		A 100:14610-7.
312	40.	Leser GP, Lamb RA. 2005. Influenza virus assembly and budding in raft-derived
313		microdomains: a quantitative analysis of the surface distribution of HA, NA and M2
314		proteins. Virology 342:215-27.
315	41.	Chen BJ, Leser GP, Morita E, Lamb RA. 2007. Influenza virus hemagglutinin and
316		neuraminidase, but not the matrix protein, are required for assembly and budding of
317		plasmid-derived virus-like particles. J Virol 81:7111-23.
318	42.	Zhang J, Lamb RA. 1996. Characterization of the membrane association of the influenza
319		virus matrix protein in living cells. Virology 225:255-66.
320	43.	Ali A, Avalos RT, Ponimaskin E, Nayak DP. 2000. Influenza virus assembly: effect of
321		influenza virus glycoproteins on the membrane association of M1 protein. J Virol
322		74:8709-19.
323	44.	Rossman JS, Jing X, Leser GP, Lamb RA. 2010. Influenza virus M2 protein mediates
324		ESCRT-independent membrane scission. Cell 142:902-13.
325	45.	Rossman JS, Lamb RA. 2011. Influenza virus assembly and budding. Virology 411:229-
326		36.

327	46.	Chen BJ, Leser GP, Jackson D, Lamb RA. 2008. The influenza virus M2 protein
328		cytoplasmic tail interacts with the M1 protein and influences virus assembly at the site of
329		virus budding. J Virol 82:10059-70.
330	47.	McCown MF, Pekosz A. 2006. Distinct domains of the influenza a virus M2 protein
331		cytoplasmic tail mediate binding to the M1 protein and facilitate infectious virus
332		production. J Virol 80:8178-89.
333	48.	Palese P, Tobita K, Ueda M, Compans RW. 1974. Characterization of temperature
334		sensitive influenza virus mutants defective in neuraminidase. Virology 61:397-410.
335	49.	Palese P, Compans RW. 1976. Inhibition of influenza virus replication in tissue culture
336		by 2-deoxy-2,3-dehydro-N-trifluoroacetylneuraminic acid (FANA): mechanism of action.
337		J Gen Virol 33:159-63.
338	50.	Peck KM, Lauring AS. 2018. Complexities of Viral Mutation Rates. J Virol 92.
339	51.	Lowen AC. 2017. Constraints, Drivers, and Implications of Influenza A Virus
340		Reassortment. Annu Rev Virol 4:105-121.
341	52.	Steel J, Lowen AC. 2014. Influenza A virus reassortment. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol
342		385:377-401.
343	53.	Desselberger U, Nakajima K, Alfino P, Pedersen FS, Haseltine WA, Hannoun C, Palese
344		P. 1978. Biochemical evidence that "new" influenza virus strains in nature may arise by
345		recombination (reassortment). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 75:3341-5.
346	54.	Sanjuán R, Moya A, Elena SF. 2004. The distribution of fitness effects caused by single-

327

nucleotide substitutions in an RNA virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:8396-401. 347

16

348	55.	Phipps KL, Marshall N, Tao H, Danzy S, Onuoha N, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2017. Seasonal
349		H3N2 and 2009 Pandemic H1N1 Influenza A Viruses Reassort Efficiently but Produce
350		Attenuated Progeny. J Virol 91.
351	56.	Villa M, Lässig M. 2017. Fitness cost of reassortment in human influenza. PLoS Pathog
352		13:e1006685.
353	57.	Smith DJ, Lapedes AS, de Jong JC, Bestebroer TM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus AD,
354		Fouchier RA. 2004. Mapping the antigenic and genetic evolution of influenza virus.
355		Science 305:371-6.
356	58.	Ma EJ, Hill NJ, Zabilansky J, Yuan K, Runstadler JA. 2016. Reticulate evolution is
357		favored in influenza niche switching. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:5335-9.
358	59.	Webster RG, Bean WJ, Gorman OT, Chambers TM, Kawaoka Y. 1992. Evolution and
359		ecology of influenza A viruses. Microbiol Rev 56:152-79.
360	60.	Krauss S, Walker D, Pryor SP, Niles L, Chenghong L, Hinshaw VS, Webster RG. 2004.
361		Influenza A viruses of migrating wild aquatic birds in North America. Vector Borne
362		Zoonotic Dis 4:177-89.
363	61.	Olsen B, Munster VJ, Wallensten A, Waldenström J, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. 2006.
364		Global patterns of influenza a virus in wild birds. Science 312:384-8.
365	62.	Vandegrift KJ, Sokolow SH, Daszak P, Kilpatrick AM. 2010. Ecology of avian influenza
366		viruses in a changing world. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1195:113-28.
367	63.	Russell CA, Jones TC, Barr IG, Cox NJ, Garten RJ, Gregory V, Gust ID, Hampson AW,
368		Hay AJ, Hurt AC, de Jong JC, Kelso A, Klimov AI, Kageyama T, Komadina N, Lapedes
369		AS, Lin YP, Mosterin A, Obuchi M, Odagiri T, Osterhaus AD, Rimmelzwaan GF, Shaw

370		MW, Skepner E, Stohr K, Tashiro M, Fouchier RA, Smith DJ. 2008. The global
371		circulation of seasonal influenza A (H3N2) viruses. Science 320:340-6.
372	64.	Suguitan AL, Jr., Matsuoka Y, Lau YF, Santos CP, Vogel L, Cheng LI, Orandle M,
373		Subbarao K. 2012. The multibasic cleavage site of the hemagglutinin of highly
374		pathogenic A/Vietnam/1203/2004 (H5N1) avian influenza virus acts as a virulence factor
375		in a host-specific manner in mammals. J Virol 86:2706-14.
376	65.	Yang Y, Halloran ME, Sugimoto JD, Longini IM, Jr. 2007. Detecting human-to-human
377		transmission of avian influenza A (H5N1). Emerg Infect Dis 13:1348-53.
378	66.	Guan Y, Poon LL, Cheung CY, Ellis TM, Lim W, Lipatov AS, Chan KH, Sturm-Ramirez
379		KM, Cheung CL, Leung YH, Yuen KY, Webster RG, Peiris JS. 2004. H5N1 influenza: a
380		protean pandemic threat. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:8156-61.
381	67.	Trifonov V, Khiabanian H, Greenbaum B, Rabadan R. 2009. The origin of the recent
382		swine influenza A(H1N1) virus infecting humans. Euro Surveill 14.
383	68.	Neumann G, Noda T, Kawaoka Y. 2009. Emergence and pandemic potential of swine-
384		origin H1N1 influenza virus. Nature 459:931-9.
385	69.	Sorrell EM, Schrauwen EJ, Linster M, De Graaf M, Herfst S, Fouchier RA. 2011.
386		Predicting 'airborne' influenza viruses: (trans-) mission impossible? Curr Opin Virol
387		1:635-42.
388	70.	Matrosovich M, Tuzikov A, Bovin N, Gambaryan A, Klimov A, Castrucci MR, Donatelli
389		I, Kawaoka Y. 2000. Early alterations of the receptor-binding properties of H1, H2, and
390		H3 avian influenza virus hemagglutinins after their introduction into mammals. J Virol
391		74:8502-12.

- Byrd-Leotis L, Cummings RD, Steinhauer DA. 2017. The Interplay between the Host
  Receptor and Influenza Virus Hemagglutinin and Neuraminidase. Int J Mol Sci 18.
- 394 72. Galloway SE, Reed ML, Russell CJ, Steinhauer DA. 2013. Influenza HA subtypes
- demonstrate divergent phenotypes for cleavage activation and pH of fusion: implications
- for host range and adaptation. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003151.
- 397 73. Cauldwell AV, Long JS, Moncorgé O, Barclay WS. 2014. Viral determinants of
  398 influenza A virus host range. J Gen Virol 95:1193-1210.
- 399 74. Shinya K, Ebina M, Yamada S, Ono M, Kasai N, Kawaoka Y. 2006. Avian flu: influenza
  400 virus receptors in the human airway. Nature 440:435-6.
- 401 75. van Riel D, Munster VJ, de Wit E, Rimmelzwaan GF, Fouchier RA, Osterhaus AD,
- Kuiken T. 2007. Human and avian influenza viruses target different cells in the lower
  respiratory tract of humans and other mammals. Am J Pathol 171:1215-23.
- 404 76. Nicholls JM, Bourne AJ, Chen H, Guan Y, Peiris JS. 2007. Sialic acid receptor detection
- in the human respiratory tract: evidence for widespread distribution of potential binding
  sites for human and avian influenza viruses. Respir Res 8:73.
- 407 77. Rogers GN, Paulson JC. 1983. Receptor determinants of human and animal influenza

virus isolates: differences in receptor specificity of the H3 hemagglutinin based on
species of origin. Virology 127:361-73.

- 410 78. Belser JA, Blixt O, Chen LM, Pappas C, Maines TR, Van Hoeven N, Donis R, Busch J,
- 411 McBride R, Paulson JC, Katz JM, Tumpey TM. 2008. Contemporary North American
- 412 influenza H7 viruses possess human receptor specificity: Implications for virus
- 413 transmissibility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:7558-63.

414	79.	Reperant LA, Kuiken T, Osterhaus AD. 2012. Adaptive pathways of zoonotic influenza
415		viruses: from exposure to establishment in humans. Vaccine 30:4419-34.
416	80.	Ito T, Couceiro JN, Kelm S, Baum LG, Krauss S, Castrucci MR, Donatelli I, Kida H,
417		Paulson JC, Webster RG, Kawaoka Y. 1998. Molecular basis for the generation in pigs of
418		influenza A viruses with pandemic potential. J Virol 72:7367-73.
419	81.	Ma W, Kahn RE, Richt JA. 2008. The pig as a mixing vessel for influenza viruses:
420		Human and veterinary implications. J Mol Genet Med 3:158-66.
421	82.	Subbarao EK, London W, Murphy BR. 1993. A single amino acid in the PB2 gene of
422		influenza A virus is a determinant of host range. J Virol 67:1761-4.
423	83.	Gabriel G, Herwig A, Klenk HD. 2008. Interaction of polymerase subunit PB2 and NP
424		with importin alpha1 is a determinant of host range of influenza A virus. PLoS Pathog
425		4:e11.
426	84.	Mostafa A, Abdelwhab EM, Mettenleiter TC, Pleschka S. 2018. Zoonotic Potential of
427		Influenza A Viruses: A Comprehensive Overview. Viruses 10.
428	85.	Gabriel G, Dauber B, Wolff T, Planz O, Klenk HD, Stech J. 2005. The viral polymerase
429		mediates adaptation of an avian influenza virus to a mammalian host. Proc Natl Acad Sci
430		U S A 102:18590-5.
431	86.	Steel J, Lowen AC, Mubareka S, Palese P. 2009. Transmission of influenza virus in a
432		mammalian host is increased by PB2 amino acids 627K or 627E/701N. PLoS Pathog
433		5:e1000252.
434	87.	Taubenberger JK, Reid AH, Lourens RM, Wang R, Jin G, Fanning TG. 2005.
125		Characterization of the 1918 influenza virus polymerase genes. Nature 437:889-93.

436	88.	Shartouny JR, Lee CY, Delima GK, Lowen AC. 2022. Beneficial effects of cellular
437		coinfection resolve inefficiency in influenza A virus transcription. PLoS Pathog
438		18:e1010865.

- 439 89. Pappas C, Aguilar PV, Basler CF, Solórzano A, Zeng H, Perrone LA, Palese P, García-
- 440 Sastre A, Katz JM, Tumpey TM. 2008. Single gene reassortants identify a critical role for
- PB1, HA, and NA in the high virulence of the 1918 pandemic influenza virus. Proc Natl
  Acad Sci U S A 105:3064-9.
- Belser JA, Maines TR, Tumpey TM. 2018. Importance of 1918 virus reconstruction to
  current assessments of pandemic risk. Virology 524:45-55.
- Prevention CfDCa. August 10, 2019. Past Pandemics, *on* Centers for Disease Control
  and Prevention. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/flu/pandemic-resources/basics/past-</u>
- 447 <u>pandemics.html</u>. Accessed
- 448 92. Cox NJ, Subbarao K. 2000. Global epidemiology of influenza: past and present. Annu
  449 Rev Med 51:407-21.
- 450 93. Brockwell-Staats C, Webster RG, Webby RJ. 2009. Diversity of influenza viruses in
- 451 swine and the emergence of a novel human pandemic influenza A (H1N1). Influenza
- 452 Other Respir Viruses 3:207-13.
- 453 94. Mena I, Nelson MI, Quezada-Monroy F, Dutta J, Cortes-Fernández R, Lara-Puente JH,
- 454 Castro-Peralta F, Cunha LF, Trovão NS, Lozano-Dubernard B, Rambaut A, van Bakel H,
- 455 García-Sastre A. 2016. Origins of the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic in swine in
- 456 Mexico. Elife 5.
- 457 95. García-Sastre A. 2011. Induction and evasion of type I interferon responses by influenza
  458 viruses. Virus Res 162:12-8.

- 459 96. Leeks A, Sanjuán R, West SA. 2019. The evolution of collective infectious units in
  460 viruses. Virus Res 265:94-101.
- 461 97. Nayak DP, Chambers TM, Akkina RK. 1985. Defective-interfering (DI) RNAs of
  462 influenza viruses: origin, structure, expression, and interference. Curr Top Microbiol
  463 Immunol 114:103-51.
- 464 98. Alnaji FG, Brooke CB. 2020. Influenza virus DI particles: Defective interfering or
  465 delightfully interesting? PLoS Pathog 16:e1008436.
- 466 99. Vignuzzi M, López CB. 2019. Defective viral genomes are key drivers of the virus-host
  467 interaction. Nat Microbiol 4:1075-1087.
- 468 100. Ziegler CM, Botten JW. 2020. Defective Interfering Particles of Negative-Strand RNA
  469 Viruses. Trends Microbiol 28:554-565.
- 470 101. Yount JS, Gitlin L, Moran TM, López CB. 2008. MDA5 participates in the detection of
- 471 paramyxovirus infection and is essential for the early activation of dendritic cells in
- 472 response to Sendai Virus defective interfering particles. J Immunol 180:4910-8.
- 473 102. DaPalma T, Doonan BP, Trager NM, Kasman LM. 2010. A systematic approach to virus474 virus interactions. Virus Res 149:1-9.
- 475 103. Boullé M, Müller TG, Dähling S, Ganga Y, Jackson L, Mahamed D, Oom L, Lustig G,
- 476 Neher RA, Sigal A. 2016. HIV Cell-to-Cell Spread Results in Earlier Onset of Viral Gene
  477 Expression by Multiple Infections per Cell. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005964.
- 478 104. Sanjuán R, Thoulouze MI. 2019. Erratum: Why viruses sometimes disperse in groups?
  479 Virus Evol 5:vez025.
- 480 105. Brooke CB. 2014. Biological activities of 'noninfectious' influenza A virus particles.
- 481 Future Virol 9:41-51.

106.	Jacobs NT, Onuoha NO, Antia A, Steel J, Antia R, Lowen AC. 2019. Incomplete
	influenza A virus genomes occur frequently but are readily complemented during
	localized viral spread. Nat Commun 10:3526.
107.	Fonville JM, Marshall N, Tao H, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2015. Influenza Virus Reassortment
	Is Enhanced by Semi-infectious Particles but Can Be Suppressed by Defective Interfering
	Particles. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005204.
108.	Phipps KL, Ganti K, Jacobs NT, Lee CY, Carnaccini S, White MC, Manandhar M,
	Pickett BE, Tan GS, Ferreri LM, Perez DR, Lowen AC. 2020. Collective interactions
	augment influenza A virus replication in a host-dependent manner. Nat Microbiol
	5:1158-1169.
109.	Marshall N, Priyamvada L, Ende Z, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2013. Influenza virus
	reassortment occurs with high frequency in the absence of segment mismatch. PLoS
	Pathog 9:e1003421.
110.	Sobel Leonard A, McClain MT, Smith GJ, Wentworth DE, Halpin RA, Lin X, Ransier A,
	Stockwell TB, Das SR, Gilbert AS, Lambkin-Williams R, Ginsburg GS, Woods CW,
	Koelle K, Illingworth CJ. 2017. The effective rate of influenza reassortment is limited
	during human infection. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006203.
111.	Tao H, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2014. Intrahost dynamics of influenza virus reassortment. J
	Virol 88:7485-92.
	<ol> <li>106.</li> <li>107.</li> <li>108.</li> <li>109.</li> <li>110.</li> <li>111.</li> </ol>

502

503	Chapter 2: Influenza A virus coinfection dynamics are shaped by distinct virus-virus
504	interactions within and between cells
505	
506	Gabrielle K. Delima <sup>1</sup> , Ketaki Ganti <sup>1</sup> , Katie E. Holmes <sup>1</sup> , Jessica R. Shartouny <sup>1</sup> and Anice C.
507	Lowen <sup>1,2</sup> *
508	
509	<sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta,
510	Georgia, United States of America,
511	<sup>2</sup> Emory Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Response (Emory-CEIRR), Atlanta,
512	Georgia, United States of America
513	
514	*Correspondence: anice.lowen@emory.edu
515	
516	
517	Abstract
518	
519	When multiple viral populations propagate within the same host environment, they often shape
520	each other's dynamics. These interactions can be positive or negative and can occur at multiple
521	scales, from coinfection of a cell to co-circulation at a global population level. For influenza A
522	viruses (IAVs), the delivery of multiple viral genomes to a cell substantially increases burst size.
523	However, despite its relevance for IAV evolution through reassortment, the implications of this
524	positive density dependence for coinfection between distinct IAVs has not been explored.
525	Furthermore, the extent to which these interactions within the cell shape viral dynamics at the

level of the host remains unclear. Here we show that, within cells, coinfecting IAVs strongly 526 augment the replication of a focal strain, irrespective of the native host of the coinfecting IAV or 527 its homology to the focal strain. Coinfecting viruses with a low intrinsic reliance on multiple 528 infection offer the greatest benefit. Nevertheless, virus-virus interactions at the level of the whole 529 host are antagonistic. This antagonism is recapitulated in cell culture when the coinfecting virus 530 531 is introduced several hours prior to the focal strain or under conditions conducive to multiple rounds of viral replication. Together, these data suggest that beneficial virus-virus interactions 532 533 within cells are counterbalanced by competition for susceptible cells during viral propagation through a tissue. The integration of virus-virus interactions across scales is critical in defining the 534 outcomes of viral coinfection. 535

536

```
537 Introduction
```

538

539 The past three influenza A virus (IAV) pandemics arose through reassortment involving human and non-human IAVs in coinfected hosts (1-6). Due to the segmented nature of the IAV genome, 540 when two or more IAVs coinfect the same cell, viral progeny can contain a mix of gene 541 542 segments from either parental strain (7-9). Reassortment between phylogenetically distant IAVs often generates progeny less fit than the parental strains due to the unlinking of coevolved gene 543 544 segments (10, 11). However, reassortment can facilitate host switching through the formation of 545 antigenically novel strains that carry gene segments well-adapted to human infection (2, 12). 546 Thus, coinfections involving heterologous IAVs are of constant concern. 547

When viruses coinfect the same host or cell, each can affect the other's replication. These virus-548 virus interactions range from antagonistic to beneficial, depending on the context. At the cellular 549 scale, viruses may compete directly for limited resources within the cell or indirectly through the 550 triggering of antiviral responses. These antagonistic effects are typically potent in the case of 551 defective viral genomes (13). Conversely, coinfection may enhance productivity through 552 553 complementation of incomplete or otherwise defective viral genomes or by increasing the availability of viral proteins needed for replication or host immune suppression (14-17). At the 554 555 host scale, viruses may compete for naïve cells: in a mechanism known as superinfection 556 exclusion, infected cells can become refractory to secondary infection. Additionally, activation of non-specific antiviral responses can further suppress viral infection within the host (18). 557 Conversely, a focal virus may benefit indirectly from the suppression of systemic antiviral 558 responses or induction of pro-viral processes (e.g. coughing) by a coinfecting virus. These virus-559 virus interactions often occur simultaneously, but which interaction is dominant may vary with 560 561 context.

562

Our prior work focused on virus-virus interactions between homologous viruses. We previously 563 564 reported that coinfection of a cell with multiple IAV particles is often required to initiate a productive infection (15). Furthermore, we determined that this reliance on multiple infection is 565 566 a common feature of IAVs, but the level of reliance is both strain and host dependent (16). 567 Density dependence of IAV replication arises due to a need for complementation of incomplete viral genomes (15) and can be heightened in the context of deleterious mutation or antiviral drug 568 569 treatment (17). It follows that interactions between coinfecting IAVs impact the likelihood of 570 productive vs abortive infection. Thus, IAV virus-virus interactions are a major factor defining
the outcomes of cellular infection. These outcomes include whether or not progeny are produced, their quantitative yield and their genotypes. Given that the replicative potential of a virus is strongly shaped by its interactions with other co-occurring viruses, we sought to understand the implications of virus-virus interactions in the context of coinfection between phylogenetically distinct IAVs.

576

Here, we investigate what viral traits define the extent to which a coinfecting IAV can augment 577 the replication of another. Specifically, we examine the importance of a coinfecting virus' 578 579 intrinsic reliance on multiple infection, the host species to which it is adapted, and its homology to the focal virus. To this end, we evaluated the outcomes of coinfection with a set of well-580 characterized IAV strains: influenza A/guinea fowl/Hong Kong/WF10/99 (H9N2) (GFHK99), 581 A/mallard/Minnesota/199106/99 (H3N8) (MaMN99), A/Netherlands/602/2009 (H1N1) (NL09), 582 and A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2) (Pan99) viruses. Our results indicate that homology and host 583 584 adaptation are not critical for defining IAV-IAV interactions within cells. Conversely, IAVs having a low intrinsic reliance on coinfection more effectively augment replication of the focal 585 virus. While these beneficial interactions are readily detected when infection is limited to a 586 587 single viral generation, under conditions that allow further propagation, antagonistic effects become predominant. Dynamics in vivo and during multiple rounds of viral infection in cell 588 589 culture suggest that competition for target cells and super infection exclusion typically limit the 590 opportunity for distinct viral populations to interact within cells.

591

592 **Results** 

Previously we showed that influenza A virus replication is strongly dependent on viral density. 595 596 Namely, progeny production is enhanced when population density is sufficient to ensure delivery of many viral genomes to a cell. The extent of this dependence was, however, noted to vary with 597 virus strain. To investigate the importance of this phenotype for interactions between distinct 598 599 coinfecting strains, we sought to identify a panel of viruses that vary in their reliance on multiple infection. To this end, we evaluated the sensitivity of viral RNA (vRNA) replication to 600 601 multiplicity of infection (MOI) for a diverse set of IAV strains, including two of avian-origin and 602 two of human-origin. This was done by infecting Madin Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells with a wild type (wt) virus at a constant low dose and infecting simultaneously with increasing 603 doses of a homologous virus, termed var. The var virus carries synonymous mutations to allow 604 differentiation of its genome from that of the corresponding wt virus. Coinfections were limited 605 to a single cycle of infection to ensure that results reflected processes occurring within cells. In 606 607 line with previous observations, GFHK99wt virus replication is enhanced ~100-fold by coinoculation with GFHK99var virus (Fig 1A). However, the replication of a second avian virus, 608 MaMN99wt shows at most a 4-fold enhancement with addition of MaMN99var (Fig 1B). While 609 610 peak enhancement of Pan99wt virus with the addition of Pan99var virus is ~900-fold (Fig 1C), NL09wt replication is reduced approximately 300-fold through the addition of NL09var (Fig 611 612 1D). These data indicate that reliance on multiple infection is strain dependent and does not 613 consistently correspond to the extent of viral adaptation to the host. 614

615 Beneficial interactions within cells extend to heterologous virus pairings.

# 594 Intrinsic reliance on multiple infection in mammalian cells is strain dependent.

To date, our examination of IAV density dependence has centered on homologous interactions that would occur within a given viral population. Here, we sought to test whether the positive effects of increased density would extend to coinfection with distinct IAV strains. Although virus-virus interactions would typically be bi-directional, for the purposes of our experimental design, we measure the impact of coinfection on only one strain in the pairing, referred to here as the focal virus.

622

623 We predicted that specific traits of a coinfecting virus, such as the strength of its intrinsic density dependence, the host to which it is adapted, or its degree of homology to the focal strain, may 624 affect its potential to modulate the replication of the focal strain. To test these predictions, we 625 performed a series of coinfections using GFHK99wt as the focal virus and MaMN99, NL09, or 626 Pan99 as coinfecting viruses. We infected MDCK cells with a constant dose of GFHK99wt virus 627 and increasing doses of GFHK99var virus (as a control) or NL09, MaMN99, or Pan99 virus, 628 629 then quantified levels of GFHK99wt genomes in the cells. These coinfections were again limited to a single cycle to ensure that results reflected processes occurring within cells. The results 630 show that GFHK99wt genome levels increase with increasing doses of every coinfecting var 631 632 virus, indicating that phylogenetic relatedness within the species *influenzavirus A* is not required for beneficial interactions within the cell (Fig 2 and S1 Fig). However, the degree to which 633 634 GFHK99wt virus replication was increased varied with the coinfecting strain. Compared to the 635 control in which GFHK99var was the coinfecting virus, MaMN99 and NL09var virus 636 coinfections resulted in significantly greater enhancement of GFHK99wt virus replication (Fig 2A,C and S1 Fig) while coinfection with Pan99var virus resulted in lower enhancement (Fig 2B). 637 638 These results indicate that the degree to which a coinfecting virus is adapted to the host (in this

case, mammalian cells) is not a major factor defining its potential to augment replication of the
focal virus. Instead, the data suggest that lower intrinsic density dependence of the coinfecting
strain, as for NL09 and MaMN99, allows a stronger benefit to be conferred on the focal virus.







# **Coinfecting Virus MOI (GC/cell)**

651

Fig 2. Coinfection with a virus with low reliance on multiple infection results in a greater 652 increase in GFHK99 virus replication. MDCK cells were coinfected with GFHK99wt virus at 653 654 an MOI of 0.005 GC/cell and increasing doses of the homologous GFHK99var virus (red in each panel) or a heterologous MaMN99 (A), NL09 (B), or Pan99 (C) virus. The fold change in 655 656 GFHK99wt vRNA copy number, relative to GFHK99wt-only (dashed line), is plotted. Results of 657 six biological replicates derived from two independent experiments are plotted and solid lines connect the means. Significance of differences between coinfecting viruses was evaluated by 658 two-way ANOVA. 659

- 661 Coinfection with a virus with low reliance on multiple infection better meets IAV need for
- 662 help.

To more rigorously examine how the intrinsic reliance on multiple infection displayed by a 663 coinfecting virus modulates its impact on a focal virus, we used a mutant strain of GFHK99 664 virus. We previously showed that introduction of a PA K26E mutation into the GFHK99 strain 665 reduces reliance on multiple infection (17). We confirmed this phenotype using homologous wt 666 and var strains of GFHK99 PA K26E (Fig 3A) and then compared the replication of GFHK99wt 667 668 virus, the focal virus, during coinfection with either the homologous GFHK99var or the GFHK99 PA K26E mutant virus. We found that GFHK99wt virus genome replication was 669 enhanced significantly more during coinfection with the low reliance GFHK99 PA K26E virus 670 compared to coinfection with GFHK99var virus (Fig 3B). These data reinforce the role of 671 intrinsic density dependence in defining the benefit conferred by a coinfecting strain. 672





**Fig 3.** The extent to which a coinfecting virus augments replication of GFHK99 is defined

- **by its intrinsic reliance on multiple infection.** A) MDCK cells were coinfected with
- 677 GFHK99wt PA K26E virus at an MOI of 0.005 GC/cell and increasing doses of a homologous
- 678 GFHK99var PA:K26E virus. The fold change in GFHK99wt PA K26E vRNA copy number,
- relative to wt-only (dashed line), is plotted. Results of six biological replicates derived from two
- 680 independent experiments are plotted and solid lines connect the means. The line was evaluated
- by linear regression to determine if the slope was significantly non-zero. B) MDCK cells were

coinfected with GFHK99wt virus at an MOI of 0.005 GC/cell and increasing doses of

- 683 GFHK99var or GFHK99var PA K26E virus. The fold change in GFHK99wt vRNA copy,
- relative to wt-only (dashed line), is plotted. Results of six biological replicates derived from two
- independent experiments are plotted and solid lines connect the means. Significance of
- 686 differences between coinfecting viruses were evaluated by two-way ANOVA.
- 687

## 688 Competitive virus-virus interactions predominate at the level of the whole host

- To test the extent to which the beneficial interaction observed in our cell culture model shapes
- 690 viral dynamics in vivo, we used a guinea pig model. Mimicking the experiments in cell culture,
- 691 guinea pigs were inoculated simultaneously with a low dose of GFHK99wt virus and increasing
- doses of GFHK99var or GFHK99 PA K26E viruses. The titer of GFHK99wt virus was assessed
- in daily nasal wash samples. Contrary to expectation, GFHK99wt virus replication over the
- 694 course of the infection was suppressed by the coinfecting virus: relative to GFHK99wt-only
- 695 controls, GFHK99wt virus vRNA levels were lower during coinfection with either GFHK99var
- 696 or GFHK99 PA K26E viruses (Fig 4A,B and S2 Fig).
- 697 Unlike in cell culture, multiplicity of infection cannot be controlled in vivo and mixing of
- 698 coinfecting viruses can be constrained by spatial structure, limiting the potential for cellular
- 699 coinfection (8, 19-21). Thus, we reasoned that the failure to detect the beneficial effects of
- coinfection in vivo may stem from a paucity of wt-var coinfections occurring under the
- conditions used. Based on prior studies (22), we hypothesized that pre-inoculation with
- 702 GFHK99var or GFHK99 PA K26E viruses would increase the likelihood of cellular coinfection
- with the focal virus by allowing their propagation in the target tissue prior to introduction of
- 704 GFHK99wt virus. Thus, in a second experiment, control guinea pigs were left alone and test
- guinea pigs were pre-inoculated with GFHK99var or GFHK99 PA K26E virus either 12 h or 24
- h before inoculation with GFHK99wt virus, using equivalent doses. Analysis of nasal wash
- samples revealed that GFHK99wt virus was able to replicate in each of the four GFHK99wt-only

infected guinea pigs, reaching peak titers by day 4 (Fig 4 and S3 Fig). However, GFHK99wt
virus was not detected in at least one guinea pig in each group co-inoculated with GFHK99var
virus and two guinea pigs in each group co-inoculated with GFHK99 PA K26E virus (Fig 4 and
S3 Fig). Furthermore, in line with the previous experiment, the peak titer of GFHK99wt virus
was greatest in GFHK99wt only inoculated guinea pigs (Fig 4C,D).



GFHK99var

**GFHK99 PA K26E** 

Fig 4. At the level of the whole host, GFHK99 replication is diminished by coinfection. A-B)
Guinea pigs were coinfected with GFHK99wt at a dose of 10<sup>3</sup> GC and increasing doses of the
GFHK99var or GFHK99 PA K26E virus. The viral titer of GFHK99wt is plotted and the limit of
detection is indicated by the dashed line. C-D) Guinea pigs were pre-inoculated with 10<sup>4</sup> GC of
either GFHK99var (C) or GFHK99 PA K26E (D) virus either 12 or 24 h prior to a dose of 10<sup>4</sup>
GC of GFHK99wt virus. Results of four guinea pigs per condition are plotted and solid lines

connect the means. Significance of differences between coinfecting viruses were evaluated by two-way ANOVA; \*\*p< 0.01, \*\*\*p< 0.001, \*\*\*p< 0.0001.

722

Taken together, these experiments revealed that the dynamics of coinfecting viruses within an intact animal host are antagonistic. Combined with our cell culture experiments, which indicate that beneficial interactions occur within individual coinfected cells, these data suggest that either the enhancement of focal vRNA replication within cells is not translated into increased viral release or that antagonistic interactions occurring at a higher spatial scale are predominant.

728

## 729 Genome incorporation into progeny virions is enhanced during coinfection.

To assess whether beneficial virus-virus interactions within cells results in increased virus 730 731 release, we evaluated the impact of coinfection in cultured cells on the abundance of focal viral genomes in both infected cells and released progeny. Similar to the experiments outlined above, 732 733 a low dose GFHK99wt virus and a high dose of a homologous var or heterologous GFHK99 PA 734 K26E, MaMN99, or NL09 viruses were used. After 24 h, GFHK99wt virus genomes within cells 735 and in the supernatant containing released progeny virions were quantified. Under the conditions of MOI used and at this time point, GFHK99wt virus genome replication in the cells was 736 enhanced during coinfection with MaMN99var and NL09var viruses, but not with GFHK99var 737 or GFHK99 PA K26E viruses (Fig 5). However, GFHK99wt virus genome incorporation into 738 739 progeny virions was enhanced during coinfection with all coinfecting viruses tested. In fact, 740 enhancement relative to the GFHK99wt only control was greater when considering GFHK99wt 741 genomes in progeny virions than when considering their levels within cells (Fig 5). Thus, the 742 beneficial virus-virus interactions observed within cells extend to the release of progeny virions and appear to do so with a higher than expected efficiency. 743



Fig 5: GFHK99 genome incorporation into progeny virions is enhanced during homologous 745 and heterologous coinfection. MDCK cells were coinfected with GFHK99wt virus at an MOI 746 of 1 GC/cell and a homologous GFHK99var or heterologous NL09, MaMN99, or GFHK99var 747 PA K26E virus strains at an MOI of 8 GC/cell. The fold change in wt vRNA copy number, 748 relative to GFHK99wt-only controls (dashed line), in cells and progeny virions collected in the 749 supernatant, is plotted. Results of four to six biological replicates derived from two to three 750 independent experiments are plotted and solid lines connect paired means from each experiment. 751 Significance of differences between wt-only and coinfecting viruses were evaluated by two-way 752 ANOVA and sidak post-hoc analysis; \*p< 0.015, \*\*p< 0.01. 753

### 755 Asynchrony of coinfection and competition for target cells contribute to suppressive virus-

#### 756 virus interactions.

757 The cell culture-based experiments outlined above were all performed under conditions that

- round, an approach that allows tight control of MOI and
- detection of intra-cellular virus-virus interactions. Concomitantly, this strategy eliminates the
- potential for coinfecting viruses to modulate each other's dynamics during spread through the
- cellular population. To better understand the dynamics observed in vivo, we therefore adopted a
- cell culture model in which multiple cycles of viral replication could occur, thereby allowing
- virus-virus interactions at this higher spatial scale.

765	Thus, cells were infected at low MOIs in medium conducive to multicycle replication.
766	GFHK99wt virus was used at an MOI of 0.005 GC/cell and GFHK99var or GFHK99 PA K26E
767	virus at an MOI of 0.1 GC/cell. GFHK99wt virus replication was monitored up to 48 h post
768	infection. Consistent with minimal interaction between these relatively small viral populations
769	early in the infection, GFHK99wt virus replication was comparable with or without coinfection
770	up to 24 h post infection (Fig 6 A, B). However, by 36 h or 48 h post infection, a suppressive
771	effect of coinfection was observed (Fig 6 A, B). These data are consistent with observations in
772	guinea pigs, where GFHK99wt replication in coinfected animals begins to show evidence of
773	suppression at 2 days post inoculation (Fig 4A, B). Together, these data suggest that, during
774	multi-cycle replication, limited availability of susceptible target cells gives rise to potent
775	competition between viruses.

776

To further evaluate this concept, we tested the impact of modulating the timing of coinfection on 777 the replication of a focal virus. Here, cells were pre-inoculated at varying times up to 24 h before 778 infection with GFHK99var or GFHK99 PA K26E virus at a relatively high MOI and with 779 780 GFHK99wt virus at low MOI. The replication of the focal virus, GFHK99wt, was then assessed. This experimental design was chosen to model a situation in which most cells were infected (or 781 indirectly affected) by the coinfecting virus prior to introduction of the focal virus. Thus, the 782 783 potential for cellular coinfection would be high only if cells remain susceptible to infection at the time of GFHK99wt virus introduction. The results show little change to GFHK99wt replication 784 785 when the coinfecting virus was added up to 4 h before GFHK99wt virus. However, there is a 786 significant decrease in GFHK99wt replication as the interval between infections was increased

(Fig 6 C, D). These data indicate that the time window during which beneficial interactions
within cells can occur is narrow (between 4 h and 8 h in this system). This temporal effect is
likely to be important in shaping viral dynamics during multi-cycle replication, where the
introduction of coinfecting viruses into a cell is likely to be asynchronous.



cells. A-B) MDCK cells were coinfected, under multi-cycle conditions, with GFHK99wt virus at
 an MOI of 0.005 genome copies GC/cell and GFHK99var or GFHK99 PA K26E virus at an
 MOI of 0.1 GC/cell. The titer of GFHK99wt virus is plotted and the limit of detection is

791

indicated by the dashed line. C-D) MDCK cells were pre-infected with GFHK99var or GFHK99
PA K26E virus, 0–24 h prior to inoculation with GFHK99wt virus. Cells were infected, under
single cycle conditions, at an MOI of 0.005 GC/cell of GFHK99wt virus and an MOI of 1 (C) or
10 (D) GC/cell of GFHK99var or GFHK99 PA K26E virus. The fold change in GFHK99wt
vRNA copy number, relative to GFHK99wt-only (dashed line), is plotted. Results of three
biological replicates derived from one experiment are plotted and solid lines connect the means.

803 Discussion

Our results reveal that, within cells, IAV coinfection is strongly beneficial, irrespective of the coinfecting virus's native host or its homology to the focal strain. Not all coinfecting viruses confer an equal benefit, however, and the magnitude of the benefit is defined by the coinfecting strain's intrinsic reliance on multiple infection. Despite this clearly cooperative effect within cells, virus-virus interactions at the level of the whole host are antagonistic. Examination of this effect in cell culture suggests that this negative interaction arises at least in part because coinfecting viruses access potential target cells asynchronously, leading to competition for a

811 limited supply of susceptible cells.

Our data suggest a model in which dominant virus-virus interactions differ at the within-cell and 812 813 within-host scales (Fig 7). Within cells, the positive density dependence of IAV replication 814 extends to heterologous coinfections. However, between cells, the more abundant virus will 815 typically suppress the propagation of the less abundant virus, likely both through depletion of 816 susceptible target cells and triggering of host antiviral responses. This suppression in turn will 817 limit potential for cellular coinfection involving distinct strains. In a natural coinfection, differences in viral abundance are likely to be the norm, resulting from differential fitness, 818 819 differing initial doses or differing times of introduction into the host. Thus, while intra-cellular interactions within a single viral population are fundamentally important for efficient IAV 820 821 propagation (14-16, 23), intra-cellular interactions between distinct IAVs are likely to occur 822 more rarely and have a relatively minor effect on within-host viral dynamics. At the level of the

- 823 whole host, the dominant interaction between distinct viral populations appears to be
- antagonistic.



826	Fig 7. Dominant virus-virus interactions differ at the within-cell and within-host scales.
827	Within an infected cell, coinfection with either homologous (pink) or heterologous (blue) IAVs
828	enhances the replication and augments the progeny production of a focal virus (pink). However,
829	at the within host scale, virus-virus interactions are largely antagonistic as distinct IAV
830	populations compete for susceptible target cells. Target cells can be rendered non-susceptible
831	through super infection exclusion or as a result of cellular antiviral responses triggered indirectly.
832	Within foci, homologous coinfection is highly likely to occur and will promote viral replication.
833	Mixed foci are relatively rare, however, owing to differences in initial dose, the timing of
834	infection or intrinsic fitness leading to discordance in viral population sizes. Created with
835	Biorender.com.
836	For IAVs, cellular coinfection is beneficial in large part because it allows complementation of

- 837 (very common) incomplete viral genomes, increasing the likelihood of productive infection (14,
- 838 15, 24). In addition, cellular coinfection can augment viral yield from productively infected cells

by increasing the efficiency of core viral processes (17). Localized viral spread yields areas of
high MOI, providing ample opportunity for coinfection, complementation and enhanced
replication (15, 21).

842

At the within-host scale, our data reveal a competitive effect wherein the virus introduced at a 843 844 higher dose or an earlier time point suppresses the replication of the other. Similar dynamics have been reported previously. In particular, superinfection exclusion, in which the replication of 845 846 the secondary virus diminished if it is introduced outside of a somewhat narrow time window, is 847 well documented. The duration of the window observed here (4-8 h) is in line with previous work (20, 22, 23, 25). Competitive interactions have also been noted in the context of 848 experiments designed to evaluate the relative fitness of two viral variants (26-30). Conversely, in 849 our own prior work, we have frequently examined coinfection between wt and var viruses with 850 851 well-matched fitness that are introduced simultaneously and at the same dose. In this scenario, wt 852 and var gene segments are maintained at comparable frequencies throughout the course of infection (19, 22, 31-33). The juxtaposition of these disparate coinfection dynamics suggests 853 that virus-virus interactions within a host are very sensitive to differences between coinfecting 854 855 strains in their fitness, timing of infection or population size. Effectively, when co-inoculated at equivalent dose, wt and var behave as a single viral population. By contrast, when divergent 856 857 strains are introduced or when homologous viruses are introduced independently, one strain will 858 typically gain an advantage in the resultant competition for limited resources (or limited time 859 before the immune system responds).

The same conditions that allow the beneficial effects of cellular coinfection to occur also support 861 the replication of defective viral genomes (DVGs). DVGs differ from incomplete viral genomes 862 863 in that, instead of missing entire segments, DVGs include segment(s) containing large internal deletions. These defective segments can be replicated rapidly and packaged in place of a 864 standard segment, thereby suppressing the production of infectious progeny viruses (34-37). 865 866 While we ensure low levels of DVGs in our virus stocks and their effects would therefore be minimal in assays limited to a single round of viral replication, we would expect the formation of 867 868 DVGs in vivo (38-40). Prior studies show the presence of DVGs negatively impacts viral 869 replication (13) and can potently activate innate immune responses (41). Thus, delivery of multiple viral genomes to a cell may be predominantly beneficial early on during infection but 870 may become detrimental as DVGs accumulate. In the context of heterologous coinfection, the 871 DVGs of the more abundant coinfecting virus may furthermore drive the suppression of the less 872 abundant strain. While we did not monitor DVG levels in the experiments reported here, these 873 874 are hypotheses that can be addressed in future work.

875

Due to the segmented nature of the IAV genome, cellular coinfection yields progeny containing 876 877 gene segments from both parent strains. Such reassortment plays an important role in IAV evolution in many contexts but is especially prominent in IAV expansion into new host species, 878 879 including humans (8, 12, 42, 43). The frequency of IAV reassortment involves virus-virus 880 interactions at every biological scale. Exchange of viral gene segments can only occur – and is 881 highly efficient – in coinfected cells (22, 24). Our finding herein that the benefits of cellular 882 coinfection are high even for poorly matched virus strains indicates that virus-virus interactions 883 within cells will promote reassortment between IAVs of distinct lineages. However, our

observation that virus-virus interactions at the level of the whole host are antagonistic suggests 884 that the potential for cellular coinfection between independently introduced and/or 885 phylogenetically distinct strains is likely to be limited. When IAVs derived from distinct host 886 species coinfect, the virus replicating in its native host is likely to have a fitness advantage (1, 6, 887 44, 45). However, if the host has pre-existing immunity to the well-adapted strain, this could tip 888 889 the balance in favor of the novel virus. Of course, should conditions of timing, dose and fitness combine to allow reassortment, a chimeric strain that brings together genes well-adapted to the 890 host with genes encoding novel antigenic determinants can result. In humans, such a virus would 891 892 have pandemic potential. Our data nonetheless suggest that the propagation of such a strain within the host would usually be strongly limited by the already established parental virus 893 populations. Onward transmission, in turn, would be unlikely owing to tight transmission 894 bottlenecks (46-48). In sum, the antagonistic nature of virus-virus interactions at the within host 895 896 scale is likely a major factor contributing to the rarity of IAV pandemics.

897

In conclusion, while the benefits of cellular coinfection extend to heterologous strains, disparate
fitness or conditions of introduction into a host will typically limit the opportunity for
heterologous strains to meet within cells. The need for multiple infection is therefore more likely
to be met within a single viral population. Nevertheless, IAV populations coinfecting the same
host have a significant impact on each other's dynamics owing to competition for limited
resources.

904

905 Author Contributions

906	Concept and experimental planning was performed by GD and ACL. Data was collected GD,
907	KG and KEH and analyzed by GD with input from ACL. Key reagents and intellectual input
908	were provided by JRS. Manuscript and figures were written, designed, and edited by GD and
909	ACL. Research funding was acquired by ACL.
910	
911	Acknowledgements
912	This work was supported by funding from NIH/NIAID under the Centers of Excellence for
913	Influenza Research and Response contract no. 75N93021C00017 to ACL and R01 AI127799 to
914	ACL.
915	
916	Declaration of Interests
917	The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
918	
919	Materials and Methods
920	
921	Ethics statement
922	Experiments using guinea pigs were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and
923	Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. All studies were approved by the
924	Emory University Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee (protocol PROTO201700595)
925	and were conducted under animal biosafety level (ABSL-2) containment. Guinea pigs were
926	humanely euthanized following America Veterinary Medical Association approved guidelines.
927	
928	Cells

Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells, a gift of Daniel Perez at University of Georgia, 929 were maintained in minimum essential medium (MEM; Gibco) supplemented with Normocin 930 (InvivoGen) and 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS). Human kidney 293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216) 931 were maintained in Dulbecco's minimal essential medium (Gibco) supplemented with Normocin 932 and 10% FBS. Normal Human Bronchial Epithelial (NHBE) cells (Lonza) were maintained in 933 934 bronchial epithelial cell growth medium (BEGM) purchased from Lonza. NHBE cells from a single donor were amplified and differentiated into air-liquid interface cultures as recommended 935 by Lonza and described in (49). All cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified 936 937 incubator. Cells were tested monthly for mycoplasma contamination during use. Medium used for IAV infection in each cell line (virus medium) was prepared using the appropriate medium 938 containing Normocin and 4.3% bovine serum albumin. Infection of NHBE cells was performed 939 with BEGM in the basolateral chamber. Virus medium or BEGM containing ammonium chloride 940 was prepared by adding HEPES buffer and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl at final concentrations of 50 mM and 20 mM, 941 942 respectively.

943

944

#### 945 Viruses

946 The strains influenza A/Netherlands/602/2009 (H1N1) and A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2) are

referred to herein as NL09 and Pan99, respectively. NL09 and Pan99 were handled under BSL2

948 conditions. The strains influenza A/guinea fowl/Hong Kong/WF10/99 (H9N2) and

A/mallard/Minnesota/199106/99 (H3N8) are referred to herein as GFHK99 and MaMN99,

950 respectively. GFHK99 and MaMN99 were handled under BSL2 conditions with enhancements

as required by the United States Department of Agriculture. Coinfections involving human andavian IAVs were performed under BSL3 conditions.

953

All viruses used were generated using reverse genetics (50, 51). The reverse genetics system for 954 GFHK99 is in the ambisense vector pDP and was a kind gift of Daniel Perez. The reverse 955 956 genetics system for NL09 is in the ambisense vector pHW and was a kind gift of Ron Fouchier. 957 The reverse genetics systems for Pan99 and MaMN99 viruses were generated in house in the 958 pDP vector (a gift of Daniel Perez). The GFHK99 PA K26E mutant virus was described in (17) 959 and was generated by introducing the K26E mutation to the reverse genetics plasmid encoding the PA gene segment of GFHK99 using site-directed mutagenesis. The specific mutations 960 introduced are listed in S1 Table. Silent mutations were introduced into var viruses by site-961 directed mutagenesis to allow discrimination between wt and var gene segments by site-specific 962 primers used in ddPCR. The specific mutations introduced into all var viruses are listed in S1 963 964 Table.

965

Briefly, avian viruses were generated by transfecting 293T cells with eight reverse genetics 966 967 plasmids encoding each IAV segment. After 16 h, transfected 293T cells were injected into the allantoic cavity of 11-day old chicken eggs, incubated at 37°C for 40-48 h, and allantoic fluid 968 969 was recovered for use as a passage 1 working virus stock. Mammalian IAVs were generated by 970 transfecting 293T cells with reverse genetics plasmids encoding each IAV segment. After 16 h, transfected 293T cells were then cocultured with MDCK cells at 37°C for 40-48 h. Collected 971 972 supernatants were then propagated in MDCK cells from low MOI to generate a working virus 973 stock. Every virus stock was tested for defective viral genomes (DVGs) as described previously 974 (52) (S4 Fig). We define 'low DVG content' as follows: the ratio of copy number for terminal
975 and internal targets (T:I) is <2.0 for each of the PB2, PB1 and PA gene segments. Any stocks not</li>
976 meeting this criterion were regenerated.

977

Viral concentrations are reported in genome copies per mL throughout this work for two reasons.
First, use of a molecular assay for viral quantification allows differentiation of IAVs present in a
mixture. Second, this approach ensures consistency in the limit of detection across virus strains.
In this work and in prior studies, we have found that viral infectivity varies with MOI and the
efficiency with which a single virus particle initiates infection varies widely across strains. As a
result, titration of infectious units at limiting dilution by plaque assay or TCID<sub>50</sub> assay measures
differing sub-populations for different IAV strains.

985

#### 986 Synchronized, single- and multi-cycle infections

987 Synchronization was used to coordinate the timing of viral entry into cells and was carried out as follows: The monolayer of cells was washed 3x with cold PBS and placed on ice. Chilled virus 988 inoculum was added to each well and kept at 4°C for 45 min with rocking to allow time for IAV 989 990 attachment but not entry. After that time, the inoculum was aspirated, each well was washed 3x with cold PBS. Warmed virus medium without trypsin was added to allow entry and this time is 991 992 defined as t=0. Cultures were incubated at 37°C. Single-cycle conditions were designed to 993 prevent released progeny virus from initiating a subsequent round of infection. A single cycle of infection was imposed by replacing virus medium with virus medium containing NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and 994 995 HEPES solution at final concentrations of 20 mM and 50 mM, respectively, at 3 h post-infection. 996 In the case of NHBE cells, this medium was added to the basolateral chamber only. Addition of

997 NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and HEPES to the medium prevents the acidification of endosomes, thereby blocking
998 infection (42). For multi-cycle infections, L-1-tosylamido-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone
999 (TPCK)-treated trypsin was added to virus medium at 0 h post-infection at a final concentration
1000 of 1 µM, and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and HEPEs were not added. Addition of TPCK-treated trypsin allows
1001 cleavage activation of the HA protein on released virus, which is required for infection.

1002

1003 Detection of virus-virus interactions within cells

1004 MDCK cells were seeded into 12-well plates at  $4 \times 10^5$  cells per well 24 h prior to infection.

1005 NHBE cells were cultured at an air-liquid interface as previously described (49). Each infection

- 1006 took place under synchronized, single-cycle conditions. For homologous coinfections, triplicate
- 1007 wells were inoculated with wt virus at an MOI of 0.005 genome copies (GC)/cell in MDCK
- 1008 cells, or 0.5 GC/cell in NHBE cells and increasing doses of a matched var virus. For
- 1009 heterologous coinfections, triplicate wells were inoculated with GFHK99wt virus at an MOI of
- 1010 0.005 GC/cell in MDCK cells, or 0.5 GC/cell in NHBE cells, and increasing doses of
- 1011 MaMN99wt, NL09var, or Pan99wt viruses. At 12 h post infection, virus medium containing
- 1012 NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and HEPES was removed, cell surfaces were washed 3x with PBS, and cells were

1013 harvested for RNA extraction using the Qiagen RNeasy mini kit.

1014

#### 1015 Impact of intracellular virus-virus interactions on released viral progeny

1016 MDCK cells were seeded into T75 flasks at 5 x  $10^6$  cells per flask 24 h prior to infection. Each

- 1017 infection took place under synchronized, single-cycle conditions. Duplicate flasks were
- 1018 inoculated with GFHK99wt virus at an MOI of 1 GC/cell and either PBS, homologous
- 1019 GFHK99var virus, or heterologous MaMN99wt, NL09var, or Pan99wt viruses at an MOI of 8

1020 GC/cell. At 24 h post infection medium (containing viral progeny) was collected separately from cells. Cells were washed 3x with PBS and harvested using the Qiagen RNeasy mini kit and 1021 protocol instructions. Collected medium was centrifuged (Thermofisher Sorvall ST 16R 1022 Centrifuge) at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to remove cell debris. The resultant supernatant was 1023 transferred into a Beckman ultracentrifuge tube and centrifuged (Beckman Coulter Optima<sup>TM</sup>) 1024 1025 XL-100K Ultracentrifuge) at 10,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C to further clarify the sample of cell debris. The final supernatant was then transferred into a new ultracentrifuge tube. A cushion of 5 1026 1027 mL 30% sucrose in NTE buffer[1 M NaCl, 0.1 M Tris, 0.01 M EDTA, pH 7.4] as injected into the bottom of the tube and the sample was centrifuged (Beckman Coulter Optima<sup>TM</sup> XL-100K 1028 Ultracentrifuge) at 25,000 rpm for 2 h at 4°C. The resultant viral pellet was resuspended in PBS 1029 1030 and RNA extracted using the Qiagen Viral RNA mini kit and protocol instructions.

1031

#### 1032 Monitoring virus-virus interactions in guinea pigs

1033 Female Hartley strain guinea pigs weighing 300-350 g were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA) and housed by Emory University's Department of Animal 1034 resources. Prior to intranasal inoculation, nasal lavage, and euthanasia, guinea pigs were 1035 1036 anesthetized by intramuscular injection with 30 mg/kg ketamine and 4 mg/kg xylazine. Guinea pigs were inoculated intranasally with IAV in 300 µl PBS. Daily nasal washes were performed 1037 1038 up to 6 d post GFHK99wt inoculation. Briefly, with the animal's nose suspended in a downward 1039 orientation over a Petri dish, 1 mL PBS was instilled into the nares in 200 µl increments and allowed to drop back out. Liquid was pooled into a 1.5 mL tube, aliquoted and stored at -80°C. 1040 1041

## 1042 Quantification of vRNA

1043	RNA was extracted from cells and viral samples using the Qiagen RNeasy mini kit and the
1044	Qiagen Viral RNA mini kit, respectively, using included protocol instructions. Extracted vRNA
1045	was reverse transcribed using a 1:1 ratio of universal influenza primers (53)(S2 Table) and
1046	Maxima RT (Thermofisher) per protocol instructions. Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) was
1047	performed on the resultant cDNA using the QX200 <sup>TM</sup> ddPCR <sup>TM</sup> EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad)
1048	and virus specific primers targeting the NP segment (final concentration 200 nM) (S2 Table).
1049	Copy numbers of the wt virus present in coinfected samples were normalized to those detected in
1050	wt only control infections.
1051	
1052	Statistical analyses:
1053	All statistical analyses were performed in R (version 1.3.959). Log transformed values for
1054	genome copy numbers and the mean of technical replicates were used for statistical analyses.
1055	





S1 Fig. Coinfection with NL09 strongly enhances GFHK99wt replication in NHBE cells. 1058

(Related to Fig 2.) NHBE cells were infected with GFHK99wt virus at an MOI of 0.5 genome 1059

copies (GC)/cell and increasing doses of the homologous GFHK99var virus or the heterologous 1060

NL09 virus. The fold change in GFHK99wt vRNA copy number, relative to GFHK99wt-only 1061

control (dashed line), is plotted. Results of six biological replicates derived from two 1062

independent experiments are plotted and solid lines connect the means. Significance of 1063

differences between results obtained with the differing coinfecting viruses were evaluated by 1064 two-way ANOVA.





1068 S2 Fig. At the level of the whole host, GFHK99 replication is suppressed by coinfection.

- 1069 (Related to Fig 5A). Guinea pigs were infected with GFHK99wt at a dose of  $10^3$  GC and
- 1070 increasing doses of GFHK99var (A) or GFHK99 PA K26E (B) virus. The viral titer in nasal
- 1071 washes collected from each guinea pig is plotted and the limit of is indicated by the dashed line.
- 1072 Guinea pig ID numbers are shown in grey boxes appended to each facet.



1074 S3 Fig. At the level of the whole host, GFHK99 replication is suppressed by prior IAV

**infection.** (Related to Figure 4B). Guinea pigs were pre-inoculated with  $10^4$  GC of either

1076 GFHK99var (A) or GFHK99 PA K26E (B) virus either 12 or 24 h prior to a dose of  $10^4$  GC of

1077 GFHK99wt virus. The viral titer in nasal washes is plotted and the limit of detection is indicated1078 by the dashed line. Guinea pig ID numbers are shown in grey boxes appended to each facet.

1080 S1 Table. Genotypes of modified viruses.

	PB2	PB1	PA	HA	NP	NA	М	NS
	A300G,	T282C,	A351G,	A338G,	A345G,	A424G,	G340A,	С386Т,
	A303T,	T285C,	C354T,	A344C,	G351A,	T430A,	A343G,	G389A,
CFHK00wara	T306C,	A288G,	С357Т,	A351C,	A354G,	G433A,	A349G,	A392G,
GFIIK))val2	G459C,	A420G,	T501G,	A432G,	A486T,	A583G,	G439A,	A479G,
	T461A,	T426C,	C504T,	T435A,	С489Т,	G586C,	C442T,	G482C,
	T467T	C432T	C507T	C438T	A495G	G589C	A445G	A488G
NL09var	C273T	T288C	C360T	C305T	A351G	G336A	G295A	C341T
Pan99var0	A345T, C360T	A540G	G333A, A342G	T308A, C311A, C314T, A464T, C467G, T470A	C537T, T538A, C539G, C612G, G615A	C418G, T421A, A424C	G586A	A329T, A335T, C341G
GFHK99wt PA K26E			A100G, A102G					
			A100G,					
	A300G,	T282C,	A102G,		A345G,	A424G,	G340A,	С386Т,
	A303T,	T285C,	A351G,		G351A,	T430A,	A343G,	G389A,
GFHK99var <sub>2</sub>	T306C,	A288G,	C354T,		A354G,	G433A,	A349G,	A392G,
<b>PA K26E</b>	G459C,	A420G,	С357Т,		A486T,	A583G,	G439A,	A479G,
	T461A,	T426C,	T501G,		С489Т,	G586C,	C442T,	G482C,
	T467T	C432T	C504T,		A495G	G589C	A445G	A488G
			C507T					



1083

1084 S4 Fig. Quantification of defective viral genomes in virus stocks. Levels of defective viral 1085 genomes (DVGs) were quantified by ddPCR using primers targeting the terminal and internal 1086 regions of the PB2, PB1, PA, and M gene segments. Ratios of terminal to internal copies that are 1087 <2.0 indicate low DVG content. Virus stocks tested are named above each facet. Two different 1088 stocks of GFHK99wt virus were used.

Universal influenza Reverse Transcription Primers				
Univ.F(A)+6	GCGCGCAGCAAAAGCAGG			
Univ.F(G)+6	GCGCGCAGCGAAAGCAGG			
GFHK99wt, GFHK99wt PA K26E Virus Primers				
WF10wt NP 336 F	GAAGGAGAGACGGGAAATG			
WF10wt NP 505 R	GGCTCTTGTTCTCTGGTATG			
GFHK99var2, GFHK99var2 PA K26E Virus Primers				
WF10help NP 388 F	GAAGGAGGGACGGAAAGT			
WF10help NP 505R	GGGCTCTTGTCCTCTGATAA			
NL09var Virus Primers				
NL09 NP 309 F	CCCTAAGAAAACAGGAGGACCC			
NL09 NP 411 R	TTGGCGCCAAACTCTCCTTA			
Pan99wt Virus Primers				
Pan99wt NP 520 F	ATGGATCCCAGAATGTGCTC			
Pan99wt NP 625 R	TCAGCTCCATTGTC			
Pan99var Virus Primers				
Pan99var0 NP 520 F	ATGGATCCCAGAATGTGTAG			
Pan99var0 NP 625 R	TCAGCTCCATAGTG			
MaMN99wt Virus Primers				
MN99 NP 378 F	CGACAAAGAAGAGATCAGAAGGA			
MN99 NP 457 R	TCATCAAATGGGTGAGACCA			

# 1090 S2 Table. Primers for the quantification of vRNA by ddPCR.

## 1095 **References Cited**

- Webster RG, Bean WJ, Gorman OT, Chambers TM, Kawaoka Y. 1992. Evolution and
   ecology of influenza A viruses. Microbiol Rev 56:152-79.
- 1098 2. Scholtissek C. 1994. Source for influenza pandemics. Eur J Epidemiol 10:455-8.
- 1099 3. Cox NJ, Subbarao K. 2000. Global epidemiology of influenza: past and present. Annu
  1100 Rev Med 51:407-21.
- 1101 4. Kilbourne ED. 2006. Influenza pandemics of the 20th century. Emerg Infect Dis 12:9-14.
- Trifonov V, Khiabanian H, Greenbaum B, Rabadan R. 2009. The origin of the recent
  swine influenza A(H1N1) virus infecting humans. Euro Surveill 14.
- 1104 6. Sorrell EM, Schrauwen EJ, Linster M, De Graaf M, Herfst S, Fouchier RA. 2011.
- Predicting 'airborne' influenza viruses: (trans-) mission impossible? Curr Opin Virol
  1:635-42.
- 1107 7. Steel J, Lowen AC. 2014. Influenza A virus reassortment. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol
  1108 385:377-401.
- 1109 8. Lowen AC. 2017. Constraints, Drivers, and Implications of Influenza A Virus
- 1110 Reassortment. Annu Rev Virol 4:105-121.
- 1111 9. Desselberger U, Nakajima K, Alfino P, Pedersen FS, Haseltine WA, Hannoun C, Palese
- P. 1978. Biochemical evidence that "new" influenza virus strains in nature may arise by
  recombination (reassortment). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 75:3341-5.
- 1114 10. Phipps KL, Marshall N, Tao H, Danzy S, Onuoha N, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2017. Seasonal
- 1115 H3N2 and 2009 Pandemic H1N1 Influenza A Viruses Reassort Efficiently but Produce
- 1116 Attenuated Progeny. J Virol 91.

- 1117 11. Villa M, Lässig M. 2017. Fitness cost of reassortment in human influenza. PLoS Pathog
  1118 13:e1006685.
- 1119 12. Ma EJ, Hill NJ, Zabilansky J, Yuan K, Runstadler JA. 2016. Reticulate evolution is
  1120 favored in influenza niche switching. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:5335-9.
- 1121 13. Leeks A, Sanjuán R, West SA. 2019. The evolution of collective infectious units in
  viruses. Virus Res 265:94-101.
- 1123 14. Brooke CB, Ince WL, Wrammert J, Ahmed R, Wilson PC, Bennink JR, Yewdell JW.
- 2013. Most influenza a virions fail to express at least one essential viral protein. J Virol
  87:3155-62.
- 1126 15. Jacobs NT, Onuoha NO, Antia A, Steel J, Antia R, Lowen AC. 2019. Incomplete
  1127 influenza A virus genomes occur frequently but are readily complemented during
  1128 localized viral spread. Nat Commun 10:3526.
- 1129 16. Phipps KL, Ganti K, Jacobs NT, Lee CY, Carnaccini S, White MC, Manandhar M,
- 1130 Pickett BE, Tan GS, Ferreri LM, Perez DR, Lowen AC. 2020. Collective interactions
- augment influenza A virus replication in a host-dependent manner. Nat Microbiol5:1158-1169.
- 1133 17. Shartouny JR, Lee CY, Delima GK, Lowen AC. 2022. Beneficial effects of cellular
  1134 coinfection resolve inefficiency in influenza A virus transcription. PLoS Pathog
  1135 18:e1010865.
- 1136 18. García-Sastre A. 2011. Induction and evasion of type I interferon responses by influenza
  1137 viruses. Virus Res 162:12-8.

1139		Reassortment Is Limited by Anatomical Compartmentalization following Coinfection via
1140		Distinct Routes. J Virol 92.
1141	20.	Sims A, Tornaletti LB, Jasim S, Pirillo C, Devlin R, Hirst J, Loney C, Wojtus J, Sloan E,
1142		Thorley L, Boutell C, Roberts E, Hutchinson E. 2022. Superinfection exclusion creates
1143		spatially distinct influenza virus populations. bioRxiv
1144		doi:10.1101/2022.06.06.494939:2022.06.06.494939.
1145	21.	Ganti K, Bagga A, Carnaccini S, Ferreri LM, Geiger G, Joaquin Caceres C, Seibert B, Li
1146		Y, Wang L, Kwon T, Li Y, Morozov I, Ma W, Richt JA, Perez DR, Koelle K, Lowen
1147		AC. 2022. Influenza A virus reassortment in mammals gives rise to genetically distinct
1148		within-host subpopulations. Nat Commun 13:6846.
1149	22.	Marshall N, Priyamvada L, Ende Z, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2013. Influenza virus
1150		reassortment occurs with high frequency in the absence of segment mismatch. PLoS
1151		Pathog 9:e1003421.
1152	23.	Brooke CB, Ince WL, Wei J, Bennink JR, Yewdell JW. 2014. Influenza A virus
1153		nucleoprotein selectively decreases neuraminidase gene-segment packaging while
1154		enhancing viral fitness and transmissibility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:16854-9.
1155	24.	Fonville JM, Marshall N, Tao H, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2015. Influenza Virus Reassortment
1156		Is Enhanced by Semi-infectious Particles but Can Be Suppressed by Defective Interfering
1157		Particles. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005204.
1158	25.	Sun J, Brooke CB. 2018. Influenza A Virus Superinfection Potential Is Regulated by
1159		Viral Genomic Heterogeneity. mBio 9.

Richard M, Herfst S, Tao H, Jacobs NT, Lowen AC. 2018. Influenza A Virus

19.

1160	26.	Ince WL, Gueye-Mbaye A, Bennink JR, Yewdell JW. 2013. Reassortment complements
1161		spontaneous mutation in influenza A virus NP and M1 genes to accelerate adaptation to a
1162		new host. J Virol 87:4330-8.
1163	27.	Ferreri LM, Geiger G, Seibert B, Obadan A, Rajao D, Lowen AC, Perez DR. 2022. Intra-
1164		and inter-host evolution of H9N2 influenza A virus in Japanese quail. Virus Evol
1165		8:veac001.
1166	28.	Mhamdi Z, Carbonneau J, Venable MC, Baz M, Abed Y, Boivin G. 2021. Replication
1167		and transmission of an influenza A(H3N2) virus harboring the polymerase acidic I38T
1168		substitution, in guinea pigs. J Gen Virol 102.
1169	29.	Lee LY, Zhou J, Koszalka P, Frise R, Farrukee R, Baba K, Miah S, Shishido T, Galiano
1170		M, Hashimoto T, Omoto S, Uehara T, Mifsud EJ, Collinson N, Kuhlbusch K, Clinch B,
1171		Wildum S, Barclay WS, Hurt AC. 2021. Evaluating the fitness of PA/I38T-substituted
1172		influenza A viruses with reduced baloxavir susceptibility in a competitive mixtures ferret
1173		model. PLoS Pathog 17:e1009527.
1174	30.	Dibben O, Crowe J, Cooper S, Hill L, Schewe KE, Bright H. 2021. Defining the root
1175		cause of reduced H1N1 live attenuated influenza vaccine effectiveness: low viral fitness
1176		leads to inter-strain competition. NPJ Vaccines 6:35.
1177	31.	Ganti K, Bagga A, DaSilva J, Shepard SS, Barnes JR, Shriner S, Koelle K, Lowen AC.
1178		2021. Avian Influenza A Viruses Reassort and Diversify Differently in Mallards and
1179		Mammals. Viruses 13.
1180	32.	Tao H, Li L, White MC, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2015. Influenza A Virus Coinfection
1181		through Transmission Can Support High Levels of Reassortment. J Virol 89:8453-61.

- 1182 33. Tao H, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2014. Intrahost dynamics of influenza virus reassortment. J
  1183 Virol 88:7485-92.
- 1184 34. Nayak DP, Chambers TM, Akkina RK. 1985. Defective-interfering (DI) RNAs of
  1185 influenza viruses: origin, structure, expression, and interference. Curr Top Microbiol
  1186 Immunol 114:103-51.
- 1187 35. Alnaji FG, Brooke CB. 2020. Influenza virus DI particles: Defective interfering or
  1188 delightfully interesting? PLoS Pathog 16:e1008436.
- 1189 36. Vignuzzi M, López CB. 2019. Defective viral genomes are key drivers of the virus-host
  1190 interaction. Nat Microbiol 4:1075-1087.
- 1191 37. Ziegler CM, Botten JW. 2020. Defective Interfering Particles of Negative-Strand RNA
  1192 Viruses. Trends Microbiol 28:554-565.
- 1193 38. Saira K, Lin X, DePasse JV, Halpin R, Twaddle A, Stockwell T, Angus B, Cozzi-Lepri
- 1194 A, Delfino M, Dugan V, Dwyer DE, Freiberg M, Horban A, Losso M, Lynfield R,
- 1195 Wentworth DN, Holmes EC, Davey R, Wentworth DE, Ghedin E. 2013. Sequence
- 1196 analysis of in vivo defective interfering-like RNA of influenza A H1N1 pandemic virus. J
- 1197 Virol 87:8064-74.
- 1198 39. Vasilijevic J, Zamarreño N, Oliveros JC, Rodriguez-Frandsen A, Gómez G, Rodriguez G,
- 1199 Pérez-Ruiz M, Rey S, Barba I, Pozo F, Casas I, Nieto A, Falcón A. 2017. Reduced
- accumulation of defective viral genomes contributes to severe outcome in influenza virusinfected patients. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006650.
- 1202 40. Lui WY, Yuen CK, Li C, Wong WM, Lui PY, Lin CH, Chan KH, Zhao H, Chen H, To
- 1203 KKW, Zhang AJX, Yuen KY, Kok KH. 2019. SMRT sequencing revealed the diversity

- and characteristics of defective interfering RNAs in influenza A (H7N9) virus infection.
  Emerg Microbes Infect 8:662-674.
- 1206 41. Yount JS, Gitlin L, Moran TM, López CB. 2008. MDA5 participates in the detection of
- 1207 paramyxovirus infection and is essential for the early activation of dendritic cells in
- response to Sendai Virus defective interfering particles. J Immunol 180:4910-8.
- Yoshimura A, Ohnishi S. 1984. Uncoating of influenza virus in endosomes. J Virol
  51:497-504.
- 1211 43. Smith GJ, Vijaykrishna D, Bahl J, Lycett SJ, Worobey M, Pybus OG, Ma SK, Cheung
- 1212 CL, Raghwani J, Bhatt S, Peiris JS, Guan Y, Rambaut A. 2009. Origins and evolutionary
- genomics of the 2009 swine-origin H1N1 influenza A epidemic. Nature 459:1122-5.
- 1214 44. Matrosovich M, Tuzikov A, Bovin N, Gambaryan A, Klimov A, Castrucci MR, Donatelli
- 1215 I, Kawaoka Y. 2000. Early alterations of the receptor-binding properties of H1, H2, and
- H3 avian influenza virus hemagglutinins after their introduction into mammals. J Virol74:8502-12.
- 1218 45. Taubenberger JK, Kash JC. 2010. Influenza virus evolution, host adaptation, and1219 pandemic formation. Cell Host Microbe 7:440-51.
- 1220 46. Varble A, Albrecht RA, Backes S, Crumiller M, Bouvier NM, Sachs D, García-Sastre A,
- tenOever BR. 2014. Influenza A virus transmission bottlenecks are defined by infection
  route and recipient host. Cell Host Microbe 16:691-700.
- 1223 47. Wilker PR, Dinis JM, Starrett G, Imai M, Hatta M, Nelson CW, O'Connor DH, Hughes
- 1224 AL, Neumann G, Kawaoka Y, Friedrich TC. 2013. Selection on haemagglutinin imposes
- a bottleneck during mammalian transmission of reassortant H5N1 influenza viruses. Nat
- 1226 Commun 4:2636.
| 48. | McCrone JT, Woods RJ, Martin ET, Malosh RE, Monto AS, Lauring AS. 2018.                  |
|-----|--|
|     | Stochastic processes constrain the within and between host evolution of influenza virus. |
|     | Elife 7.   |
| 49. | Danzy S, Studdard LR, Manicassamy B, Solorzano A, Marshall N, García-Sastre A, Steel     |
|     | J, Lowen AC. 2014. Mutations to PB2 and NP proteins of an avian influenza virus          |
|     | combine to confer efficient growth in primary human respiratory cells. J Virol 88:13436- |
|     | 46.  |
| 50. | Hoffmann E, Neumann G, Kawaoka Y, Hobom G, Webster RG. 2000. A DNA                       |
|     | transfection system for generation of influenza A virus from eight plasmids. Proc Natl   |
|     | Acad Sci U S A 97:6108-13.   |
| 51. | Fodor E, Devenish L, Engelhardt OG, Palese P, Brownlee GG, García-Sastre A. 1999.        |
|     | Rescue of influenza A virus from recombinant DNA. J Virol 73:9679-82.                    |
| 52. | Schwartz SL, Lowen AC. 2016. Droplet digital PCR: A novel method for detection of        |
|     | influenza virus defective interfering particles. J Virol Methods 237:159-165.            |
| 53. | Zhou B, Donnelly ME, Scholes DT, St George K, Hatta M, Kawaoka Y, Wentworth DE.          |
|     | 2009. Single-reaction genomic amplification accelerates sequencing and vaccine           |
|     | production for classical and Swine origin human influenza a viruses. J Virol 83:10309-   |
|     | 13.  |
|     |  |
|     | <ul> <li>48.</li> <li>49.</li> <li>50.</li> <li>51.</li> <li>52.</li> <li>53.</li> </ul> |

\_

#### 1247 Chapter 3: Regulation of gene expression from the influenza A virus M segment is a

- 1248 feature of host adaptation
- 1249 Gabrielle K. Delima<sup>1</sup>, Anice C. Lowen<sup>1,2</sup>, and John Steel<sup>3</sup>
- 1250
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta,
- 1252 Georgia, United States of America,

<sup>2</sup> Emory Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Response (Emory-CEIRR), Atlanta,

- 1254 Georgia, United States of America
- <sup>3</sup>Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers for
- 1256 Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America

1257

1258

#### 1259 Abstract

1260 Pandemic influenza A viruses (IAVs) arise when non-human IAVs are able to overcome host species barriers that normally hinder inter-species transmission. One way IAVs can overcome 1261 host barriers is through accumulating mutations that confer host adaptation. In particular, swine 1262 1263 have shown to facilitate avian IAV adaptation to mammals and were the source of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic IAV strain. It has been shown that the M segment of this strain was important to 1264 1265 its pandemic potential. This M segment was derived from the Eurasian avian-like swine lineage, 1266 which in turn was introduced into pigs directly from an avian host. Importantly, it was shown that IAVs encoding an avian M segment have aberrantly high M2 expression during mammalian 1267 1268 infection that decreases viral fitness. However, the adaptive mutations that underlie differential 1269 M gene expression between avian and human IAVs in mammals are not understood. Here we

show that regulation of M segment gene expression changed progressively over time as the
Eurasian avian-like swine lineage circulated in pigs. However, introducing mutations that arose
during swine circulation into the M segment of an avian precursor did not decrease M2 gene
expression. In contrast, introducing mutations from the 2009 pandemic H1N1 IAV M segment
into an avian precursor strain resulted in decreased M2 protein, but not mRNA, expression.
Together, these data suggest that M segment gene regulation impacts viral fitness and is a key
factor in IAV host adaptation.

1277

#### 1278 Introduction

Pandemic influenza A viruses (IAV) have significant public health consequences (1). A common 1279 1280 feature of the past three pandemic IAVs was the inclusion in their genomes of gene segments from both human and non-human IAVs (2-4). In the case of the 2009 IAV pandemic, the strain 1281 emerged from swine, harboring gene segments from human, avian, and swine IAVs (4, 5). The 1282 1283 natural reservoir of IAV are waterfowl, but there are host specific lineages in humans, wild birds, poultry, swine, and other mammals. However, host barriers hinder inter-species transmission (2, 1284 6-8). For example, direct avian to human IAV transmission is uncommon, and subsequent human 1285 1286 to human transmission is extremely rare (8-10). Thus, an IAV strain must adapt to a new host species to successfully replicate and spread in a new host population. 1287

1288

1289 There are two ways an IAV can adapt to a new host. The first is by reassortment during

1290 coinfection with two or more IAVs, where gene segments from either parental strain are

incorporated into progeny virions (11-13). The second is by mutation, which happens frequently

due to the lack of proofreading in the virus' RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (14). Though

these processes are often deleterious (14-17), selection acting on rare beneficial changes can leadto the emergence of progeny with enhanced fitness in a new host (2, 18).

1295

Swine may serve as an intermediate host for influenza A viruses as they are susceptible to
infection with diverse IAV lineages and enable mammalian host adaptation through both
mutation and reassortment. Swine harbor the preferred receptors of both avian and human IAVs
and have been shown to facilitate avian IAV adaptation to the human receptor (5, 19). Moreover,
reverse zoonosis of influenza from humans to swine occurs frequently (20). For these reasons,
swine have often been referred to as a "mixing vessel" for avian, swine, and human IAVs (19,
21, 22).

1303

The M segment of the 2009 IAV pandemic strain was important for its pandemic potential (23-1304 25). This segment originated from an avian H1N1 IAV that entered European swine in 1978 and 1305 1306 established a stable lineage, the Eurasian avian-like swine lineage. In the years preceding 2009, a Eurasian avian-like swine virus reassorted with the North American triple reassortant swine virus 1307 strain in swine, and the Eurasian avian-like swine M segment was maintained in the 2009 1308 1309 pandemic IAV (5, 26, 27). Thus, the 2009 pandemic IAV M segment, being ultimately of avian in origin, likely acquired adaptive mutations while circulating in swine. The M segment encodes 1310 1311 two major proteins: M1 which gives the virion its structure and M2 which forms a pH activated 1312 proton channel required for viral entry. The M1 and M2 proteins are expressed from the M gene 1313 segment, where M1 (mRNA<sub>7</sub>) is made from the colinear transcript and M2 (mRNA<sub>10</sub>) is derived 1314 from alternative splicing of the M segment transcript (28). The splicing of M1 mRNA to form

M2 mRNA involves both viral and various host factors (28-35). Thus, the gene expression the Msegment is well regulated.

1317

Our prior work showed the role of the IAV M segment in host transmission. An IAV with the M 1318 segment from the 2009 pandemic strain conferred efficient transmission in a virus background 1319 1320 that did not transmit in guinea pigs (25). We also showed that virus strains containing avian IAV M segments exhibited aberrantly increased M2 gene expression and decreased replication in 1321 1322 mammalian cells, while a strain containing the 2009 pandemic M segment displayed efficient M 1323 gene expression and enhanced replication (36). From these data, we hypothesized that the M segment of the 2009 IAV pandemic strain contains mutations that re-established optimal M1/M2 1324 gene expression during mammalian infection. 1325

Here, we sought to map sequence determinants that underlie differential M1/M2 expression 1326 1327 between avian and human adapted IAV M segments. Specifically, we investigated differences 1328 between the M segments of an avian precursor of the Eurasian avian-like lineage, influenza A/dk/Schleswig/21/79 (H1N1) virus (dkSch79), and an isolate of the 2009 pandemic, influenza 1329 A/Netherlands/602/2009 (H1N1) virus (NL09) in an isogenic background. Our results confirm 1330 1331 that avian IAV M segments exhibit dysregulation of M gene expression during mammalian infection, while human IAV M segment gene expression is well regulated. Furthermore, we 1332 1333 show that continued IAV circulation in swine re-established low M2 protein expression in 1334 mammalian cells. However, introduction of M mutants that arose in swine into an avian IAV background were not sufficient to decrease M2 protein expression. Moreover, dkSch79 - NL09 1335 M chimeric viruses exhibited varying levels of M2 protein expression, but no differences in M2 1336

1338 adaptation but were not able to elucidate specific adaptive mutations.

- 1339 **Results**
- 1340

#### 1341 Avian adapted M segments confer aberrantly increased M2 gene expression in mammalian

- 1342 cells.
- 1343 We have generated recombinant viruses that contain the M segment of various avian and human
- 1344 adapted IAV strains, with the remaining seven gene segments coming from A/Puerto
- 1345 Rico/8/1934(H1N1) (PR8), a lab adapted IAV strain. This isogenic background ensures that any
- 1346 differences observed between avian and human M segment viruses are due to the M segment
- alone. The virus origin of each M segment is listed in Table 1.

1348 Table 1. Origin of M segments used in study.

Strain	Abbreviation
A/NL/602/2009 (H1N1)	NL09
A/duck/Alberta/35/76 (H1N1)	dkAlb76
A/rhea/North Carolina/39482/93 (H7N1)	RhNC93
A/mallard/MN/19906/99 (H3N8)	MallMN99
A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2)	Pan99
A/Bethesda/55/2015 (H3N2)	Beth15
A/dk/Schleswig/21/79 (H1N1)	dkSch79
A/swine/Arnsberg/1/1979 (H1N1)	swArn79
A/swine/Potsdam/15/1981 (H1N1)	swPot81
A/swine/Netherlands/12/1985 (H1N1)	swNL85
A/swine/Gent/V230/1992 (H1N1)	swGen92
A/swine/Hong Kong/10022/2001 (H1N1)	swHK01
A/swine/England/010402/2003 (H1N1)	swEng03
A/swine/Spain/53207/2004 (H1N1)	Spn04

1349

1350 Our previous work characterized differences in M segment gene expression between avian and

human adapted M segments (36). We found that IAVs containing avian or human adapted M

segments expressed similarly low levels of M2 protein in chicken fibroblast (DF-1) cells (Fig

1A). However, during infection of human lung (A549) cells, human embryonic kidney (293T)
and Madin Darby canine kidney cells (MDCK), avian M viruses expressed significantly higher
levels of M2 protein than the PR8 NL09 M virus (Fig 1B-C). Furthermore, other human adapted
M viruses express low levels of M2 protein during infection of A549 cells (Fig 2). These data
indicate that, when the current or recent host origin of the M segment matches the cell type



1358 Fig. 1 High M2 protein expression of viruses carrying avian IAV M segments is seen in

- mammalian but not avian cells. DF-1 (A), 293T (B), or A549 (C, D) cells were infected at an
   MOI of 5 PFU/cell with PR8 viruses encoding human (blue) or avian (red) IAV M segments.
- 1361 The percentage of M2 out of total M1 and M2 protein (A-C), or percent of M2 out of total M1,
- 1362 M2, and M3 mRNA is plotted. Results are the means of three independent experiments with
- 1363 standard deviation plotted (A-D). Significant differences between IAVs encoding human or
- avian M segments was evaluated by one-way ANOVA: \*p <0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001,
- 1365 \*\*\*\*p≤0.0001. Figure reproduced from (36).

infected, the virus expresses low levels of M2 protein. However, IAVs containing avian M
segments exhibit increased M2 protein expression during mammalian infection. Thus, aberrant
M gene expression indicates maladaptation to the host, and adaptation to a new host would be
expected to include re-establishing optimal M gene expression.

- 1370
- 1371



1372

## 1373 Fig. 2 High M2 protein expression of viruses incorporating avian, but not human, M

- **segments in mammalian cells.** A549 cells were mock infected or infected at an MOI of 5
- 1375 PFU/cell with PR8 viruses encoding human (blue) or avian (red) IAV M segments, as indicated
- 1376 under each panel. A) Detected proteins are labeled at the left. Vinculin and NP expression were
- 1377 measured to normalize viral protein levels and assess viral replication, respectively. B)
- 1378 Normalized band intensity of M1 and M2 proteins was quantified. The percentage of M2 out of
- total M1 and M2 protein is plotted. Results of two independent experiments, averaged from two
  technical replicates per experiment, are plotted and bars indicate the means. Significant
- 1380 differences between IAVe encoding human or evice M comments were evoluted by t text
- differences between IAVs encoding human or avian M segments were evaluated by t-test.
- 1382

# 1383Avian IAV circulation in swine re-established optimal M2 protein expression in

#### 1384 mammalian cells.

1385 The M segment of the 2009 pandemic IAV strain originates from the Eurasian avian-like swine lineage. The Eurasian avian-like swine lineage was established by an avian IAV that stably 1386 circulated in swine (5, 26, 27). Thus, we hypothesized that the avian origin M segment adapted 1387 1388 to mammalian infection over decades of circulation. To test this, we evaluated the M protein expression by western blot. A549 cells were infected with a panel of PR8 based viruses 1389 1390 containing M segments from representative isolates of the Eurasian avian-like swine lineage, 1391 including an avian precursor, dkSch79wt and a human 2009 pandemic IAV isolate, NL09. We found that the decreasing M2 protein expression appears in early swine M segment viruses and 1392 continues in the human PR8 NL09 M virus (Fig 3). Notably, there is a ~20% decrease in %M2 1393 protein out of total M protein expression from the precursor avian PR8 dkSch79wt M virus to the 1394 human PR8 NL09 M virus by 16 h (Fig 3B). These data indicate that avian IAV circulation in 1395 1396 mammals facilitated M segment host adaptation, resulting in decreased M2 protein expression. 1397

# M segment mutations identified during swine circulation did not decrease M2 protein expression.

Observing this trend, we then sought to identify mutations associated with decreased M2 expression by first using the M segments of Eurasian avian-like swine isolates. We first aligned the M segment sequences of the viruses in Fig 3 and identified a total of 18 nucleotide (nt) mutations, seven of which are non-synonymous, that arose in swine and are present in the NL09, but not avian M segments (Fig 4A). Some of these mutations arise in or near previously described binding regions of host factors involved in M mRNA splicing (30, 32-34). We generated a set of M segments that are progressively more similar to the NL09 M by introducingthese mutations, as they emerged chronologically, into the dkSch79wt M segment. We rescued



- 1409 Fig. 3 Increased duration of avian IAV circulation in swine is associated with decreased M2
- 1410 **protein expression.** A549 cells were infected at an MOI of 5 PFU/cell with PR8 viruses
- 1411 encoding avian(red), swine (purple), or human (blue) IAV M segments, as indicated under each
- 1412 panel. Cells were lysed at 8 (A) or 16 (B) h post infection. The percentage of M2 out of total M1
- and M2 proteins as detected by Western blotting is plotted. Results of three independent
- 1414 experiments, averaged from two technical replicates per experiment, are plotted and bars indicate
- the means.
- 1416





Fig. 4 Mutations identified in M segment of swine isolates increase viral replication, but not
M2 protein expression. A) An alignment of the M segments of IAVs in Fig. 3 show 18 nt

mutations that arise in swine isolates and are present in the NL09 virus. Mutations were 1426 1427 introduced into dkSch79 in the order they appeared during viral circulation. M segment mutants 1428 are named to indicate the number of nt, M1 amino acid, and M2 amino acid mutations. For 1429 example, mutant 18.5.2 has 18 nt mutations, 5 M1 amino acid mutation, and 2 M2 amino acid mutations. B-C) A549 cells were infected at an MOI of 5 PFU/cell with PR8 viruses encoding 1430 1431 dkSch79, mutant dkSch79, or NL09 M segments. B) The viral titers of three biological replicates 1432 derived from one experiment are plotted and solid lines connect the means. C). The percentage of M2 out of total M1 and M2 proteins is plotted. The result of one experiment is plotted. 1433 1434

We then evaluated the M protein expression of the M mutant viruses in A549 cells by western blot. We found that the M mutant viruses did not exhibit lower %M2 protein out of total M protein expression (Fig 4C). These data indicate that, although they supported improved viral replication in mammalian cells, the mutations identified were not associated with decreased M2 protein expression.

1440

Chimeric M segment mutants confer decreased M2 protein but not M2 mRNA expression. 1441 The mutations identified in the Eurasian avian-like swine M panel consist of only 18 of the 57 1442 1443 total nt differences between the dkSch79wt and NL09 M segments. To delineate the impact of the full set of nucleotide mutations on M gene expression, we generated a panel of chimeric M 1444 segments in the PR8 dkSch79wt M segment background. A set of targeted chimeric segments 1445 were made containing the NL09 M1 coding region, NL09 M2 coding region, or the NL09 1446 sequence encoding a 3' splice site controlled by structural conformers (37, 38). Additionally, a 1447 1448 set of unbiased chimeric mutants were made in which approximately 200 nt of the NL09 M sequence was introduced into each, spanning the entire M segment (Fig 5A). A549 cells were 1449 infected with the chimeric M mutants and %M2 protein out of total M protein expression was 1450 evaluated by western blot. Two chimeric M mutants, PR8 dkSch79 (NL M1) and PR8 dkSch79 1451 1452 (401-600) M viruses, showed %M2 protein, 19% and 17% respectively, comparable to those of

- the PR8 NL09 M virus at 11% (Fig 5B). Both viruses share the region of nt 401-600 from the
- 1454 NL09 M segment which harbors 13 nt differences from dkSch79wt M segment, indicating that
- this region contains mutations that alter M2 protein expression.



1457 Fig. 5 Chimeric M segments yield decreased M2 protein, but not M2 mRNA expression. A)

1458 The dkSch79 and NL09 M segments differ by 57 nt. Chimeric dkSch79 M segments were

1459 generated with the sequences of the NL09 M segment (blue). A549 cells were infected at an

MOI of 5 PFU/cell with PR8 viruses encoding dkAlb 89 G, dkSch79, chimeric dkSch79, or 1460 1461 NL09 M segments. B) The percentage of M2 protein out of total M1 and M2 protein is plotted. 1462 Results of three independent experiments are plotted and bars indicate the means. C,D) The 1463 percentage of M2 mRNA out of total M1 and M2 mRNA is plotted. Results of two to four 1464 biological replicates derived from one to two independent experiments are plotted. We then wanted to assess whether the decreased M2 protein expression associated with the 401-1465 600 nt region of NL09 M segment resulted from decreased M2 mRNA expression. To test this, 1466 we infected A549 cells with avian M, PR8 dkSch79 mutant, or PR8 NL09 M viruses and 1467 1468 quantified the %M2 mRNA out of total M1 and M2 mRNAs. Our results indicate that the %M2 mRNA expression between the avian M, PR8 dkSch79 mutant, and PR8 NL09 M viruses were 1469 comparable up to 8 h post infection (Fig 5C). By 16 h the PR8 dkSch79 (401-600) and PR8 1470 NL09 M viruses trended toward higher %M2 mRNA expression than PR8 dkSch79wt M virus 1471 (Fig 5D), counter to the %M2 protein expressed (Fig 4B). These results suggest that the 1472 mechanism driving lower M2 protein expression occurs after M mRNA transcription or splicing. 1473 1474 Discussion 1475 1476 Our results show that optimal regulation of M1/M2 gene expression is a marker of IAV host 1477

adaptation. IAVs containing an M segment well adapted to the host exhibit low %M2 protein out

1479 of total M proteins expressed during infection. However, IAVs containing an M segment

1480 maladapted to its host exhibit aberrantly high %M2 protein. Accordingly, our results demonstrate

1481 that avian IAV circulation in swine led to a re-establishment of optimal M gene expression,

1482 where %M2 protein expression of later Eurasian avian-like IAV isolates were lower than early

1483 isolates. Despite this trend, introducing M segment mutations that arose during swine circulation

1484 into an avian M IAV precursor did not decrease %M2 protein expression during mammalian cell

1485 infection. In contrast, introducing mutations from the M segment of a 2009 pandemic IAV strain,

NL09, did result in decreased %M2 protein expression. However, %M2 mRNA expression of the
avian, mutant, and NL09 M viruses were comparable. Thus, we were unable to identify M
sequences that modulate differential M gene expression. These results suggest that M gene
expression is regulated downstream of M mRNA transcription or splicing.

1490

1491 While these findings confirmed differences in avian M vs NL09 M %M2 protein expression described in our prior work, we did not see similar differences in %M2 mRNA expression (36). 1492 1493 This may be due to differences in the assays used to determine %M2 mRNA expression. A primer extension assay was used previously, while the present work used droplet digital 1494 (ddPCR). The primer extension assay utilizes a shared primer and relies on size differences on a 1495 gel to differentiate M1, M2, and M3 (mRNA<sub>11</sub>) mRNAs, where band intensities are used for 1496 quantification. In contrast, the ddPCR assay utilizes primers that target either the intron of the 1497 M1 open reading frame or the M2 splice junction, which are exclusive to each mRNA species, to 1498 1499 quantify absolute quantities. Differences in the specificity and sensitivity of each assay could result in differences in the detection of each mRNA species. Moreover, M3 mRNA is another 1500 alternative splicing product of M mRNA, but no protein corresponding to the M3 mRNA has 1501 1502 been identified. No differences in %M3 mRNA were observed between the various IAV M segment viruses (36), and thus, M3 mRNA was not quantified in the experiments described 1503 1504 herein. While M3 mRNA expression was shown to be low, the absence of its quantification by 1505 ddPCR could affect the %M2 mRNA calculated. Further work in this area would be needed to 1506 clarify at what point, from transcription to post-translation modification, M gene regulation 1507 affects the levels of M1 and M2 during infection.

Virus-host interactions can lead to differential M gene expression that can affect both virus and 1509 host outcomes. During cellular infection, M segment gene expression has been shown to be time 1510 dependent, as the ratio of M2 to M1 increases over the course of an infection (35). Importantly, 1511 the splicing of M1 mRNA to M2 mRNA is regulated by both viral and host proteins (28-35, 39). 1512 Differences in the binding motifs of these host proteins and viral mRNAs that have evolved in 1513 1514 different hosts, would likely result in dysregulation of M gene expression. Furthermore, the accessibility of regions in the mRNA secondary structure, such as the 3' splice site can also have 1515 an affect (37, 38). While we did not observe a change in %M2 protein or mRNA expression 1516 1517 corresponding to the origin of this site, another group was able to show that an avian M segment 3' splice site in a human IAV virus did reduce viral replication and increase M2 mRNA 1518 expression (40). 1519

Differences in the M segment and gene expression can subsequently impact that host. Increased 1520 M2 expression has been shown to affect cellular autophagy, a recycling process in which 1521 1522 cytoplasmic proteins and organelles are sequestered and degraded (41-43). Moreover, we have previously shown that the increased M2 protein expression, exhibited by the avian M segment 1523 during mammalian infection, blocked turnover of autophagosomes (36). Blocking cellular 1524 1525 autophagy then, in turn, disrupts the ability of the cell to maintain homeostasis and likely has detrimental effects on the cell which may extend to the host. It follows that differences in M 1526 1527 segment origin can also impact IAV virulence. We have shown that the 2009 pandemic M 1528 segment confers transmission between guinea pigs in a non-transmitting strain (25). It was also shown that replacing just the M1 encoding region of the 2009 pandemic M with a swine M1 1529 decreased virulence in mice (44). Moreover, replacing the M segment of a highly pathogenic 1530

1531	avian influenza strain with that of a non-lethal, but closely related, strain reduced the mortality
1532	rate from 100% to 25% in mallards (45).

1534 In conclusion, dysregulation of M gene expression can occur when an IAV infects a new host

1535 species. However, continued IAV circulation in the species can give rise to mutations that re-

1536 establish optimal M gene expression. Thus, M gene expression impacts viral fitness and has a

1537 role in IAV adaptation to new hosts.

1538

#### 1539 Author contributions

1540 Concept and experimental planning was performed by GD, ACL, and JS. Data was collected and

analyzed by GD with input from ACL. Key reagents and intellectual input were provided by JS.

1542 Manuscript and figures were written, designed, and edited by GD and ACL. Research funding

1543 was acquired by ACL and GD.

1544

#### 1545 Acknowledgements

1546 This work was supported by funding from NIH/NIAD under the Centers of Excellence for

1547 influenza Research and Surveillance contract no. HHSN272201400004C to ACL and JS and

1548 F31AI150114 to GD.

1549

- 1550 **Declaration of interests**
- 1551 The authors declare no conflicts of interest

1552

1553 Materials and Methods

1554 Cells

Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells, a gift of Peter Palese at Icahn School of Medicine 1555 at Mount Sinai, and human lung epithelial cells (ATCC CCL-185) were maintained in minimum 1556 essential medium (MEM; Gibco) supplemented with Normocin (InvivoGen) and 10% Fetal 1557 Bovine Serum (FBS). Human kidney 293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216) were maintained in 1558 1559 Dulbecco's minimal essential medium (Gibco) supplemented with Normocin and 10% FBS. All cells were cultured at  $37^{\circ}$ C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified incubator. Cells were tested monthly for 1560 1561 mycoplasma contamination during use. Medium used for IAV infection in each cell line (virus 1562 medium) was prepared using the appropriate medium containing Normocin and 4.3% bovine serum albumin. Virus medium containing ammonium chloride was prepared by adding HEPES 1563 buffer and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl at final concentrations of 50 mM and 20 mM, respectively. 1564 Viruses 1565 1566 The strains influenza A/Puerto Rico/8/1934(H1N1), A/Netherlands/602/2009 (H1N1), 1567 A/Panama/2007/99 (H3N2), and A/Bethesda/55/2015 (H3N2) are referred to herein as PR8, NL09, Pan99, and Beth15 respectively. PR8, NL09, Pan99, and Beth15 were handled under 1568 BSL2 conditions. The strains influenza are A/dk/Schleswig/21/1979 (H1N1), 1569 1570 A/duck/Alberta/35/76 (H1N1), A/rhea/North Carolina/39482/93 (H7N1), and A/mallard/MN/19906/99 (H3N8) are referred to herein as dkSch79, dkAlb76, RhNC93, and 1571

- 1572 MaMN99, respectively. DkSch79, dkAlb76, RhNC93, and MaMN99were handled under BSL2
- 1573 conditions with enhancements as required by the United States Department of Agriculture.

- 1575 All viruses used were generated using reverse genetics (Hoffman 2000; Fodor 1999). The
- 1576 reverse genetics system for PR8 is in the ambisense pDZ vector (a gift of Peter Palese). The

reverse genetics system for NL09 is in the ambisense vector pHW and was a kind gift of Ron 1577 Fouchier. The reverse genetics systems for Pan99, dkSch79, and MaMN99 viruses were 1578 1579 generated in house in the pDP vector (a gift of Daniel Perez). The dkAlb76 89G mutant virus was described in (Calderon 2019) and was generated by introducing the S89G mutation to the 1580 reverse genetics plasmid encoding the M gene segment in the M2 open read frame of dkAlb76 1581 1582 using site-directed mutagenesis. Mutant dkSch79 M viruses were generated introducing the mutations described (Table #) to the reverse genetics plasmids encoding the M gene segment of 1583 1584 dkSch79 using site-directed mutagenesis. Chimeric dkSch79-NL09 M viruses were generated 1585 using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master mix (New England Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions using the primers in Table 1. PCR-amplified fragments encoding 1586 regions of the M segment described in Fig. 5 from NL09 were combined with a fragment 1587 1588 containing the rest of dkSch79 segment in a pDP plasmid.

1589

1590 Briefly, avian viruses were generated by transfecting 293T cells with eight reverse genetics plasmids encoding each IAV segment. After 16 h, transfected 293T cells were injected into the 1591 allantoic cavity of 11-day old chicken eggs, incubated at 37°C for 40-48 h, and allantoic fluid 1592 1593 was recovered for use as a passage 1 working virus stock. Mammalian IAVs were generated by transfecting 293T cells with reverse genetics plasmids encoding each IAV segment. After 16 h, 1594 1595 transfected 293T cells were then cocultured with MDCK cells at 37°C for 40-48 h. Collected 1596 supernatants were then propagated in MDCK cells from low MOI to generate a working virus 1597 stock.

1598

#### 1599 Virus infection conditions

1600 Cells are seeded 24 h prior to infection. The monolayer was washed 3x with PBS. Virus

1601 inoculum was added to each well at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 plaque forming units

1602 (PFU) per cell and kept at 37°C for 45 min with rocking. After that time, the inoculum was

aspirated, each well 3x with PBS, the warmed virus medium was added. Under multi-cycle

1604 conditions, virus medium containing L-1-tosylamido-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone

1605 (TPCK)-treated trypsin at a final concentration of  $1 \mu M$  was added. Cultures were incubated at

1606 37°C. Each infection was performed in triplicate wells.

1607

#### 1608 Quantification of M proteins by immunoblot

A549, MDCK, or 293T cells were seeded into 6-well plates at 6 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells per well 24 h prior to 1609 infection. Each infection took place under virus infection condition. At 8 or 16 h post infection, 1610 cells were lysed with 2X Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-rad) containing 2% beta-mercaptoethanol. 1611 Samples were boiled for 10 min at 95°C and ran on 4-20% gradient SDS-page gels, then 1612 1613 transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-rad) and incubated with 5% non-fat dry milk/ Tris Buffered Saline with 1% Tween 20 (TBST) blocking buffer. Membranes were then incubated 1614 with the following antibodies for immunoblotting: anti-vinculin monoclonal antibody (catalog 1615 1616 no. V9131; Sigma-Aldrich) at 1:5000 dilution, IAV nucleoprotein monoclonal antibody (HT-103; catalog no. EMS010, Kerafast) at 1:500 dilution, and IAV matrix protein monoclonal 1617 1618 antibody (E10; catalog no. EMS009, Kerafast) at 1:1000 dilution. Bands were normalized to 1619 vinculin then quantified using ImageLab software (Bio-Rad). Two technical replicates of 1620 immunoblots for each infection were performed.

1621

#### 1622 Quantification of viral growth

1623 A549 cells were seeded into 6-well plates at  $6 \ge 10^5$  cells per well 24 h prior to infection. Each 1624 infection took please under multi-cycle conditions. At various time points post infection, 120 µl 1625 of medium was sampled from each dish and 120 µl fresh medium was added. Samples were 1626 stored at -80°C and later titered by plaque assay on MDCK cells.

1627

#### 1628 Quantification of vRNA

1629 RNA was extracted from cells and viral samples using the Qiagen RNeasy mini kit and the

1630 Qiagen Viral RNA mini kit, respectively, using included protocol instructions. Extracted vRNA

1631 was reverse transcribed using an M mRNA specific primer (Table #) and Maxima RT

1632 (Thermofisher) per protocol instructions. Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) was performed on the

1633 resultant cDNA using the QX200<sup>TM</sup> ddPCR<sup>TM</sup> EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad) and M1 and M2

specific primers (final concentration 200 nM) (Table 2). Copy numbers of M1 and M2 present in

samples were added to give total number of M mRNA. %M2 mRNA was calculated by dividing

1636 the copy number of M2 mRNA by the total of M1 + M2 copy numbers.

1637

#### 1638 Statistical analyses

1639 All statistical analyses were performed in R (version 1.3.959). The mean of technical replicates

1640 was used for statistical analysis.

# 1642 Supplemental Information

#### 1643

### 1644 Table 2. Primers used for chimeric M segment Assembly.

Primer	Sequence
pDP 5 prime 14.32 Fwd	GGAGTACTGGTCGACCTCC
pDP 5 prime 32.14 Rev	GGAGGTCGACCAGTACTCC
Sch.pH1N1 M 39.59 Fwd	CCGAGGTCGAAACGTACGTTC
Sch.pH1N1 M 59.39 Rev	GAACGTACGTTTCGACCTCGG
Sch.pH1N1 M 206.226 Fwd	GGATTTGTGTTCACGCTCACC
Sch.pH1N1 M 226.206 Rev	GGTGAGCGTGAACACAAATCC
Sch.pH1N1 M 389.406 Fwd	GGTGCACTTGCCAGTTGC
Sch.pH1N1 M 406.389 Rev	GCAACTGGCAAGTGCACC
Sch.pH1N1 M 592.610 Fwd	GGAACAGATGGCTGGATCG
Sch.pH1N1 M 610.592 Rev	CGATCCAGCCATCTGTTCC
Sch.pH1N1 M 689.708 Fwd	CATCCTAGCTCCAGTGCTGG
Sch.pH1N1 M 704.684 Rev	CACTGGAGCTAGGATGAGTCC
Sch.pH1N1 M 736.752 Fwd	GCAGGCCTACCAGAAGC
Sch.pH1N1 M 752.736 Rev	GCTTCTGGTAGGCCTGC
pH1N1 M 781.802 Fwd	GTGATCCTCTCGTCATTGCAGC
pH1N1 M 802.781 Rev	GCTGCAATGACGAGAGGATCAC
Sch 79 M 781.802 Fwd	GTGATCCTCTCGTTATTGCAGC
Sch 79 M 802.781 Rev	GCTGCAATAACGAGAGGATCAC
pDP 3 prime 1096.1118 Fwd	CGACTCGGAGCGAAAGATATACC
pDP 3 prime 1118.1096 Rev	GGTATATCTTTCGCTCCGAGTCG

M Segment mRNA Reverse Transcription Primer				
M mRNA RT Primer 992.1011	TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT			
mRNA7 and mRNA10 Primers				
M1 Fwd 565.580	GCTGGCTAGCACTACGG			
M1 Rev 708.692	CCAGCACTGGAGCTAGG			
M2 Fwd 47.752	GAAACGCCTACCAGAAGC			
M2 Rev. 917.900	CACTCCTTCCGTAGAAGG			

**Table 3. Primers used for the quantification of viral mRNA by ddPCR.** 

#### 1649 **References Cited**

- Belser JA, Maines TR, Tumpey TM. 2018. Importance of 1918 virus reconstruction to
   current assessments of pandemic risk. Virology 524:45-55.
- 1652 2. Scholtissek C. 1994. Source for influenza pandemics. Eur J Epidemiol 10:455-8.
- 1653 3. Kilbourne ED. 2006. Influenza pandemics of the 20th century. Emerg Infect Dis 12:9-14.
- 1654 4. Trifonov V, Khiabanian H, Greenbaum B, Rabadan R. 2009. The origin of the recent
  1655 swine influenza A(H1N1) virus infecting humans. Euro Surveill 14.
- 1656 5. Neumann G, Noda T, Kawaoka Y. 2009. Emergence and pandemic potential of swine1657 origin H1N1 influenza virus. Nature 459:931-9.
- 1658 6. Sorrell EM, Schrauwen EJ, Linster M, De Graaf M, Herfst S, Fouchier RA. 2011.
- Predicting 'airborne' influenza viruses: (trans-) mission impossible? Curr Opin Virol
  1:635-42.
- 1661 7. Matrosovich M, Tuzikov A, Bovin N, Gambaryan A, Klimov A, Castrucci MR, Donatelli
- 1662 I, Kawaoka Y. 2000. Early alterations of the receptor-binding properties of H1, H2, and
- 1663 H3 avian influenza virus hemagglutinins after their introduction into mammals. J Virol1664 74:8502-12.
- 1665 8. Taubenberger JK, Kash JC. 2010. Influenza virus evolution, host adaptation, and1666 pandemic formation. Cell Host Microbe 7:440-51.
- 1667 9. Cauldwell AV, Long JS, Moncorgé O, Barclay WS. 2014. Viral determinants of
  1668 influenza A virus host range. J Gen Virol 95:1193-1210.
- 1669 10. Schrauwen EJ, Fouchier RA. 2014. Host adaptation and transmission of influenza A
  viruses in mammals. Emerg Microbes Infect 3:e9.

- 1671 11. Lowen AC. 2017. Constraints, Drivers, and Implications of Influenza A Virus
  1672 Reassortment. Annu Rev Virol 4:105-121.
- 1673 12. Steel J, Lowen AC. 2014. Influenza A virus reassortment. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol
  1674 385:377-401.
- 1675 13. Desselberger U, Nakajima K, Alfino P, Pedersen FS, Haseltine WA, Hannoun C, Palese
- P. 1978. Biochemical evidence that "new" influenza virus strains in nature may arise by
  recombination (reassortment). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 75:3341-5.
- 1678 14. Peck KM, Lauring AS. 2018. Complexities of Viral Mutation Rates. J Virol 92.
- 1679 15. Sanjuán R, Moya A, Elena SF. 2004. The distribution of fitness effects caused by single1680 nucleotide substitutions in an RNA virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:8396-401.
- 1681 16. Phipps KL, Marshall N, Tao H, Danzy S, Onuoha N, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2017. Seasonal
- 1682 H3N2 and 2009 Pandemic H1N1 Influenza A Viruses Reassort Efficiently but Produce
  1683 Attenuated Progeny. J Virol 91.
- 1684 17. Villa M, Lässig M. 2017. Fitness cost of reassortment in human influenza. PLoS Pathog
  1685 13:e1006685.
- 1686 18. Ma EJ, Hill NJ, Zabilansky J, Yuan K, Runstadler JA. 2016. Reticulate evolution is
- 1687 favored in influenza niche switching. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:5335-9.
- 1688 19. Ito T, Couceiro JN, Kelm S, Baum LG, Krauss S, Castrucci MR, Donatelli I, Kida H,
- Paulson JC, Webster RG, Kawaoka Y. 1998. Molecular basis for the generation in pigs of
  influenza A viruses with pandemic potential. J Virol 72:7367-73.
- 1691 20. Nelson MI, Vincent AL. 2015. Reverse zoonosis of influenza to swine: new perspectives
  1692 on the human-animal interface. Trends Microbiol 23:142-53.

- 1693 21. Ma W, Kahn RE, Richt JA. 2008. The pig as a mixing vessel for influenza viruses:
  1694 Human and veterinary implications. J Mol Genet Med 3:158-66.
- 1695 22. Kahn RE, Ma W, Richt JA. 2014. Swine and influenza: a challenge to one health
  1696 research. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 385:205-18.
- 1697 23. Chou YY, Albrecht RA, Pica N, Lowen AC, Richt JA, García-Sastre A, Palese P, Hai R.
- 1698 2011. The M segment of the 2009 new pandemic H1N1 influenza virus is critical for its
  1699 high transmission efficiency in the guinea pig model. J Virol 85:11235-41.
- 1700 24. Lakdawala SS, Lamirande EW, Suguitan AL, Jr., Wang W, Santos CP, Vogel L,
- 1701 Matsuoka Y, Lindsley WG, Jin H, Subbarao K. 2011. Eurasian-origin gene segments
- 1702 contribute to the transmissibility, aerosol release, and morphology of the 2009 pandemic
- 1703 H1N1 influenza virus. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002443.
- 1704 25. Campbell PJ, Danzy S, Kyriakis CS, Deymier MJ, Lowen AC, Steel J. 2014. The M
- segment of the 2009 pandemic influenza virus confers increased neuraminidase activity,
- filamentous morphology, and efficient contact transmissibility to A/Puerto Rico/8/1934-
- based reassortant viruses. J Virol 88:3802-14.
- 1708 26. Brockwell-Staats C, Webster RG, Webby RJ. 2009. Diversity of influenza viruses in
- swine and the emergence of a novel human pandemic influenza A (H1N1). Influenza
- 1710 Other Respir Viruses 3:207-13.
- 1711 27. Mena I, Nelson MI, Quezada-Monroy F, Dutta J, Cortes-Fernández R, Lara-Puente JH,
- 1712 Castro-Peralta F, Cunha LF, Trovão NS, Lozano-Dubernard B, Rambaut A, van Bakel H,
- 1713 García-Sastre A. 2016. Origins of the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic in swine in
- 1714 Mexico. Elife 5.

- 1715 28. Lamb RA, Lai CJ, Choppin PW. 1981. Sequences of mRNAs derived from genome RNA
  1716 segment 7 of influenza virus: colinear and interrupted mRNAs code for overlapping
  1717 proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 78:4170-4.
- 1718 29. Shih SR, Nemeroff ME, Krug RM. 1995. The choice of alternative 5' splice sites in
- influenza virus M1 mRNA is regulated by the viral polymerase complex. Proc Natl AcadSci U S A 92:6324-8.
- 30. Shih SR, Krug RM. 1996. Novel exploitation of a nuclear function by influenza virus: the
  cellular SF2/ASF splicing factor controls the amount of the essential viral M2 ion
  channel protein in infected cells. Embo j 15:5415-27.
- 1724 31. Mor A, White A, Zhang K, Thompson M, Esparza M, Muñoz-Moreno R, Koide K,
- Lynch KW, García-Sastre A, Fontoura BM. 2016. Influenza virus mRNA trafficking
  through host nuclear speckles. Nat Microbiol 1:16069.
- 1727 32. Zhang K, Shang G, Padavannil A, Wang J, Sakthivel R, Chen X, Kim M, Thompson
- 1728 MG, García-Sastre A, Lynch KW, Chen ZJ, Chook YM, Fontoura BMA. 2018.
- 1729 Structural-functional interactions of NS1-BP protein with the splicing and mRNA export
- 1730 machineries for viral and host gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E12218-
- 1731 e12227.
- 1732 33. Thompson MG, Muñoz-Moreno R, Bhat P, Roytenberg R, Lindberg J, Gazzara MR,
- 1733 Mallory MJ, Zhang K, García-Sastre A, Fontoura BMA, Lynch KW. 2018. Co-regulatory
- activity of hnRNP K and NS1-BP in influenza and human mRNA splicing. Nat Commun
- **1735** 9:2407.

- Tsai PL, Chiou NT, Kuss S, García-Sastre A, Lynch KW, Fontoura BM. 2013. Cellular
  RNA binding proteins NS1-BP and hnRNP K regulate influenza A virus RNA splicing.
  PLoS Pathog 9:e1003460.
- 1739 35. Valcárcel J, Portela A, Ortín J. 1991. Regulated M1 mRNA splicing in influenza virus1740 infected cells. J Gen Virol 72 (Pt 6):1301-8.
- 1741 36. Calderon BM, Danzy S, Delima GK, Jacobs NT, Ganti K, Hockman MR, Conn GL,
- Lowen AC, Steel J. 2019. Dysregulation of M segment gene expression contributes to
  influenza A virus host restriction. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007892.
- 1744 37. Moss WN, Dela-Moss LI, Kierzek E, Kierzek R, Priore SF, Turner DH. 2012. The 3'
- splice site of influenza A segment 7 mRNA can exist in two conformations: a pseudoknotand a hairpin. PLoS One 7:e38323.
- 1747 38. Jiang T, Nogales A, Baker SF, Martinez-Sobrido L, Turner DH. 2016. Mutations
- 1748 Designed by Ensemble Defect to Misfold Conserved RNA Structures of Influenza A
- 1749 Segments 7 and 8 Affect Splicing and Attenuate Viral Replication in Cell Culture. PLoS
- 1750 One 11:e0156906.
- 1751 39. Esparza M, Bhat P, Fontoura BM. 2022. Viral-host interactions during splicing and
  1752 nuclear export of influenza virus mRNAs. Curr Opin Virol 55:101254.
- 1753 40. Bogdanow B, Wang X, Eichelbaum K, Sadewasser A, Husic I, Paki K, Budt M,
- 1754 Hergeselle M, Vetter B, Hou J, Chen W, Wiebusch L, Meyer IM, Wolff T, Selbach M.
- 1755 2019. The dynamic proteome of influenza A virus infection identifies M segment splicing
- as a host range determinant. Nat Commun 10:5518.
- 1757 41. Rossman JS, Lamb RA. 2009. Autophagy, apoptosis, and the influenza virus M2 protein.
- 1758 Cell Host Microbe 6:299-300.

1759	42.	Gannagé M, Dormann D, Albrecht R, Dengjel J, Torossi T, Rämer PC, Lee M, Strowig
1760		T, Arrey F, Conenello G, Pypaert M, Andersen J, García-Sastre A, Münz C. 2009. Matrix
1761		protein 2 of influenza A virus blocks autophagosome fusion with lysosomes. Cell Host
1762		Microbe 6:367-80.
1763	43.	Münz C. 2017. The Autophagic Machinery in Viral Exocytosis. Front Microbiol 8:269.
1764	44.	Xie L, Xu G, Xin L, Wang Z, Wu R, Wu M, Cheng Y, Wang H, Yan Y, Ma J, Sun J.
1765		2021. Eurasian Avian-like M1 Plays More Important Role than M2 in Pathogenicity of
1766		2009 Pandemic H1N1 Influenza Virus in Mice. Viruses 13.
1767	45.	Leyson CM, Youk S, Ferreira HL, Suarez DL, Pantin-Jackwood M. 2021. Multiple Gene
1768		Segments Are Associated with Enhanced Virulence of Clade 2.3.4.4 H5N8 Highly
1769		Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus in Mallards. J Virol 95:e0095521.
1770		

#### 1772 Chapter 4: Discussion

#### 1773 IAV Virus-virus interactions

1774 The intrinsic properties of IAVs shape virus-virus interactions during infection. IAVs often require coinfection to initiate a productive infection. This is due, in part, to the high frequency of 1775 incomplete viral genomes that require complementation to initiate a productive infection (1-3). 1776 1777 In high MOI conditions, incomplete viral genomes can be readily complemented through coinfection thereby increasing the likelihood of a productive infection (1, 4, 5). However, the 1778 1779 presence of incomplete viral genomes alone does not account for the increased reliance on 1780 coinfection of some IAVs during infection of a new host (6). Rather, diminished viral polymerase activity can result in reduced replicative capacity. Here, coinfection results in an 1781 increase of viral proteins to meet the needs of viral replication (7). Furthermore, we show herein 1782 that this need for coinfection can be met by both homologous and phylogenetically distant IAVs. 1783 1784 However, the benefits of positive density dependence are limited to the foci of infected cells 1785 where local MOI is high. Beyond these foci, the relative fitness of the virus, its ability to infect cells, replication kinetics, and progeny production, determine its ability to compete for target 1786 cells. 1787

1788

Moreover, the immune response of the host significantly impacts the competitive landscape for infecting IAVs. It has been well documented that adaptive immune responses to IAV impose a strong barrier to infection and spread within host (8-11). In these studies, we did not assess the impact of the guinea pig immune system on virus-virus interactions and importantly, these animals were naïve, having no pre-existing immunity to IAVs. Various studies have shown prior infection or vaccination can suppress the replication of an IAV of that strain (12-15). Under these

conditions, the presence of both neutralizing and non-neutralizing antibodies would limit viral 1795 spread within the host. In turn, the frequency of coinfection between strains within tissues would 1796 likely decrease. To test this, guinea pigs could be inoculated with an IAV strain, then challenged 1797 with equivalent doses of the matched wt and var IAVs of that strain. Compared to a naïve host, 1798 the presence of reassortant viruses and change in viral population diversity could be measured as 1799 1800 a proxy for frequency of coinfection. If coinfection between strains is occurring, we would expect that the presence of reassortant viruses and population diversity would increase over the 1801 1802 course of an infection. However, if coinfection is limited, we would expect to primarily see 1803 parental strains and little diversity amongst the viral population.

Additionally, in vivo coinfections were limited to pairings of homologous or mutant variants of 1804 1805 GFHK99 virus in this study. However, studying the outcomes of heterologous IAV coinfection, particularly in the context of a host with pre-existing immunity, could further the understanding 1806 of heterologous virus-virus interactions. In a naïve host, an IAV that is better adapted to the host 1807 1808 will likely have a fitness advantage over an IAV that is not. However, in a host that has preexisting immunity, the host adapted IAV may lose its fitness advantage. In this scenario, the two 1809 coinfecting viruses differ in the factors that impact viral fitness, adaptation vs antigenic novelty. 1810 1811 We hypothesize that pre-existing immunity would increase the competitiveness of virus-virus interactions, favoring IAVs that are less recognized by memory responses. To test this, guinea 1812 1813 pigs could be inoculated with a human IAV strain, then challenged with the same human IAV 1814 strain and an avian IAV strain. Monitoring the replication of each virus could identify whether 1815 host-adaptation or antigenic novelty has a greater impact on viral fitness. Importantly, the presence of novel reassortants with enhanced replication would be monitored for. While our data 1816

suggest that cellular coinfection within a host is restricted, such conditions would favor a reassortant that benefits from antigenic novelty and host adapted segments.

#### 1819 M segment gene regulation

1820 IAV M gene expression is well regulated by both viral and host factors during infection. The 1821 expression of the proteins encoded by the M segment, M1 and M2, have important roles in viral 1822 packaging and budding. The M1 protein, in association with NEP, binds and traffics vRNPs out of the nucleus and to the plasma membrane, where it then binds to HA and NA while forming 1823 1824 the structure of the budding virion (16, 17). The M2 protein completes the budding process by 1825 mediating virion scission from the plasma membrane (18-21). It follows that newly translated 1826 M2 proteins are needed at later stages of viral replication and budding. M2 expression has been shown to be time dependent as its expression, relative to M1, increases over the course of an 1827 infection (22). However, when M2 protein expression is not well regulated and is overexpressed, 1828 1829 this can have negative outcomes for the virus. M2 expression has been shown to block the 1830 turnover of cellular autophagosomes, which are used to recycle cytosolic components (23-25). Moreover, we previously showed that an avian IAV M segment confers increased M2 1831 1832 expression, a subsequent block in cellular autophagy, and diminished replication during infection 1833 of mammalian, but not avian, cells (26). However, an IAV harboring the M segment of NL09 does not exhibit increased M2 expression and confers transmissibility in a non-transmitting strain 1834 1835 (26, 27). Together, these studies and the work herein indicate that re-establishing M gene 1836 expression is important for host adaptation. We showed that introducing the 401-600 1837 nucleotide(nt) region of the NL09 M segment into the dkSch79 M segment reduces M2 protein expression. Further studies would be needed to identify which of the 13 nt mutations in this 1838 region are responsible for reduced M2 protein expression. Interestingly, the region lies within the 1839

1840 M1 intron and has not been previously described in modulating M gene expression. Investigating1841 this region could elucidate a novel mechanism of M gene regulation.

#### 1842 Conclusion

1843 In its entirety, this work focuses on the factors and conditions that facilitate IAV replication in a 1844 new host species. This is of particular concern to public health as IAV pandemics are caused by 1845 IAV strains that emerge from an animal host (28-30). Chapter two illustrates that an IAV that is not yet adapted to a host can use positive density dependence to overcome initial host barriers. 1846 Incomplete viral genomes can be complemented, and diminished replication capacity can be 1847 overcome through cellular coinfection. Moreover, this benefit extends to homologous and 1848 1849 phylogenetically distant coinfection partners. However, subsequent rounds of infection and spread are subject to competition for target cells within a host. While IAVs that have a lower 1850 reliance on coinfection can prove to be beneficial coinfection partners within cells, at the host 1851 1852 scale they can prove to be more effective competitors.

1853

Our findings offer an explanation for the infrequency with which coinfection and reassortment 1854 involving seasonal and zoonotic IAVs are detected in humans. During human coinfection with 1855 1856 avian and human IAVs, we would expect that human IAVs, being well-adapted, would very likely outcompete avian IAVs. Moreover, an avian IAV would likely not benefit from cellular 1857 1858 coinfection with the human IAV as the heterogeneous distribution of cellular receptors would 1859 tend to compartmentalize the viruses based on tissue tropism. Human IAVs would favor upper 1860 respiratory replication and avian IAVs the lower respiratory tract (31-33). Moreover, evidence suggests that within-host spread between parts of the respiratory tract is limited (34, 35). In the 1861 event that human and avian IAVs are able to co-infect within cells, the avian IAV would likely 1862

benefit from enhanced replication and genome incorporation. Reassortant viruses would be 1863 formed, but most reassortant progeny would be less fit than the parental viruses due to gene 1864 segments becoming unlinked (36, 37). Onward transmission of viral progeny would likely be 1865 dictated on two fronts. First, viral load in the infected individual and second the location of viral 1866 replication, as progeny produced in the upper respiratory tract would be more readily shed by 1867 1868 mechanical transmission (e.g. coughing). Overall, these factors would likely result in the human IAV outcompeting an avian IAV during human coinfection. Such competitive coinfection 1869 1870 dynamics may explain why avian-human IAV reassortants have not been detected in humans, 1871 despite coinfection events (38-40).

1872

The key difference in the frequency of avian-human reassortants during coinfection of humans 1873 and swine is the permissiveness of the host to both avian and human IAVs. In a non-permissive 1874 host, replication of one of the variants would greatly be reduced and subsequently, so would the 1875 1876 frequency of coinfection and emergence of reassortant variants. Conversely, in a permissive host, both variants would replicate efficiently, increasing the frequency of coinfection and reassortant 1877 variants. These concepts underlie why hosts such as swine are a constant concern for the 1878 1879 emergence of pandemic IAVs. Due to their permissiveness to infection with diverse IAVs, swine can support the formation of novel reassortants. Furthermore, as outlined in chapter three, swine 1880 1881 facilitate the adaptation of avian IAV segments to mammalian hosts (41, 42). Together, these 1882 studies illustrate mechanisms that allow IAVs to infiltrate and adapt to new hosts.

1883

#### 1885 **References Cited**

- 18861.Brooke CB. 2014. Biological activities of 'noninfectious' influenza A virus particles.
- 1887 Future Virol 9:41-51.
- 1888 2. Fonville JM, Marshall N, Tao H, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2015. Influenza Virus Reassortment
- 1889Is Enhanced by Semi-infectious Particles but Can Be Suppressed by Defective Interfering
- 1890 Particles. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005204.
- 1891 3. Sanjuán R. 2017. Collective Infectious Units in Viruses. Trends Microbiol 25:402-412.
- Leeks A, Sanjuán R, West SA. 2019. The evolution of collective infectious units in
   viruses. Virus Res 265:94-101.
- 1894 5. Jacobs NT, Onuoha NO, Antia A, Steel J, Antia R, Lowen AC. 2019. Incomplete
- 1895 influenza A virus genomes occur frequently but are readily complemented during1896 localized viral spread. Nat Commun 10:3526.
- 1897 6. Phipps KL, Ganti K, Jacobs NT, Lee CY, Carnaccini S, White MC, Manandhar M,
- 1898 Pickett BE, Tan GS, Ferreri LM, Perez DR, Lowen AC. 2020. Collective interactions
- 1899 augment influenza A virus replication in a host-dependent manner. Nat Microbiol1900 5:1158-1169.
- 1901 7. Shartouny JR, Lee CY, Delima GK, Lowen AC. 2022. Beneficial effects of cellular
- 1902 coinfection resolve inefficiency in influenza A virus transcription. PLoS Pathog1903 18:e1010865.
- Bush RM, Bender CA, Subbarao K, Cox NJ, Fitch WM. 1999. Predicting the evolution of
   human influenza A. Science 286:1921-5.

1906	9.	Smith DJ, Lapedes AS, de Jong JC, Bestebroer TM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus AD,
1907		Fouchier RA. 2004. Mapping the antigenic and genetic evolution of influenza virus.
1908		Science 305:371-6.
1909	10.	Westgeest KB, de Graaf M, Fourment M, Bestebroer TM, van Beek R, Spronken MIJ, de
1910		Jong JC, Rimmelzwaan GF, Russell CA, Osterhaus A, Smith GJD, Smith DJ, Fouchier
1911		RAM. 2012. Genetic evolution of the neuraminidase of influenza A (H3N2) viruses from
1912		1968 to 2009 and its correspondence to haemagglutinin evolution. J Gen Virol 93:1996-
1913		2007.
1914	11.	Petrova VN, Russell CA. 2018. The evolution of seasonal influenza viruses. Nat Rev
1915		Microbiol 16:47-60.
1916	12.	Perrone LA, Ahmad A, Veguilla V, Lu X, Smith G, Katz JM, Pushko P, Tumpey TM.
1917		2009. Intranasal vaccination with 1918 influenza virus-like particles protects mice and
1918		ferrets from lethal 1918 and H5N1 influenza virus challenge. J Virol 83:5726-34.
1919	13.	Manicassamy B, Medina RA, Hai R, Tsibane T, Stertz S, Nistal-Villán E, Palese P,
1920		Basler CF, García-Sastre A. 2010. Protection of mice against lethal challenge with 2009
1921		H1N1 influenza A virus by 1918-like and classical swine H1N1 based vaccines. PLoS
1922		Pathog 6:e1000745.
1923	14.	Yang F, Yan S, Zhu L, Wang FXC, Liu F, Cheng L, Yao H, Wu N, Lu R, Wu H. 2022.
1924		Evaluation of panel of neutralising murine monoclonal antibodies and a humanised
1925		bispecific antibody against influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus infection in a mouse model.
1926		Antiviral Res 208:105462.
- 15. Panickan S, Bhatia S, Bhat S, Bhandari N, Pateriya AK, Kalaiyarasu S, Sood R, Tripathi 1927 M. 2022. Reverse genetics based H5N2 vaccine provides clinical protection against 1928 H5N1, H5N8 and H9N2 avian influenza infection in chickens. Vaccine 40:6998-7008. 1929 Zhang J, Lamb RA. 1996. Characterization of the membrane association of the influenza 1930 16. virus matrix protein in living cells. Virology 225:255-66. 1931 1932 17. Ali A, Avalos RT, Ponimaskin E, Nayak DP. 2000. Influenza virus assembly: effect of 1933 influenza virus glycoproteins on the membrane association of M1 protein. J Virol 1934 74:8709-19. 1935 18. Chen BJ, Leser GP, Jackson D, Lamb RA. 2008. The influenza virus M2 protein cytoplasmic tail interacts with the M1 protein and influences virus assembly at the site of 1936 virus budding. J Virol 82:10059-70. 1937
- 1938 19. McCown MF, Pekosz A. 2006. Distinct domains of the influenza a virus M2 protein
- 1939 cytoplasmic tail mediate binding to the M1 protein and facilitate infectious virus1940 production. J Virol 80:8178-89.
- 194120.Rossman JS, Jing X, Leser GP, Lamb RA. 2010. Influenza virus M2 protein mediates
- 1942 ESCRT-independent membrane scission. Cell 142:902-13.
- 1943 21. Rossman JS, Lamb RA. 2011. Influenza virus assembly and budding. Virology 411:2291944 36.
- 1945 22. Valcárcel J, Portela A, Ortín J. 1991. Regulated M1 mRNA splicing in influenza virus1946 infected cells. J Gen Virol 72 (Pt 6):1301-8.
- 1947 23. Gannagé M, Dormann D, Albrecht R, Dengjel J, Torossi T, Rämer PC, Lee M, Strowig
- 1948 T, Arrey F, Conenello G, Pypaert M, Andersen J, García-Sastre A, Münz C. 2009. Matrix

- 1949 protein 2 of influenza A virus blocks autophagosome fusion with lysosomes. Cell Host1950 Microbe 6:367-80.
- 1951 24. Münz C. 2017. The Autophagic Machinery in Viral Exocytosis. Front Microbiol 8:269.
- 195225.Rossman JS, Lamb RA. 2009. Autophagy, apoptosis, and the influenza virus M2 protein.
- 1953 Cell Host Microbe 6:299-300.
- 1954 26. Calderon BM, Danzy S, Delima GK, Jacobs NT, Ganti K, Hockman MR, Conn GL,
- Lowen AC, Steel J. 2019. Dysregulation of M segment gene expression contributes to
  influenza A virus host restriction. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007892.
- 1957 27. Campbell PJ, Danzy S, Kyriakis CS, Deymier MJ, Lowen AC, Steel J. 2014. The M
- segment of the 2009 pandemic influenza virus confers increased neuraminidase activity,
- 1959 filamentous morphology, and efficient contact transmissibility to A/Puerto Rico/8/1934-
- based reassortant viruses. J Virol 88:3802-14.
- 1961 28. Scholtissek C. 1994. Source for influenza pandemics. Eur J Epidemiol 10:455-8.
- 1962 29. Kilbourne ED. 2006. Influenza pandemics of the 20th century. Emerg Infect Dis 12:9-14.
- 1963 30. Mena I, Nelson MI, Quezada-Monroy F, Dutta J, Cortes-Fernández R, Lara-Puente JH,
- 1964 Castro-Peralta F, Cunha LF, Trovão NS, Lozano-Dubernard B, Rambaut A, van Bakel H,
- 1965 García-Sastre A. 2016. Origins of the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic in swine in
- 1966 Mexico. Elife 5.
- 1967 31. Gallagher ME, Brooke CB, Ke R, Koelle K. 2018. Causes and Consequences of Spatial
  1968 Within-Host Viral Spread. Viruses 10.
- 1969 32. Lakdawala SS, Jayaraman A, Halpin RA, Lamirande EW, Shih AR, Stockwell TB, Lin
- 1970 X, Simenauer A, Hanson CT, Vogel L, Paskel M, Minai M, Moore I, Orandle M, Das

- 1971 SR, Wentworth DE, Sasisekharan R, Subbarao K. 2015. The soft palate is an important
  1972 site of adaptation for transmissible influenza viruses. Nature 526:122-5.
- 1973 33. Shinya K, Ebina M, Yamada S, Ono M, Kasai N, Kawaoka Y. 2006. Avian flu: influenza
  1974 virus receptors in the human airway. Nature 440:435-6.
- 1975 34. Richard M, Herfst S, Tao H, Jacobs NT, Lowen AC. 2018. Influenza A Virus
- 1976 Reassortment Is Limited by Anatomical Compartmentalization following Coinfection via1977 Distinct Routes. J Virol 92.
- 1978 35. Ganti K, Bagga A, Carnaccini S, Ferreri LM, Geiger G, Joaquin Caceres C, Seibert B, Li
- 1979 Y, Wang L, Kwon T, Li Y, Morozov I, Ma W, Richt JA, Perez DR, Koelle K, Lowen
- AC. 2022. Influenza A virus reassortment in mammals gives rise to genetically distinctwithin-host subpopulations. Nat Commun 13:6846.
- 1982 36. Phipps KL, Marshall N, Tao H, Danzy S, Onuoha N, Steel J, Lowen AC. 2017. Seasonal
- H3N2 and 2009 Pandemic H1N1 Influenza A Viruses Reassort Efficiently but Produce
  Attenuated Progeny. J Virol 91.
- 1985 37. Villa M, Lässig M. 2017. Fitness cost of reassortment in human influenza. PLoS Pathog
  1986 13:e1006685.
- 1987 38. Zhang W, Zhu D, Tian D, Xu L, Zhu Z, Teng Z, He J, Shan S, Liu Y, Wang W, Yuan Z,
- 1988Ren T, Hu Y. 2015. Co-infection with Avian (H7N9) and Pandemic (H1N1) 2009
- 1989 Influenza Viruses, China. Emerg Infect Dis 21:715-8.
- 1990 39. Zhu Y, Qi X, Cui L, Zhou M, Wang H. 2013. Human co-infection with novel avian
- influenza A H7N9 and influenza A H3N2 viruses in Jiangsu province, China. Lancet381:2134.

1993	40.	Li J, Kou Y, Yu X, Sun Y, Zhou Y, Pu X, Jin T, Pan J, Gao GF. 2014. Human co-
1994		infection with avian and seasonal influenza viruses, China. Emerg Infect Dis 20:1953-5.
1995	41.	Ito T, Couceiro JN, Kelm S, Baum LG, Krauss S, Castrucci MR, Donatelli I, Kida H,
1996		Paulson JC, Webster RG, Kawaoka Y. 1998. Molecular basis for the generation in pigs of
1997		influenza A viruses with pandemic potential. J Virol 72:7367-73.
1998	42.	Neumann G, Noda T, Kawaoka Y. 2009. Emergence and pandemic potential of swine-
1999		origin H1N1 influenza virus. Nature 459:931-9.